



World Justice  
Project

مسألة el derecho पहुँच безопасность kebebasan مساواة justice sécurité И я uhuru adalci ʋʋ

# World Justice Project Rule of Law Index<sup>®</sup> 2020

## The World Justice Project Rule of Law Index® 2020

The *World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index® 2020* report was prepared by the World Justice Project. The Index's conceptual framework and methodology were developed by Juan Carlos Botero, Mark David Agrast, and Alejandro Ponce. Data collection and analysis for the 2020 report was performed by Lindsey Bock, Erin Campbell, Alicia Evangelides, Emma Frerichs, Joshua Fuller, Amy Gryskiewicz, Camilo Gutiérrez Patiño, Matthew Harman, Alexa Hopkins, Ayyub Ibrahim, Sarah Chamness Long, Rachel L. Martin, Jorge A. Morales, Alejandro Ponce, Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca, Leslie Solís Saravia, Rebecca Silvas, and Adriana Stephan, with the assistance of Claudia Bobadilla, Gabriel Hearn-Desautels, Maura McCrary, Emma Poplack, and Francesca Tinucci. The report was produced under the executive direction of Elizabeth Andersen.

Lead graphic designer for this report was Priyanka Khosla, with assistance from Courtney Babcock.

Lead website designer was Pitch Interactive, with assistance from Priyanka Khosla, Matthew Harman, Courtney Babcock, and Rebecca Silvas.

ISBN (*print version*): 978-1-951330-34-7  
ISBN (*online version*): 978-1-951330-35-4

## The World Justice Project

**Board of Directors:** Sheikha Abdulla Al-Misnad, Kamel Ayadi, William C. Hubbard, Hassan Bubacar Jallow, Suet-Fern Lee, Mondli Makhanya, Margaret McKeown, William H. Neukom, John Nery, Ellen Gracie Northfleet, James R. Silkenat and Petar Stoyanov.

**Directors Emeritus:** President Dr. Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai

**Officers:** Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; William C. Hubbard, Chairman of the Board; Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary; William H. Neukom, Founder and CEO; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer.

**Executive Director:** Elizabeth Andersen

**Chief Research Officer:** Alejandro Ponce

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* report was made possible by the generous supporters of the work of the World Justice Project listed in this report on page 203.

© Copyright 2020 by the World Justice Project. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* and the *World Justice Project Rule of Law Index* are trademarks of the World Justice Project. All Rights Reserved. Requests to reproduce this document should be sent to:

WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions  
World Justice Project  
1025 Vermont Avenue, NW, Suite 1200  
Washington, DC 20005 USA

E-mail: [wjp@worldjusticeproject.org](mailto:wjp@worldjusticeproject.org)  
Subject line: WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions



World Justice  
Project

World Justice Project

**Rule of Law Index<sup>®</sup>**

**2020**



# 01

## **Section One About the WJP Rule of Law Index**

- 05 Introduction
- 06 Overview of Scores and Rankings
- 08 Features of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*
- 09 Defining the Rule of Law
- 11 Conceptual Framework of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*
- 12 Indicators of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*

# 02

## **Section Two Scores and Rankings**

- 16 Rule of Law Around the World
- 18 Rule of Law by Region
- 20 Rule of Law by Income
- 22 Rule of Law by Factor

# 03

## **Section Three Country Profiles**


- 32 How to Read the Country Profiles

# 04

## **Section Four Behind the Numbers**

- 162 Methodology
- 169 Contributing Experts
- 201 Acknowledgements
- 202 About the World Justice Project

**The WJP Rule of Law Index is the world's leading source for original, independent data on the rule of law.**





# Introduction

The *World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index® 2020* is the latest report in an annual series measuring the rule of law based on the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts worldwide.

Strengthening the rule of law is a major goal of citizens, governments, donors, businesses, and civil society organizations around the world. To be effective, rule of law development requires clarity about the fundamental features that define the rule of law, as well as an adequate basis for its evaluation and measurement.

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* presents a portrait of the rule of law in 128 countries and jurisdictions by providing scores and rankings based on eight factors: Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.

The scores and rankings in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* are derived from more than 130,000 household surveys and 4,000 legal practitioner and expert surveys worldwide. The Index is the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind and the only to rely principally on primary data.

The Index is intended for a broad audience that includes policy makers, civil society organizations, academics, citizens, and legal professionals, among others. It is our hope that this diagnostic tool will help identify strengths and weaknesses and encourage policy choices, guide program development, and inform research to strengthen the rule of law within and across these countries and jurisdictions.

