# PRELIMINARY WATER TREATMENT PLANT 

Florida International University - Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering - ENV4401 - Water Supply Engineering - Dr. Laha

Preliminary design proposal for a new softening plant for Laramie City.
Given parameters:
Flow Rate (Q) $=1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
Average annual water temperature $(T)=10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## (I) RAPID MIX SYSTEM

Assumptions for a rapid mix tank:
Tank configuration: squared plan with depth $=1.25 \times$ width
Detention time $(\Theta)=45 \mathrm{~s}$
Velocity gradient $(G)=900 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
Mixer: Available mixers for rapid mix and flocculation tanks are provided in the table below

Table. 1 JTQ models for rapid mix and flocculation mixes.

| Model | Rotation speeds, rpm | Power, kW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JTQ25 | 30,45 | 0.18 |
| JTQ50 | 30,45 | 0.37 |
| JTQ75 | 45,70 | 0.56 |
| JTQ100 | 45,110 | 0.75 |
| JTQ150 | 45,110 | 1.12 |
| JTQ200 | 70,110 | 1.50 |
| JTQ300 | 110,175 | 2.24 |
| JTQ500 | 110,175 | 3.74 |
| JTQ750 | 110,175 | 5.59 |
| JTQ1000 | 110,175 | 7.46 |
| JTQ1500 | 110,175 | 11.19 |

rpm=revolutions per minute
JTQ-F models have variable speeds from 1-45 rpm. These may be used for the flocculation tanks.

## 1. NUMBER AND DIMENSIONS OF TANKS

Total volume of water $(\mathrm{V})$ to be handled in the rapid mix tank(s)
$V=Q \theta=\left(\frac{1 m^{3}}{s}\right)(45 s)=45 m^{3}$
As suggested by Dr. Davis, the volume of a rapid-mix tank seldom exceeds $8 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ because of mixing equipment and geometry constrains. (Davis p6-33)

Number of tanks required $=\frac{45 m^{3}}{8 m^{3}}=5.625$
Number of tanks was set to 8 for redundacy
Volume per tank $=\frac{45 \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{8}=5.63 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Dimension of each rectangular tank

Volume = Length * Width * Depth
X = Length = Width
Depth $=1.25 \mathrm{X}$
$\mathrm{V}=1.25 \mathrm{X}^{3}=5.63 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
$X=1.65 \mathrm{~m}$
Length: 1.65 m
Width: 1.65 m
Depth: 2.06 m


Figure 1-1 Conceptual drawing for rapid mix tank
The $45 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ volume of water will be directed to 8 equal rapid mix tanks of 1.65 m width, 1.65 length, and 2.06 m depth. Each tank will be handling a capacity of $5.63 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water volume.

## 2. WATER POWER INPUT IN kW

Water power input $(P)$ was calculated using Stein's equation (Davis, P 6-25)
$G=\left(\frac{P}{\mu V}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad$ where $\quad P=G^{2} \times \mu \times V$
$\mathrm{P}=$ power imparted to water in a single mixing tank
$\mu_{10 c}=d y n a m i c ~ v i s c o s i t y ~ o f ~ w a t e r=\left(1.307 \times 10^{-3}\right.$ Pa.s from Appendix A Davis pA-1)
$\mathrm{V}=$ volume of water per mixing tank $=5.63 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
$\mathrm{G}=$ Velocity gradient $=900^{-1} \mathrm{~s}$
$\mathrm{P}=(900 / \mathrm{s})^{2}\left(1.30710^{-3} \mathrm{~Pa} . \mathrm{s}\right)\left(5.63 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$
$\mathrm{P}=5.93 \mathrm{~kW}$

The efficiency of transfer of motor power to water power is assumed to be of 0.8 for single impeller (Davis, p 6-35)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { Water Power }\left(P_{w}\right)}{\text { Motor Power }\left(P_{\mathrm{M}}\right)}=0.8 \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}=5.93 \mathrm{~kW} / 0.8 \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}=7.41 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. JTQ MIXER MODEL NUMBER