# Overview of Scores and Rankings

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 in alphabetical order. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change†	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change†
Afghanistan	0.36	0.02	122	3 ▲	Ecuador	0.49	0.01	86	3 ▲
Albania	0.50	-0.01	78	4 ▼	Egypt	0.36	0.00	125	2 ▼
Algeria	0.49	-0.01	83	8 ▼	El Salvador	0.49	0.02	84	2 ▲
Angola	0.43	0.01	110	4 ▲	Estonia	0.81	0.00	10	0
Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	0.00	34	1 ▼	Ethiopia	0.41	0.02	114	6 ▲
Argentina	0.58	0.00	48	2 ▼	Finland	0.87	0.00	3	0
Australia	0.80	0.00	11	0	France	0.73	-0.01	20	3 ▼
Austria	0.82	-0.01	8	1 ▼	The Gambia	0.50	-	74	-
The Bahamas	0.61	-0.01	41	2 ▼	Georgia	0.60	-0.01	42	1 ▼
Bangladesh	0.41	-0.01	115	2 ▼	Germany	0.84	0.00	6	0
Barbados	0.65	0.00	29	0	Ghana	0.57	-0.01	51	3 ▼
Belarus	0.51	0.00	68	1 ▼	Greece	0.61	-0.01	40	4 ▼
Belgium	0.79	0.00	14	0	Grenada	0.59	-0.01	44	1 ▼
Belize	0.48	0.00	89	1 ▼	Guatemala	0.45	-0.01	101	3 ▼
Benin	0.50	0.00	81	0	Guinea	0.42	-0.02	111	4 ▼
Bolivia	0.38	0.00	121	0	Guyana	0.50	0.00	73	3 ▲
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	-0.01	64	2 ▼	Honduras	0.40	0.00	116	1 ▲
Botswana	0.60	0.01	43	1 ▲	Hong Kong SAR, China	0.76	0.00	16	0
Brazil	0.52	-0.02	67	7 ▼	Hungary	0.53	-0.01	60	2 ▼
Bulgaria	0.55	0.00	53	1 ▲	India	0.51	0.00	69	0
Burkina Faso	0.51	0.00	70	1 ▲	Indonesia	0.53	0.01	59	4 ▲
Cambodia	0.33	0.00	127	0	Iran	0.43	-0.02	109	3 ▼
Cameroon	0.36	-0.02	124	2 ▼	Italy	0.66	0.00	27	1 ▲
Canada	0.81	0.00	9	0	Jamaica	0.57	0.00	49	1 ▲
Chile	0.67	-0.01	26	1 ▼	Japan	0.78	0.00	15	0
China	0.48	-0.01	88	4 ▼	Jordan	0.57	0.00	50	1 ▼
Colombia	0.50	0.00	77	5 ▲	Kazakhstan	0.52	0.00	62	4 ▲
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	0.01	126	0	Kenya	0.45	0.00	102	3 ▲
Costa Rica	0.68	0.00	25	1 ▼	Kosovo	0.54	-	54	-
Cote d'Ivoire	0.46	0.00	95	0	Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	0.01	87	0
Croatia	0.61	0.01	39	3 ▲	Lebanon	0.45	-0.02	96	4 ▼
Czech Republic	0.73	0.00	18	1 ▲	Liberia	0.45	-0.01	98	1 ▲
Denmark	0.90	0.00	1	0	Madagascar	0.44	0.01	105	4 ▲
Dominica	0.58	0.00	46	1 ▼	Malawi	0.52	0.00	65	3 ▲
Dominican Republic	0.48	0.01	90	7 ▲	Malaysia	0.58	0.03	47	4 ▲
					Mali	0.44	-0.01	106	2 ▼

\*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 126 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2019 Index with the rankings of the same 126 countries and jurisdictions in 2020, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2020 Index. The two new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index are: Kosovo and The Gambia.



*The country scores and rankings for the WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 are derived from more than 130,000 household surveys and 4,000 expert surveys in 128 countries and jurisdictions.*

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change <sup>†</sup>	Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change <sup>†</sup>
Mauritania	0.36	0.01	123	1 ▲	St. Lucia	0.62	0.00	36	2 ▲
Mauritius	0.61	0.00	38	1 ▼	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	0.02	31	4 ▲
Mexico	0.44	-0.01	104	3 ▼	Suriname	0.50	-0.01	76	5 ▼
Moldova	0.50	0.01	82	3 ▲	Sweden	0.86	0.00	4	0
Mongolia	0.53	-0.01	57	3 ▼	Tanzania	0.47	0.00	93	0
Morocco	0.50	0.00	79	3 ▼	Thailand	0.51	0.00	71	6 ▲
Mozambique	0.41	-0.01	113	2 ▼	Togo	0.45	0.00	99	3 ▲
Myanmar	0.42	0.00	112	0	Trinidad and Tobago	0.54	0.00	55	1 ▲
Namibia	0.63	0.00	35	1 ▼	Tunisia	0.54	0.00	56	3 ▲
Nepal	0.53	0.00	61	0	Turkey	0.43	0.00	107	3 ▲
Netherlands	0.84	0.00	5	0	Uganda	0.40	-0.01	117	2 ▼
New Zealand	0.83	0.00	7	1 ▲	Ukraine	0.51	0.00	72	6 ▲
Nicaragua	0.39	-0.01	118	2 ▼	United Arab Emirates	0.65	0.00	30	2 ▲
Niger	0.45	0.00	103	0	United Kingdom	0.79	-0.01	13	1 ▼
Nigeria	0.43	0.00	108	0	United States	0.72	0.00	21	1 ▼
North Macedonia	0.53	-0.01	58	1 ▼	Uruguay	0.71	0.00	22	1 ▲
Norway	0.89	0.00	2	0	Uzbekistan	0.47	0.01	92	4 ▲
Pakistan	0.39	0.00	120	1 ▼	Venezuela, RB	0.27	-0.01	128	0
Panama	0.52	0.00	63	2 ▲	Vietnam	0.49	0.00	85	2 ▼
Peru	0.50	-0.01	80	7 ▼	Zambia	0.45	-0.01	97	3 ▼
Philippines	0.47	0.00	91	0	Zimbabwe	0.39	-0.01	119	1 ▼
Poland	0.66	-0.01	28	1 ▼					
Portugal	0.70	-0.01	23	1 ▼					
Republic of Korea	0.73	0.00	17	1 ▲					
Romania	0.63	-0.01	32	1 ▼					
Russian Federation	0.47	-0.01	94	4 ▼					
Rwanda	0.62	0.01	37	3 ▲					
Senegal	0.55	0.00	52	0					
Serbia	0.50	0.00	75	5 ▲					
Sierra Leone	0.45	0.00	100	0					
Singapore	0.79	-0.01	12	1 ▲					
Slovenia	0.69	0.01	24	2 ▲					
South Africa	0.59	0.01	45	2 ▲					
Spain	0.73	0.01	19	2 ▲					
Sri Lanka	0.52	0.00	66	2 ▼					
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	-0.01	33	3 ▼					

\*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 126 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2019 Index with the rankings of the same 126 countries and jurisdictions in 2020, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2020 Index. The two new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index are: Kosovo and The Gambia.

# Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The World Justice Project (WJP) developed the WJP Rule of Law Index to serve as a quantitative tool for measuring the rule of law in practice. The Index's methodology and comprehensive definition of the rule of law are the products of intensive consultation and vetting with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from more than 100 countries and jurisdictions and 17 professional disciplines.

The scores and rankings of the eight factors and 44 sub-factors of the Index draw from two sources of data collected by the WJP:

1. **A General Population Poll (GPP)** conducted by leading local polling companies, using a representative sample of 1,000<sup>1</sup> respondents in each country and jurisdiction;
2. **Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs)** consisting of closed-ended questions completed by in-country legal practitioners, experts, and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health.<sup>2</sup>

Taken together, these two data sources provide current, original information reflecting the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts in 128 countries and jurisdictions worldwide.

## Rule of Law in Practice

The Index measures adherence to the rule of law by looking at policy outcomes, such as whether people have access to courts or whether crime is effectively controlled. This stands in contrast to efforts that focus on the written legal code, or the institutional means by which a society may seek to achieve these policy outcomes.

## Comprehensive and Multi-Dimensional

While other indices cover particular aspects of the rule of law, such as absence of corruption or human rights, they do not yield a full picture of the state of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* is the only global instrument that looks at the rule of law comprehensively.

## Perspective of Ordinary People

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* puts people at its core. It looks at a country's adherence to the rule of law from the perspective of ordinary individuals and their experiences with the rule of law in their societies. The Index examines practical, everyday situations, such as whether people can access public services and whether a dispute among neighbors can be resolved peacefully and cost-effectively by an independent adjudicator.

## New Data Anchored in Actual Experiences


The Index is the only comprehensive set of indicators on the rule of law that is based on primary data. The Index's scores are built from the assessments of residents (1,000 respondents per country or jurisdiction) and local legal practitioners and experts, which ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by actual people, including residents from marginalized sectors of society.

## Culturally Competent

The Index has been designed to be applied in diverse countries and jurisdictions with vastly different social, cultural, economic, and political systems. No society has ever attained—let alone sustained—a perfect realization of the rule of law. Every country faces the perpetual challenge of building and renewing the structures, institutions, and norms that can support and sustain a rule of law culture.

## Country-Specific Data and Online Tools

In addition to this written report, an interactive online platform for country-specific *WJP Rule of Law Index* data is available at: [worldjusticeproject.org](http://worldjusticeproject.org). The interactive data site invites viewers to browse each of the 128 country and jurisdiction profiles and explore overall and factor scores.



<sup>1</sup> Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries and jurisdictions, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. One adjustment was to decrease the sample size. For more information on specific countries and jurisdictions and sample sizes, see pages 166-168.

<sup>2</sup> Please see the "Methodology" section on page 162 of this report for more detailed information regarding data collection and score computation.

# Defining the Rule of Law

*Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace—underpinning development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights. Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. But everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law.*

Despite its profound importance for fair and functioning societies, the rule of law is notoriously difficult to define and measure. A simple way of approaching it is to examine a set of outcomes that the rule of law brings to societies, each of which reflects one aspect of the complex concept of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* seeks to embody these outcomes within a simple and coherent framework.

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* captures adherence to the rule of law as defined by the WJP’s universal principles (see following page) through a comprehensive and multi-dimensional set of outcome indicators, each of which reflects a particular aspect of this complex concept. The theoretical framework linking these outcome indicators draws upon two main principles pertaining to the relationship between the state and the governed.

The first principle measures whether the law imposes limits on the exercise of power by the state and its agents, as well as individuals and private entities. This is measured in factors one, two, three, and four of the Index. The second principle measures whether the state limits the actions of members of society and fulfills its basic duties toward its population so that the public interest is served, people are protected from violence, and all members of society have access to dispute settlement and grievance mechanisms. This is measured in factors five, six, seven, and eight of the Index. Although broad in scope, this framework assumes very little about the functions of the state, and when it does, it incorporates functions that are recognized by practically all societies, such as the provision of justice or the guarantee of order and security.

The resulting set of indicators is also an effort to strike a balance between what scholars call a “thin” or minimalist conception of the rule of law that focuses on formal, procedural rules, and a “thick” conception that includes substantive characteristics, such as self-governance and various fundamental rights and freedoms. Striking this balance between “thin” and “thick” conceptions of the rule of law enables the Index to apply to different types of social and political systems, including those that lack many of the features that characterize democratic nations, while including sufficient substantive characteristics to render the rule of law as more than a system of rules. The Index recognizes that a system of law that fails to respect core human rights guaranteed under international law is at best “rule by law” and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system.

The rule of law affects all of us in our everyday lives. Although we may not be aware of it, the rule of law is profoundly important—and not just for lawyers or judges. Every sector of society is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Below are a few examples:

## Business Environment

Imagine an investor seeking to commit resources abroad. She would probably think twice before investing in a country where corruption is rampant, property rights are ill-defined, and contracts are difficult to enforce. Uneven enforcement of regulations, corruption, insecure property rights, and ineffective means to settle disputes undermine legitimate business and deter both domestic and foreign investment.

## Public Works

Consider the bridges, roads, or runways we traverse daily—or the offices and buildings in which we live, work, and play. What would happen if building codes governing design and safety were not enforced or government officials and contractors used low-quality materials in order to pocket the surplus? Weak regulatory enforcement and corruption decrease the security of physical infrastructure and waste scarce resources, which are essential to a thriving economy.

## Public Health and Environment

Consider the implications of pollution, wildlife poaching, and deforestation for public health and the environment. What would happen if a company were pouring harmful chemicals into a river in a highly populated area and the environmental inspector ignored these actions in exchange for a bribe? Adherence to the rule of law is essential to holding governments, businesses, civil society organizations, and communities accountable for protecting public health and the environment.