From Table.1, Mixer JTQ1000 offers a power of 7.46 kW , since the values of G for mechanical mixing in stirred tanks can have values in the range of 600 to 1000-1s (Davis, $\mathrm{p} 6-33$ ), the velocity gradient can be recalculated $\left(\mathrm{G}_{0}\right)$ in order to use this specific mixer model.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.8\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)=0.8(7.46 \mathrm{~kW}) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}=5.968 \mathrm{~kW} \\
& \mathrm{G}_{0}=\left(5.968 \mathrm{Kw} /\left(1.307^{\star} 10^{-3}\right)\left(5.63 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \mathrm{G}_{0}=903.16 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \quad 600 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}<903.16 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}<1000 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The mixer JTQ1000 with a power of 7.46 kW and a rotational speed range of 110, 175 rpm was selected. The velocity gradient was recalculated to $903.16 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ which is still between the recommended value ranges.

## 4. IMPELLER TYPE

A radial-flow impeller, turbine type, 6 flat blades is selected in order to provide more turbulence during the rapid mixing process. (Davis p6-33)

## 5. DIAMETER OF IMPELLER IN METERS

Table . 2 Tank and impeller geometries for mixing (Davis, p6-35)

| Geometric Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| D/T (radial) | $0.14-0.5$ |
| D/T (axial) | $0.17-0.4$ |
| H/D (either) | $2-4$ |
| $H / T$ (axial) | $0.34-1.6$ |
| H/T (radial) | $0.28-2$ |
| B/D (either) | $0.7-1.6$ |

D=impeller diameter
$\mathrm{T}=$ equivalent tank diameter= $(4 \mathrm{~A} / \pi)^{0.5}$
A=the plan area
$\mathrm{H}=$ water depth
$\mathrm{B}=$ water depth below the impeller*
*the recommended value of $B$ is $1 / 3$ of the water depth (Davis p6-35)

The impeller diameter ( Di ) can be calculated using the Rushton's equation (Davis, p634) and then adjusted to the values given in Table. 3 for available radial impellers.

$$
P=N_{p}(n)^{3}\left(D_{i}\right)^{5} \rho \quad \text { where } \quad D_{i}=\sqrt[5]{\frac{P}{N_{p}(n)^{3} \rho}}
$$

$\mathrm{P}=$ power $(\mathrm{W})=\mathrm{Pw}=5.968 \mathrm{~kW}=5968 \mathrm{~W}$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ impeller constant (from Table. 3)
$\mathrm{n}=$ rotational speed $(\mathrm{rps})=175 \mathrm{rpm}$ * $\mathrm{m} / 60 \mathrm{~s}=2.917 \mathrm{rps}$
$D_{i}=$ impeller diameter ( $m$ )
$P=$ density of liquid $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=1000 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Selecting $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}=6.3$ for radial-flow turbine impeller from Table. 3.

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left[(5968 \mathrm{~W}) /(6.3)(2.917 \mathrm{rps})^{3}\left(1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\right]^{1 / 5}
$$

$$
D_{i}=0.54 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The impeller diameter obtained from calculations is 0.54 m
Checking if the diameter selected meets all the geometric constraints for radial impellers as indicated in Table. 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=x^{2}=(1.65 \mathrm{~m})^{2}=2.73 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~T}=(4 \mathrm{~A} / \pi)^{0.5}=\left(4^{*} 2.73 / 3.14\right)^{0.5}=1.86 \\
& \mathrm{~B}=1 / 3(\mathrm{X})=1 / 3(1.65 \mathrm{~m})=0.69 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}=0.54 / 1.86=0.29 \quad \rightarrow 0.14<0.29<0.5
$$

$$
H / D=2.06 / 0.54=3.79 \quad \rightarrow 2<3.79<4
$$

$$
\mathbf{H} / \mathbf{T}=2.06 / 1.86=1.11 \rightarrow 0.28<1.11<2.0
$$

$$
\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{D}=0.69 / 0.54=1.26 \quad \rightarrow 0.7<1.26<1.6
$$

Where $D$ is the impeller diameter.
All requirements met. Softening chemical should be added in the rapid mix tanks.


Figure 1-2. Conceptual drawing for the rapid mix system

Table. 4 Rapid Mix System design parameters obtained from calculations

| Number of tanks | 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Water power input in kW | 5.97 KW |
| Tank dimensions | Width: 1.65 m Length: 1.65 m Depth: 2.08 m |
| JTQ mixer model number | $\begin{array}{r} J T Q 1000 \\ P_{M}=7.46 \mathrm{~kW} \end{array}$ |
| Velocity gradient | $903.16 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| Impeller type | Radial |
| Diameter of the impeller | 0.54 m |
| Rotational speed of impeller | 175 rpm |

## (II) FLOCCULATION SYSTEM

Given:
Number of basins = Number of rapid mix tanks=8
Tapered G in three compartments: $90 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 60 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 30 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t} 0}=12000$
Length=Width=Depth, for each compartment
Impeller type: propeller, pitch of 1, blades 3

## 1. WATER POWER INPUT IN Kw

Each rapid mix tank is connected to a tapered flocculation basin consisting of three equal-sized chambers with design velocity gradient set at $90 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 60 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 30 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively.
The power input for each chamber is calculated using Stein's equation
$G=\left(\frac{P}{\mu V}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad$ where $\quad P=G^{2} \times \mu \times V$
The volume of water flowing into each flocculation basin $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ is calculated as follow:

$$
V_{F}=Q_{F} \Theta_{F}
$$

Where
$Q_{F}=Q / 8=\left(1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} / 8\right)=0.125 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
$\Theta_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t} 0} / \mathrm{G}_{\text {ave }}=\left\{120000 /\left[\left(90 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}+60 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}+30 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) / 3\right]\right\}=2000 \mathrm{~s}$
$V_{F}=250.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
For redundancy, volume calculations were adjusted. In this case 7 of the 8 basins will be able to handle the incoming flow rate.
$V_{F}=285.71 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
The volume of water flowing into each flocculation chamber $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CH}}\right)$ is given by:
$V_{\mathrm{CH}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}} / 3$
$V_{\text {CH }}=285.71 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / 8$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CH}}=95.24 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

The power input required by Chamber $\mathbf{A}$, with $\mathrm{G}=90 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, is calculated as follow:
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CH} . \mathrm{A}}=\left(90 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{2}\left(1.307^{*} 10^{-3} \mathrm{~Pa} . \mathrm{s}\right)\left(95.24 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {CH.A }}=1.003 \mathrm{~kW}$
For Chamber B, with $\mathrm{G}=60 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ :
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CH} . \mathrm{B}}=0.446 \mathrm{~kW}$
For Chamber C, with $\mathrm{G}=30 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ :
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {сн. } \mathrm{C}}=0.111 \mathrm{~kW}$
2. TANK DIMENSIONS IN METERS

Each of the three chambers on a basin receive a water volume of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CH}}=95.24 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$; and has the given dimensions

Length=Width $=$ Depth $=X$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CH}}=(\mathrm{X})^{3}$ and $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CH}}\right)^{1 / 3}=\left(95.24 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)^{1 / 3}$

## $\mathrm{X}=4.57 \mathrm{~m}$

The width, length, and depth of each chamber is 4.57 m respectively.
A single basin has depth and width equal to 4.57 m respectively, and length equals to three times the length of each chamber plus the thickness of the two baffle walls $3(4.57 \mathrm{~m})+2(0.15 \mathrm{~m})=14.01 \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 1-3 Conceptual drawing for one of the 8 flocculation basins
3. JTQ-F MIXER MODEL NUMBER
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {сн.A }} / 0.8$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=1.1003 \mathrm{~kW} / 0.8$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=1.25 \mathrm{~kW}$
Assuming 80\% efficiency, model JTQ-F200 provides $\mathbf{1 . 5 0} \mathbf{~ k W}$, which satisfies the power input needed for Chamber A
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {м } 2}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {сн.В }} / 0.8$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M} 2}=0.56 \mathrm{~kW}$
Model JTQ-F75, which provides $\mathbf{0 . 5 6} \mathbf{~ k W}$, is selected for Chamber B
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {м3 }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {сн. }} / 0.8$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {м }}=0.14 \mathrm{~kW}$
Model JTQ-F25, which provides $\mathbf{0 . 1 8} \mathbf{~ k W}$, is selected for Chamber C

## 4. DIAMETER OF IMPELLER IN M

Equal impeller diameter is going to be used for each chamber to optimize maintenance. Vertical turbine mixing with axial-flow impeller in a mixing basin is recommended over the other types of flocculators because they impart nearly constant G throughout the tank. (Davis p6-41). Use a propeller, pitch of 1, blades 3 type.