# The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law

The World Justice Project defines the rule of law as a durable system of laws, institutions, norms, and community commitment that delivers:

## Universal Principle One **Accountability**



*The government as well as private actors are accountable under the law.*

## Universal Principle Two **Just Laws**



*The laws are clear, publicized, and stable; are applied evenly; and protect fundamental rights, including the security of persons and contract, property, and human rights.*

## Universal Principle Three **Open Government**



*The processes by which the laws are enacted, administered, and enforced are accessible, fair, and efficient.*

## Universal Principle Four **Accessible and Impartial Dispute Resolution**




*Justice is delivered timely by competent, ethical, and independent representatives and neutrals who are accessible, have adequate resources, and reflect the makeup of the communities they serve.*

**The four universal principles are further developed in the following eight factors of the annual WJP Rule of Law Index:  
Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.**

# Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The conceptual framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index is comprised of eight factors further disaggregated into 44 sub-factors. These factors and sub-factors are presented below and described in detail in the section that follows.



## Informal Justice and the Rule of Law

The conceptual framework of the Index includes a ninth factor on informal justice that is not included in the Index's aggregate scores and rankings. Informal justice systems often play a large role in countries where formal legal institutions are weak, remote, or perceived as ineffective. For this reason, the WJP has devoted significant effort to collecting data on informal justice through our surveys. Nonetheless, the complexities of these systems and the difficulties of systematically measuring their fairness and effectiveness make cross-country assessments extraordinarily challenging.

### Factor 9: Informal Justice

9.1 Informal justice is timely and effective

9.2 Informal justice is impartial and free of improper influence

9.3 Informal justice respects and protects fundamental rights

# Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

## Factor 1: Constraints on Government Powers

### 1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature

Measures whether legislative bodies have the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

### 1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary

Measures whether the judiciary has the independence and the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on the government.

### 1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review

Measures whether comptrollers or auditors, as well as national human rights ombudsman agencies, have sufficient independence and the ability to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

### 1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct

Measures whether government officials in the executive, legislature, judiciary, and the police are investigated, prosecuted, and punished for official misconduct and other violations.

### 1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

### 1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law

Measures whether government officials are elected or appointed in accordance with the rules and procedures set forth in the constitution. Where elections take place, it also measures the integrity of the electoral process, including access to the ballot, the absence of intimidation, and public scrutiny of election results.

## Factor 2: Absence of Corruption

### 2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures the prevalence of bribery, informal payments, and other inducements in the delivery of public services and the enforcement of regulations. It also measures whether government procurement and public works contracts are awarded through an open and competitive bidding process, and whether government officials at various levels of the executive branch refrain from embezzling public funds.

### 2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether judges and judicial officials refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform duties or expedite processes, and whether the judiciary and judicial rulings are free of improper influence by the government, private interests, and criminal organizations.

### 2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether police officers and criminal investigators refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform basic police services or to investigate crimes, and whether government officials in the police and the military are free of improper influence by private interests or criminal organizations.

### 2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain

Measures whether members of the legislature refrain from soliciting or accepting bribes or other inducements in exchange for political favors or favorable votes on legislation.

## Factor 3: Open Government

### 3.1 Publicized laws and government data

Measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicly available, presented in plain language, and made accessible in all languages. It also measures the quality and accessibility of information published by the government in print or online, and whether administrative regulations, drafts of legislation, and high court decisions are made accessible to the public in a timely manner.

### 3.2 Right to information

Measures whether requests for information held by a government agency are granted, whether these requests are granted within a reasonable time period, if the information provided is pertinent and complete, and if requests for information are granted at a reasonable cost and without having to pay a bribe. It also measures whether people are aware of their right to information, and whether relevant records are accessible to the public upon request.

### 3.3 Civic participation

Measures the effectiveness of civic participation mechanisms, including the protection of the freedoms of opinion and expression, assembly and association, and the right to petition the government. It also measures whether people can voice concerns to various government officers, and whether government officials provide sufficient information and notice about decisions affecting the community.

### 3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Measures whether people are able to bring specific complaints to the government about the provision of public services or the performance of government officers in carrying out their legal duties in practice, and how government officials respond to such complaints.

## Factor 4: Fundamental Rights

### 4.1 Equal treatment and absence of discrimination

Measures whether individuals are free from discrimination—based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity—with respect to public services, employment, court proceedings, and the justice system.

### 4.2 The right to life and security of the person is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police inflict physical harm upon criminal suspects during arrest and interrogation, and whether political dissidents or members of the media are subjected to unreasonable searches, arrest, detention, imprisonment, threats, abusive treatment, or violence.

### 4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects are able to access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.

### 4.4 Freedom of opinion and expression is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

### 4.5 Freedom of belief and religion is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether members of religious minorities can worship and conduct religious practices freely and publicly, and whether non-adherents are protected from having to submit to religious laws.

### 4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police or other government officials conduct physical searches without warrants, or intercept electronic communications of private individuals without judicial authorization.

### 4.7 Freedom of assembly and association is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether people can freely attend community meetings, join political organizations, hold peaceful public demonstrations, sign petitions, and express opinions against government policies and actions without fear of retaliation.

### 4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed

Measures the effective enforcement of fundamental labor rights, including freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining, the absence of discrimination with respect to employment, and freedom from forced labor and child labor.

## Factor 5: Order and Security

### 5.1 Crime is effectively controlled

Measures the prevalence of common crimes, including homicide, kidnapping, burglary and theft, armed robbery, and extortion, as well as people's general perceptions of safety in their communities.

### 5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited

Measures whether people are effectively protected from armed conflict and terrorism.

### 5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances

Measures whether people resort to intimidation or violence to resolve civil disputes amongst themselves or to seek redress from the government, and whether people are free from mob violence.

## **Factor 6: Regulatory Enforcement**

### **6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced**

Measures whether government regulations, such as labor, environmental, public health, commercial, and consumer protection regulations are effectively enforced.

### **6.2 Government regulations are applied and enforced without improper influence**

Measures whether the enforcement of regulations is subject to bribery or improper influence by private interests, and whether public services, such as the issuance of permits and licenses and the administration of public health services, are provided without bribery or other inducements.

### **6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay**

Measures whether administrative proceedings at the national and local levels are conducted without unreasonable delay.