## 5. ROTATIONAL SPEED OF IMPELLER IN RPM

Using Rushton's equation the rotational speed of each camber is calculated:

$$
n=\sqrt[3]{\frac{P}{N_{p}\left(D_{i}\right)^{5} \rho}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}$ for axial impeller is equal to 0.32
And $P$ is $80 \%$ of rated power of selected mixer.
Trial of allowed rotational speeds from 0.017 rps to 0.75 rps where made to find a common diameter for the impellers. Checking for geometric constraints using Table. 2:

Table. 5 Evaluation of the different axial impeller diameters using different rotational speeds.

| When $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}=$ | 1254.25 | 557.44 | 139.36 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| When $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}=$ | 1254.25 | 557.44 | 139.36 |
| Rotational speed (rps) $\mathrm{n}-$ <br> range Trial | $\mathbf{0 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0}$ |
| Diameter of the Impeller $\mathrm{Di}=$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 4}$ |
| Impeller constant $\mathrm{Np}=$ | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 |

Calculating the average diameter from the three values obtains, the impeller diameter was set to 1.78 m

Table. 6 Flocculation System design parameters obtained from calculations

| Total Number of Basins | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dimensions of each basin in $m$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W}=4.57 \\ & \mathrm{~L}=14.01 \\ & \mathrm{D}=4.57 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | Chamber A | Chamber B | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chamber } \\ \text { C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Velocity gradient in $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | 90 | 60 | 30 |
| Water input required in kW | 1.00 | 0.45 | 0.11 |
| Power input imparted to water in kW | 1.50 | 0.76 | 0.18 |
| Chamber dimensions in m | $\mathrm{W}=1.4 .57$ | 4.57 | 4.57 |
|  | L= 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.57 |
|  | $\mathrm{D}=14.57$ | 4.57 | 4.57 |
| JTQ-F mixer model | 200 | 75 | 25 |
| Diameter of the impeller in m | 1.78 | 1.78 | 1.78 |
| Rotational speed of impeller in rps | 0.60 | 0.45 | 0.30 |

## (III) SEDIMENTATION TANK

The design flow rate is $\mathrm{Q}=1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}=86400 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}=86.4 / 3.785 \mathrm{MGD}=22.8 \mathrm{MGD}$
For horizontal flow, the recommended basin configuration are long rectangular tanks, Type I (Davis, p10-21). The typical design criteria for horizontal-flow rectangular sedimentation basins in water treatment plants with flow rate greater than $40000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}$ are documented in the following figure:

| Parameter | Typical range of values | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inlet zone |  |  |
| Distance to diffuser wall | 2 m |  |
| Diffuser hole diameter | $0.10-0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| Settling zone |  |  |
| Overflow rate | $40-70 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | See Table 10-2 |
| Side water depth (SWD) | $3-5 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| Length | 30 m | Wind constraint |
|  | 60 m | Chain-and-flight |
|  | $\geq 80-90 \mathrm{~m}$ | Traveling bridge |
| Width | 0.3 m increments | Chain-and-flight |
|  | 6 m maximum per train | Chain-and-flight |
|  | 24 m maximum $=3$ trains per drive | Chain-and-flight |
| L:W | 30 m maximum | Traveling bridge |
| L:D | $4: 1$ to $6: 1$ | $\geq 6: 1$ preferred |
| Velocity | $15: 1$ | Minimum |
| Reynolds number | $0.005-0.018 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Horizontal, mean |
| Froude number | $<20,000$ |  |
| Outlet zone | $>10-5$ |  |
| Launder length |  |  |
| Launder weir loading | $1 / 3-1 / 2$ length of basin | Evenly spaced |
| Sludge zone |  | See Table 10-3 |
| Depth |  |  |
| Slope | $0.6-1 \mathrm{~m}$ | Equipment dependent |
| Sludge collector speed | $1: 600$ | Mechanical cleaning |

Sources: AWWA, 1990; Davis and Cornwell, 2008; Kawamura, 2000; MWH, 2005; Willis, 2005.
Figure . 1 Typical design criteria for horizontal-flow rectangular sedimentation basins (David, p10-29)

From FE manual, page 193
$\mathrm{V}_{0}=$ critical settling velocity
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {surface }}=$ surface area, plan view
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {surface }}=$ Length $_{\text {basin }}{ }^{*}$ Width $_{\text {basin }}$
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{h}}=$ Horizontal velocity $=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}$
Hydraulic residence time $=\Theta=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{Q}$
$\mathrm{V}=$ tank volume $=$ Length $_{\text {basin }}{ }^{*}$ Width $_{\text {basin }}{ }^{*}$ Depth $_{\text {basin }}$
$\theta=4 \mathrm{hr}$
$V_{0}=40-70 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad \rightarrow$ use $70 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$
Vh $\leq 0.5 f \mathrm{fm} \leq 219.47 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{d}$
$\mathrm{V}=\Theta(\mathrm{Q})$
$\mathrm{V}=14400 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Asurface $=\left(86400 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}\right) /\left(70 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {surface }}=1234.43 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
From FE manual, page 193 for rectangular clarifier tank, and Figure. 1
$\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{W}=5: 1$
$L: D=15: 1$ minimum
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {surface }}=$ Length $_{\text {basin }}{ }^{*}$ Width $_{\text {basin }}=L^{*}(\mathrm{~L} / 5)=\mathrm{L}^{2} / 5$
Length ${ }_{\text {basin }}=\left(5^{*} \mathrm{~A}_{\text {surface }}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(5^{*} 1234.43 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$
Length $_{\text {basin }}=78.56 \mathrm{~m}$
Witdh $_{\text {basin }}=15.71 \mathrm{~m}$
Detph $_{\text {basin }}=6.83 \mathrm{~m}$

By setting the $V_{0}=70 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d} . \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\Theta=4 \mathrm{~h}$ hours, the length of the basin was found to be to 78.56 m , for open sedimentation tanks greater than 30 m in length, Reynold's number must be less than 20000 to avoid turbulence.
Horizontal flow velocities must be controlled to avoid undue turbulence, back mixing, and scour of particles from the sludge.

The Reynolds number is determined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\frac{v_{f} R_{h}}{\vartheta} \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.02 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}=(\text { Across-sectional/Wetted Perimeter })=0.119 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \vartheta=\text { Kinematic Viscosity }=1.3007^{*} 10^{\wedge} 6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s} \\
& \mathrm{R}=1830.52<\mathbf{2 0 0 0 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The sedimentation tank depth is usually increased by about 0.6 m to provide freeboard to act as a wind barrier.
To meet redundancy, two sedimentation tank will be constructed.

Table. 6 Sedimentation tank dimensions and requirements.

| Overflow rate | $\mathbf{7 0} \mathbf{~ m}^{3} / \mathbf{d . \mathbf { m } ^ { 2 }}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Side water depth | $\mathbf{5 . 2 4 + 0 . 6 \mathrm { m } ( \text { freeboard } ) = 5 . 8 4 \mathrm { m }}$ |
| Length | $\mathbf{7 8 . 5 6 m}$ |
| Width | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 1 m}$ |
| Horizontal velocity | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 m} / \mathrm{s}$ |


| Reynolds number | $\mathbf{1 8 3 0 . 5 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Launder length | L/2=39.28 |
| Sludge depth | $\mathbf{1 m}$ |
| Slope | $\mathbf{1 : 6 0 0}$ |

(IV) GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

Analysis of the bid made by The Orondo Sand and Gravel Company for sand filter supply

Table. 7. The Orondo Sand and Gravel sand analysis data.