### **6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings**

Measures whether the due process of law is respected in administrative proceedings conducted by national and local authorities in issue areas such as the environment, taxes, and labor.

### **6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process and adequate compensation**

Measures whether the government respects the property rights of people and corporations, refrains from the illegal seizure of private property, and provides adequate compensation when property is legally expropriated.

## **Factor 7: Civil Justice**

### **7.1 People can access and afford civil justice**

Measures the accessibility and affordability of civil courts, including whether people are aware of available remedies; can access and afford legal advice and representation; and can access the court system without incurring unreasonable fees, encountering unreasonable procedural hurdles, or experiencing physical or linguistic barriers.

### **7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination**

Measures whether the civil justice system discriminates in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

### **7.3 Civil justice is free of corruption**

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of bribery and improper influence by private interests.

### **7.4 Civil justice is free of improper government influence**

Measures whether the civil justice system is free of improper government or political influence.

### **7.5 Civil justice is not subject to unreasonable delay**

Measures whether civil justice proceedings are conducted and judgments are produced in a timely manner without unreasonable delay.

### **7.6 Civil justice is effectively enforced**

Measures the effectiveness and timeliness of the enforcement of civil justice decisions and judgments in practice.

### **7.7 Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are accessible, impartial, and effective**

Measures whether alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (ADRs) are affordable, efficient, enforceable, and free of corruption.

## **Factor 8: Criminal Justice**

### **8.1 Criminal investigation system is effective**

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively apprehended and charged. It also measures whether police, investigators, and prosecutors have adequate resources, are free of corruption, and perform their duties competently.

### **8.2 Criminal adjudication system is timely and effective**

Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively prosecuted and punished. It also measures whether criminal judges and other judicial officers are competent and produce speedy decisions.

### **8.3 Correctional system is effective in reducing criminal behavior**

Measures whether correctional institutions are secure, respect prisoners' rights, and are effective in preventing recidivism.

### **8.4 Criminal justice system is impartial**

Measures whether the police and criminal judges are impartial and whether they discriminate in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

### **8.5 Criminal justice system is free of corruption**

Measures whether the police, prosecutors, and judges are free of bribery and improper influence from criminal organizations.

### **8.6 Criminal justice system is free of improper government influence**

Measures whether the criminal justice system is independent from government or political influence.

### **8.7 Due process of the law and rights of the accused**

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects are able to access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.




# Scores & Rankings



# Rule of Law Around the World


The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 by country rank. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.



	Overall Score*	Global Rank		Overall Score*	Global Rank
Denmark	0.90	1	Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	34
Norway	0.89	2	Namibia	0.63	35
Finland	0.87	3	St. Lucia	0.62	36
Sweden	0.86	4	Rwanda	0.62	37
Netherlands	0.84	5	Mauritius	0.61	38
Germany	0.84	6	Croatia	0.61	39
New Zealand	0.83	7	Greece	0.61	40
Austria	0.82	8	The Bahamas	0.61	41
Canada	0.81	9	Georgia	0.60	42
Estonia	0.81	10	Botswana	0.60	43
Australia	0.80	11	Grenada	0.59	44
Singapore	0.79	12	South Africa	0.59	45
United Kingdom	0.79	13	Dominica	0.58	46
Belgium	0.79	14	Malaysia	0.58	47
Japan	0.78	15	Argentina	0.58	48
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.76	16	Jamaica	0.57	49
Republic of Korea	0.73	17	Jordan	0.57	50
Czech Republic	0.73	18	Ghana	0.57	51
Spain	0.73	19	Senegal	0.55	52
France	0.73	20	Bulgaria	0.55	53
United States	0.72	21	Kosovo	0.54	54
Uruguay	0.71	22	Trinidad and Tobago	0.54	55
Portugal	0.70	23	Tunisia	0.54	56
Slovenia	0.69	24	Mongolia	0.53	57
Costa Rica	0.68	25	North Macedonia	0.53	58
Chile	0.67	26	Indonesia	0.53	59
Italy	0.66	27	Hungary	0.53	60
Poland	0.66	28	Nepal	0.53	61
Barbados	0.65	29	Kazakhstan	0.52	62
United Arab Emirates	0.65	30	Panama	0.52	63
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	31	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	64
Romania	0.63	32	Malawi	0.52	65
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	33	Sri Lanka	0.52	66
			Brazil	0.52	67

	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Belarus	0.51	68
India	0.51	69
Burkina Faso	0.51	70
Thailand	0.51	71
Ukraine	0.51	72
Guyana	0.50	73
The Gambia	0.50	74
Serbia	0.50	75
Suriname	0.50	76
Colombia	0.50	77
Albania	0.50	78
Morocco	0.50	79
Peru	0.50	80
Benin	0.50	81
Moldova	0.50	82
Algeria	0.49	83
El Salvador	0.49	84

\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.



	Overall Score*	Global Rank		Overall Score*	Global Rank		Overall Score*	Global Rank
Vietnam	0.49	85	Guatemala	0.45	101	Uganda	0.40	117
Ecuador	0.49	86	Kenya	0.45	102	Nicaragua	0.39	118
Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	87	Niger	0.45	103	Zimbabwe	0.39	119
China	0.48	88	Mexico	0.44	104	Pakistan	0.39	120
Belize	0.48	89	Madagascar	0.44	105	Bolivia	0.38	121
Dominican Republic	0.48	90	Mali	0.44	106	Afghanistan	0.36	122
Philippines	0.47	91	Turkey	0.43	107	Mauritania	0.36	123
Uzbekistan	0.47	92	Nigeria	0.43	108	Cameroon	0.36	124
Tanzania	0.47	93	Iran	0.43	109	Egypt	0.36	125
Russian Federation	0.47	94	Angola	0.43	110	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	126
Cote d'Ivoire	0.46	95	Guinea	0.42	111	Cambodia	0.33	127
Lebanon	0.45	96	Myanmar	0.42	112	Venezuela, RB	0.27	128
Zambia	0.45	97	Mozambique	0.41	113			
Liberia	0.45	98	Ethiopia	0.41	114			
Togo	0.45	99	Bangladesh	0.41	115			
Sierra Leone	0.45	100	Honduras	0.40	116			