| U.S <br> Standard <br> Sive No. | Sieve <br> Size | Mass \% <br> Retained | Mass <br> Passing <br> Larger <br> Sieve | Mass <br> \% <br> passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 2.38 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| 12 | 1.68 | 0.01 | 100.00 | 99.99 |
| 16 | 1.19 | 0.39 | 99.99 | 99.60 |
| 20 | 0.84 | 5.70 | 99.60 | 93.90 |
| 30 | 0.59 | 25.90 | 93.90 | 68.00 |
| 40 | 0.42 | 44.00 | 68.00 | 24.00 |
| 50 | 0.30 | 20.20 | 24.00 | 3.80 |
| 70 | 0.21 | 3.70 | 3.80 | 0.10 |
| 100 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 |



Graph. 1 Graph of sand sieve analysis to determine effective size and uniformity coefficient for Orondo's sand.
$1.4 \leq$ Unif. Coef. $\leq 1.6$
Orodon's sand bid is rejected since it doesn't meet the Efficient Size requirement.

## (V) RAPID SAND FILTRATION

Depth(D) $=0.75 \mathrm{~m}$
Filter loading $=160 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Sand specific gravity=2.60
Shape factor=0.90
Stratified bed porosity=0.5
Water temperature $=10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Solving for Reynolds number, drag coefficient,

$$
R=\frac{\varphi d v_{a}}{v}
$$

$\varphi=$ Shape factor
$v_{a}=$ Filtration velocity
$v=$ Kinematic viscosity of water at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$d=$ size of opening

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{d}=\left(\frac{24}{R}\right)+\left(\frac{3}{R^{1 / 2}}\right)+0.34 \text { for } 0.5<R<104 \\
C_{d}=\frac{24}{R} \text { when } R<0.5
\end{gathered}
$$

## Where

$C_{d}=$ Drag coefficient
And fraction of product of drag coefficient and fractional mass retained divided by de size of opening.

$$
\frac{\left(C_{d}\right)(f)}{d}
$$

Where
$f=$ mass \% retained by sieve

Table 8. Data for the frictional head loss through filter ( $h_{L}$ )

| U.S Standard <br> Sieve No. | Size of <br> opening, <br> mm | Size of <br> opening, <br> $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{m}$ | Mass \% <br> Retained, <br> f | Reynolds <br> number, R | Drag <br> coefficient, <br> CD | (CD)(f)/d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8 .-12$ | 2.000 | 0.00200 | 0.000 | 2.56 | 11.58 | 0 |
| $12-16$ | 1.410 | 0.00141 | 0.400 | 1.81 | 15.86 | 45 |
| $16-20$ | 1.000 | 0.00100 | 13.100 | 1.28 | 21.72 | 2845 |
| $20-30$ | 0.710 | 0.00071 | 54.500 | 0.91 | 29.87 | 22925 |
| $30-40$ | 0.500 | 0.00050 | 30.200 | 0.64 | 41.55 | 25095 |
| $40-50$ | 0.350 | 0.00035 | 1.785 | 0.45 | 53.51 | 2729 |
| $50-70$ | 0.250 | 0.00025 | 0.015 | 0.32 | 74.92 | 45 |

Head loss Rose equation for multisized media through filter ( $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) (Davis, p11-13)

$$
h_{L}=\frac{1.067\left(V_{a}\right)^{2}}{(\varphi)(g)(\varepsilon)^{4}} \sum \frac{\left(C_{d}\right)(f)}{d}
$$

Where
$\varepsilon=$ Stratied bed porosity
$g=$ gravity
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{L}}=0.27 \mathrm{~m}$
Calculated head loss is less than 0.6 m (Davis, p11-16), therefore it meets maximum recommended value.

Calculating the depth of the expanded bed $\left(D_{e}\right)$,

$$
D_{e}=(1-\varepsilon)(\mathrm{D}) \sum \frac{f}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{e}\right)}=
$$

## Where

$\varepsilon_{e}=$ porosity of expanded bed

$$
\varepsilon_{e}=\left(\frac{v_{b}}{v_{s}}\right)^{0.2247 R^{0.1}}
$$

And,
$v_{b}=$ velocity of backwash ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) $=0.75 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ at specific gravity $=2.60$ and $\mathrm{D}_{60}=0.55$ (Davis p 11-29) $v_{s}=$ settling velocity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ )

Table 9. Calculation of Expanded Bed for Rapid Sand Filtration

| U.S <br> Standard <br> Sieve No. | Size of opening, mm | Particle Diameter, d, m | Est. settling velocity, vs, m/s | Est. Reynolds number, R | Drag coefficient, CD | Calc. settling velocity, vs, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Fraction Retained, f | Calc. Reynolds number, R | Expanded porosity Exponent | Expanded porosity, $\varepsilon e$ | $\frac{f}{\left(1-\varepsilon_{e}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. - 12 | 2.000 | 0.00200 | 0.300 | 415 | 0.55 | 0.277 | 0.000 | 426 | 0.41 | 0.279 | 0.00 |
| 12. - 16 | 1.410 | 0.00141 | 0.200 | 195 | 0.68 | 0.209 | 0.004 | 226 | 0.39 | 0.337 | 0.01 |


| $16-20$ | 1.000 | 0.00100 | 0.150 | 104 | 0.87 | 0.155 | 0.131 | 120 | 0.36 | 0.401 | 0.22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-30$ | 0.710 | 0.00071 | 0.100 | 49 | 1.26 | 0.109 | 0.545 | 59 | 0.34 | 0.481 | 1.05 |
| $30-40$ | 0.500 | 0.00050 | 0.070 | 24 | 1.94 | 0.073 | 0.302 | 28 | 0.31 | 0.574 | 0.71 |
| $40-50$ | 0.350 | 0.00035 | 0.050 | 12 | 3.18 | 0.048 | 0.018 | 13 | 0.29 | 0.677 | 0.06 |
| $50-70$ | 0.250 | 0.00025 | 0.030 | 5 | 6.28 | 0.029 | 0.000 | 6 | 0.27 | 0.800 | 0.00 |


| Expanded Bed Depth | $\mathbf{D e}=$ | 0.76 | m |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Expansion ratio | $\mathbf{D e} / \mathbf{D}=$ | 1.02 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{D e}-\mathbf{D}=$ | 0.01 | m |

Six filters will filtrate the incoming flow, with a total number of cells equals to 12,2 cells per filter.

Table 10. Rapid Mix Filtration Dimensions

| Head Loss for the clean bed | 0.27 | m |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Maximum backwash rate | 0.75 | $\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Height of backwash troughs above <br> sand | 0.01 | m |
| Number of filters | 6 |  |
| Area of filter | 90 | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Number of cell | 12 |  |
| Width of each cell | 5 | m |
| Length of each cell | 11.97 | m |

The recommended elevation of the backwash is 0.31 m above the depth of sand, $(0.75 \mathrm{~m})$, Adding a factor of safety of 0.18 m , yields a total depth of 1.24 m

## (VI) LIME-SODA ASH SOFTENING

Target final hardness $=100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$
Lime purity=87\%
Soda ash purity=97\%
S.Gravity of dry solids=2.2

Raw water analysis
$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}=180.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$
$\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}=25.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$
$\mathrm{HCO}^{3-}=\mathrm{Alk}=170.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}=5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$

When $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion concentration is less than $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$, Lime dosage is calculated as follow,

Lime dosage $(\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{L})=(\mathrm{CO} 2)+(\mathrm{AIK})+($ Excess $)$
Cost of Lime/yr. = (Lime dosage*Flow Rate/\%Purity)/ (Cost/Mass) $=(\$ / y e a r)$
Soda Ash dosage=NCH
Since $\mathrm{Mg} 2+<40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as CaCO , addition of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as CaCO3 excess ( 0.4 $\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{L}$ )

Table 11. Softening Process Dosage and Cost.

|  | meq/L | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | \$/Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lime Dosage | 3.9 | 0.11 | $3.96 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| Cost of Lime/Year |  |  |  |
| Soda Ash Dosage | 0.7 | 0.04 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| Cost of Lime/Year |  |  |  |
| Total Chemical Cost |  |  | $5.16 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
|  | meq/L | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ |  |
| Final Hardness | 0.6 | 30 |  |

Final hardness is very low, use of split flow of raw water is recommended. This would save capital costs by using smaller tanks and operating costs by reducing chemical usage as well as the amount of sludge that has to be disposed.