\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

# Rule of Law Around the World by Region

## East Asia & Pacific


Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
New Zealand	1/15	0.83	7	0.00	1 ▲
Australia	2/15	0.80	11	0.00	0
Singapore	3/15	0.79	12	-0.01	1 ▲
Japan	4/15	0.78	15	0.00	0
Hong Kong SAR, China	5/15	0.76	16	0.00	0
Republic of Korea	6/15	0.73	17	0.00	1 ▲
Malaysia	7/15	0.58	47	0.03	4 ▲
Mongolia	8/15	0.53	57	-0.01	3 ▼
Indonesia	9/15	0.53	59	0.01	4 ▲
Thailand	10/15	0.51	71	0.00	6 ▲
Vietnam	11/15	0.49	85	0.00	2 ▼
China	12/15	0.48	88	-0.01	4 ▼
Philippines	13/15	0.47	91	0.00	0
Myanmar	14/15	0.42	112	0.00	0
Cambodia	15/15	0.33	127	0.00	0

## Eastern Europe & Central Asia

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
Georgia	1/14	0.60	42	-0.01	1 ▼
Kosovo	2/14	0.54	54	-	-
North Macedonia	3/14	0.53	58	-0.01	1 ▼
Kazakhstan	4/14	0.52	62	0.00	4 ▲
Bosnia and Herzegovina	5/14	0.52	64	-0.01	2 ▼
Belarus	6/14	0.51	68	0.00	1 ▼
Ukraine	7/14	0.51	72	0.00	6 ▲
Serbia	8/14	0.50	75	0.00	5 ▲
Albania	9/14	0.50	78	-0.01	4 ▼
Moldova	10/14	0.50	82	0.01	3 ▲
Kyrgyz Republic	11/14	0.48	87	0.01	0
Uzbekistan	12/14	0.47	92	0.01	4 ▲
Russian Federation	13/14	0.47	94	-0.01	4 ▼
Turkey	14/14	0.43	107	0.00	3 ▲

## Latin America & Caribbean

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
Uruguay	1/30	0.71	22	0.00	1 ▲
Costa Rica	2/30	0.68	25	0.00	1 ▼
Chile	3/30	0.67	26	-0.01	1 ▼
Barbados	4/30	0.65	29	0.00	0
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	5/30	0.64	31	0.02	4 ▲
St. Kitts and Nevis	6/30	0.63	33	-0.01	3 ▼
Antigua and Barbuda	7/30	0.63	34	0.00	1 ▼
St. Lucia	8/30	0.62	36	0.00	2 ▲
The Bahamas	9/30	0.61	41	-0.01	2 ▼
Grenada	10/30	0.59	44	-0.01	1 ▼
Dominica	11/30	0.58	46	0.00	1 ▼
Argentina	12/30	0.58	48	0.00	2 ▼
Jamaica	13/30	0.57	49	0.00	1 ▲
Trinidad and Tobago	14/30	0.54	55	0.00	1 ▲
Panama	15/30	0.52	63	0.00	2 ▲
Brazil	16/30	0.52	67	-0.02	7 ▼
Guyana	17/30	0.50	73	0.00	3 ▲
Suriname	18/30	0.50	76	-0.01	5 ▼
Colombia	19/30	0.50	77	0.00	5 ▲
Peru	20/30	0.50	80	-0.01	7 ▼
El Salvador	21/30	0.49	84	0.02	2 ▲
Ecuador	22/30	0.49	86	0.01	3 ▲
Belize	23/30	0.48	89	0.00	1 ▼
Dominican Republic	24/30	0.48	90	0.01	7 ▲
Guatemala	25/30	0.45	101	-0.01	3 ▼
Mexico	26/30	0.44	104	-0.01	3 ▼
Honduras	27/30	0.40	116	0.00	1 ▲
Nicaragua	28/30	0.39	118	-0.01	2 ▼
Bolivia	29/30	0.38	121	0.00	0
Venezuela, RB	30/30	0.27	128	-0.01	0



†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 126 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2019 Index with the rankings of the same 126 countries and jurisdictions in 2020, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2020 Index. The two new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index are: Kosovo and The Gambia.

\*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places

## EU, EFTA, & NA (European Union, European Free Trade Association, and North America)

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†						
Denmark	1/24	0.90	1	0.00	0	Spain	13/24	0.73	19	0.01	2 ▲
Norway	2/24	0.89	2	0.00	0	France	14/24	0.73	20	-0.01	3 ▼
Finland	3/24	0.87	3	0.00	0	United States	15/24	0.72	21	0.00	1 ▼
Sweden	4/24	0.86	4	0.00	0	Portugal	16/24	0.70	23	-0.01	1 ▼
Netherlands	5/24	0.84	5	0.00	0	Slovenia	17/24	0.69	24	0.01	2 ▲
Germany	6/24	0.84	6	0.00	0	Italy	18/24	0.66	27	0.00	1 ▲
Austria	7/24	0.82	8	-0.01	1 ▼	Poland	19/24	0.66	28	-0.01	1 ▼
Canada	8/24	0.81	9	0.00	0	Romania	20/24	0.63	32	-0.01	1 ▼
Estonia	9/24	0.81	10	0.00	0	Croatia	21/24	0.61	39	0.01	3 ▲
United Kingdom	10/24	0.79	13	-0.01	1 ▼	Greece	22/24	0.61	40	-0.01	4 ▼
Belgium	11/24	0.79	14	0.00	0	Bulgaria	23/24	0.55	53	0.00	1 ▲
Czech Republic	12/24	0.73	18	0.00	1 ▲	Hungary	24/24	0.53	60	-0.01	2 ▼

## Middle East & North Africa


Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†						
United Arab Emirates	1/8	0.65	30	0.00	2 ▲	Algeria	5/8	0.49	83	-0.01	8 ▼
Jordan	2/8	0.57	50	0.00	1 ▼	Lebanon	6/8	0.45	96	-0.02	4 ▼
Tunisia	3/8	0.54	56	0.00	3 ▲	Iran	7/8	0.43	109	-0.02	3 ▼
Morocco	4/8	0.50	79	0.00	3 ▼	Egypt	8/8	0.36	125	0.00	2 ▼

## South Asia

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†						
Nepal	1/6	0.53	61	0.00	0	Bangladesh	4/6	0.41	115	-0.01	2 ▼
Sri Lanka	2/6	0.52	66	0.00	2 ▼	Pakistan	5/6	0.39	120	0.00	1 ▼
India	3/6	0.51	69	0.00	0	Afghanistan	6/6	0.36	122	0.02	3 ▲

## Sub-Saharan Africa

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†						
Namibia	1/31	0.63	35	0.00	1 ▼	Sierra Leone	17/31	0.45	100	0.00	0
Rwanda	2/31	0.62	37	0.01	3 ▲	Kenya	18/31	0.45	102	0.00	3 ▲
Mauritius	3/31	0.61	38	0.00	1 ▼	Niger	19/31	0.45	103	0.00	0
Botswana	4/31	0.60	43	0.01	1 ▲	Madagascar	20/31	0.44	105	0.01	4 ▲
South Africa	5/31	0.59	45	0.01	2 ▲	Mali	21/31	0.44	106	-0.01	2 ▼
Ghana	6/31	0.57	51	-0.01	3 ▼	Nigeria	22/31	0.43	108	0.00	0
Senegal	7/31	0.55	52	0.00	0	Angola	23/31	0.43	110	0.01	4 ▲
Malawi	8/31	0.52	65	0.00	3 ▲	Guinea	24/31	0.42	111	-0.02	4 ▼
Burkina Faso	9/31	0.51	70	0.00	1 ▲	Mozambique	25/31	0.41	113	-0.01	2 ▼
The Gambia	10/31	0.50	74	-	-	Ethiopia	26/31	0.41	114	0.02	6 ▲
Benin	11/31	0.50	81	0.00	0	Uganda	27/31	0.40	117	-0.01	2 ▼
Tanzania	12/31	0.47	93	0.00	0	Zimbabwe	28/31	0.39	119	-0.01	1 ▼
Cote d'Ivoire	13/31	0.46	95	0.00	0	Mauritania	29/31	0.36	123	0.01	1 ▲
Zambia	14/31	0.45	97	-0.01	3 ▼	Cameroon	30/31	0.36	124	-0.02	2 ▼
Liberia	15/31	0.45	98	-0.01	1 ▲	Congo, Dem. Rep.	31/31	0.34	126	0.01	0
Togo	16/31	0.45	99	0.00	3 ▲						



\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

# Rule of Law Around the World by Income

## Low Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Low Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Rwanda	1/19	0.62	37
Nepal	2/19	0.53	61
Malawi	3/19	0.52	65
Burkina Faso	4/19	0.51	70
The Gambia	5/19	0.50	74
Benin	6/19	0.50	81
Tanzania	7/19	0.47	93
Liberia	8/19	0.45	98
Togo	9/19	0.45	99
Sierra Leone	10/19	0.45	100
Niger	11/19	0.45	103
Madagascar	12/19	0.44	105
Mali	13/19	0.44	106
Guinea	14/19	0.42	111
Mozambique	15/19	0.41	113
Ethiopia	16/19	0.41	114
Uganda	17/19	0.40	117
Afghanistan	18/19	0.36	122
Congo, Dem. Rep.	19/19	0.34	126

## Lower Middle Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Lower Middle Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Ghana	1/30	0.57	51
Senegal	2/30	0.55	52
Tunisia	3/30	0.54	56
Mongolia	4/30	0.53	57
Indonesia	5/30	0.53	59
India	6/30	0.51	69
Ukraine	7/30	0.51	72
Morocco	8/30	0.50	79
Moldova	9/30	0.50	82
El Salvador	10/30	0.49	84
Vietnam	11/30	0.49	85
Kyrgyz Republic	12/30	0.48	87
Philippines	13/30	0.47	91
Uzbekistan	14/30	0.47	92
Cote d'Ivoire	15/30	0.46	95
Zambia	16/30	0.45	97
Kenya	17/30	0.45	102
Nigeria	18/30	0.43	108
Angola	19/30	0.43	110
Myanmar	20/30	0.42	112
Bangladesh	21/30	0.41	115
Honduras	22/30	0.40	116
Nicaragua	23/30	0.39	118
Zimbabwe	24/30	0.39	119
Pakistan	25/30	0.39	120
Bolivia	26/30	0.38	121
Mauritania	27/30	0.36	123
Cameroon	28/30	0.36	124
Egypt	29/30	0.36	125
Cambodia	30/30	0.33	127




\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

## Upper Middle Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Upper Middle Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Costa Rica	1/42	0.68	25
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	2/42	0.64	31
Romania	3/42	0.63	32
Namibia	4/42	0.63	35
St. Lucia	5/42	0.62	36
Mauritius	6/42	0.61	38
Georgia	7/42	0.60	42
Botswana	8/42	0.60	43
Grenada	9/42	0.59	44
South Africa	10/42	0.59	45
Dominica	11/42	0.58	46
Malaysia	12/42	0.58	47
Argentina	13/42	0.58	48
Jamaica	14/42	0.57	49
Jordan	15/42	0.57	50
Bulgaria	16/42	0.55	53
Kosovo	17/42	0.54	54
North Macedonia	18/42	0.53	58
Kazakhstan	19/42	0.52	62
Bosnia and Herzegovina	20/42	0.52	64
Sri Lanka	21/42	0.52	66
Brazil	22/42	0.52	67
Belarus	23/42	0.51	68
Thailand	24/42	0.51	71
Guyana	25/42	0.50	73
Serbia	26/42	0.50	75
Suriname	27/42	0.50	76
Colombia	28/42	0.50	77
Albania	29/42	0.50	78
Peru	30/42	0.50	80
Algeria	31/42	0.49	83
Ecuador	32/42	0.49	86
China	33/42	0.48	88
Belize	34/42	0.48	89
Dominican Republic	35/42	0.48	90
Russian Federation	36/42	0.47	94
Lebanon	37/42	0.45	96
Guatemala	38/42	0.45	101
Mexico	39/42	0.44	104
Turkey	40/42	0.43	107
Iran	41/42	0.43	109
Venezuela, RB	42/42	0.27	128