The fractional amount of the split is calculated using equation 7-25 (Davis)

$$
x=\frac{M_{g_{f}}-M_{g_{i}}}{M_{g_{r}}-M_{g_{i}}}
$$

Where
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{gf}}=$ final magnesium concentration $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}=40$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{gi}}=$ magnesium concentration from first stage $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}=10$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{gr}}=$ raw water magnesium concentration $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}=25$

## $X=2: 1$

The daily sludge volume is calculated using equation
Volume of daily sludge=(Mass of solids/0.05)(2.2)
Where the mass of solid is equal to,
Mass of solids=Dry solids/Density of dry solids
Dry solids are the precipitates formed during the softening process, calcium carbonate and magnesium hydroxide. Since the magnesium ions presents are
too low, and softening is not required, only the calcium carbonate becomes precipitate or sludge.

| Lime Dose | 0.11 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Soda Dose | 0.04 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Annual Chemical <br> Cost | $5.16 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $\$ / \mathrm{Year}$ |
| Daily Sludge <br> Volume | 648 | $\mathrm{~m}^{3 / \mathrm{day}}$ |

Daily volume of sludge produced assuming that it is collected as $5 \%$ solids.
Calculations show

|  | $\mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | kg | $\mathrm{~m}^{3 /}$ day |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solid $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| Dry Solids |  | 0.375 |  |  |
| Mass of Solids |  |  | 0.0001705 |  |
| Volume of Sludge per <br> day |  |  |  | 648 |

## Re-carbonization requirements

## LSI=pH-pHs

Assuming $\mathrm{pH}=10.5$ at the end of softening process, and $\mathrm{Ka}=3.05^{*} 10^{-11}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{pCa}^{2+}=2.74$
pAlk=2.47
TSS=375mg/L
Correction factor=2.5775 using interpolation (Davis, p7-35)
LSI $=10.5-(2.74+2.47+2.58)=2.71$
$\mathrm{LSI}>0$, then the solution is supersaturated and $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ will precipitate.
Calculating the carbonate concentration from second dissociation constant,
$\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2}\right]=0.0384\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]$
$\mathrm{pCa}^{2+}$ should be equal to 5.28 for $\mathrm{LSI}=0$
$\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]=0.00013 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Dose of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to convert carbonate to bicarbonate
Assuming $\mathrm{CO}_{2}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, and that one mole of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ produces 2 moles of $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$,

## Dose of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}=11.453 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$

## (VII) Desinfection

Use chlorine for primary disinfection, since the water treated is groundwater, and only viruses might be present.

| Disinfectant <br> Target Pathogen | Chlorine <br> Viruses |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Required Log |  |  |
| Removal | 2 |  |
| Treatment Credits <br> Ct value at 10C and | 2 |  |
| $\mathrm{pH}=7$ |  |  |
| C (Chlorine | 3 |  |
| Concentration) | 1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Superior baffling | 0.7 | $\left(\mathrm{t}_{10} / \mathrm{to}\right)$ |

Table 13. Disinfection Chamber information

| $t_{10}=$ | $\mathrm{Ct} / \mathrm{C}=$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 3.00 & \mathrm{~min} \\ 4.29 & \mathrm{~min} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}_{0}=$ | t10/0.7= |  |  |  |
| Volume= | $Q^{*} t_{0}=$ |  | 257.14 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Length= | 40 | (W) | 51.57 | m |
| Height= | 3 | (W) | 3.87 | m |
| Volume= | 120 | (W3) |  |  |
| Width= |  |  | 1.29 | m |
| Number of Tanks | 2 |  |  |  |

Two disinfection chamber will be implemented for redundancy, each chamber will have the dimension listed in Table 13. Each chamber will use superior baffling and the 51.57 m of length required will be accommodated along the serpentine tank.


Figure 1.4 Conceptual Drawing of the Complete Treatment process.
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