## High Income

Country/Jurisdiction	High Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Denmark	1/37	0.90	1
Norway	2/37	0.89	2
Finland	3/37	0.87	3
Sweden	4/37	0.86	4
Netherlands	5/37	0.84	5
Germany	6/37	0.84	6
New Zealand	7/37	0.83	7
Austria	8/37	0.82	8
Canada	9/37	0.81	9
Estonia	10/37	0.81	10
Australia	11/37	0.80	11
Singapore	12/37	0.79	12
United Kingdom	13/37	0.79	13
Belgium	14/37	0.79	14
Japan	15/37	0.78	15
Hong Kong SAR, China	16/37	0.76	16
Republic of Korea	17/37	0.73	17
Czech Republic	18/37	0.73	18
Spain	19/37	0.73	19
France	20/37	0.73	20
United States	21/37	0.72	21
Uruguay	22/37	0.71	22
Portugal	23/37	0.70	23
Slovenia	24/37	0.69	24
Chile	25/37	0.67	26
Italy	26/37	0.66	27
Poland	27/37	0.66	28
Barbados	28/37	0.65	29
United Arab Emirates	29/37	0.65	30
St. Kitts and Nevis	30/37	0.63	33
Antigua and Barbuda	31/37	0.63	34
Croatia	32/37	0.61	39
Greece	33/37	0.61	40
The Bahamas	34/37	0.61	41
Trinidad and Tobago	35/37	0.54	55
Hungary	36/37	0.53	60
Panama	37/37	0.52	63



\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

# Constraints on Government Powers

Factor 1 measures the extent to which those who govern are bound by law. It comprises the means, both constitutional and institutional, by which the powers of the government and its officials and agents are limited and held accountable under the law. It also includes non-governmental checks on the government's power, such as a free and independent press. For a further breakdown of Constraints on Government Powers by sub-factor, please refer to page 12.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank						
Denmark	0.94	1	The Gambia	0.60	44	North Macedonia	0.47	87
Norway	0.94	2	Rwanda	0.60	45	Dominican Republic	0.47	88
Finland	0.92	3	Nepal	0.60	46	Mexico	0.46	89
Sweden	0.87	4	Mauritius	0.60	47	Ukraine	0.46	90
Netherlands	0.86	5	Peru	0.60	48	Bulgaria	0.46	91
Germany	0.85	6	St. Lucia	0.59	49	Moldova	0.46	92
New Zealand	0.85	7	Malaysia	0.58	50	Madagascar	0.46	93
Austria	0.85	8	Poland	0.58	51	Zambia	0.46	94
Canada	0.84	9	Grenada	0.58	52	Vietnam	0.45	95
Estonia	0.83	10	Croatia	0.58	53	Albania	0.45	96
Belgium	0.83	11	Senegal	0.56	54	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.45	97
Australia	0.82	12	Trinidad and Tobago	0.56	55	Myanmar	0.45	98
United Kingdom	0.82	13	Burkina Faso	0.56	56	Belize	0.44	99
Portugal	0.78	14	Malawi	0.56	57	Afghanistan	0.44	100
Costa Rica	0.76	15	Guyana	0.56	58	Mozambique	0.43	101
Uruguay	0.76	16	United Arab Emirates	0.56	59	Kazakhstan	0.42	102
Spain	0.74	17	Sri Lanka	0.55	60	Angola	0.42	103
Czech Republic	0.73	18	Georgia	0.55	61	Cote d'Ivoire	0.41	104
France	0.73	19	Panama	0.54	62	Uganda	0.40	105
Chile	0.72	20	Colombia	0.53	63	Niger	0.40	106
Republic of Korea	0.72	21	Brazil	0.53	64	Bangladesh	0.40	107
United States	0.71	22	Mongolia	0.53	65	Hungary	0.40	108
Italy	0.71	23	Dominica	0.53	66	Guinea	0.39	109
Japan	0.71	24	Benin	0.53	67	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.39	110
Namibia	0.69	25	Nigeria	0.53	68	Serbia	0.39	111
Indonesia	0.68	26	Guatemala	0.52	69	Ethiopia	0.39	112
Greece	0.68	27	Liberia	0.52	70	Cameroon	0.37	113
Ghana	0.68	28	Kosovo	0.52	71	Iran	0.37	114
Singapore	0.67	29	Tanzania	0.51	72	Russian Federation	0.36	115
Slovenia	0.65	30	Sierra Leone	0.51	73	Bolivia	0.36	116
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.65	31	Morocco	0.51	74	Togo	0.36	117
Jamaica	0.64	32	Philippines	0.50	75	Belarus	0.36	118
South Africa	0.63	33	Lebanon	0.50	76	Honduras	0.34	119
Barbados	0.63	34	El Salvador	0.50	77	Uzbekistan	0.33	120
Antigua and Barbuda	0.62	35	Kenya	0.49	78	Mauritania	0.33	121
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.62	36	Pakistan	0.49	79	Zimbabwe	0.33	122
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.62	37	Jordan	0.49	80	China	0.32	123
Romania	0.62	38	Suriname	0.48	81	Turkey	0.30	124
Botswana	0.62	39	Thailand	0.48	82	Cambodia	0.29	125
Argentina	0.61	40	Algeria	0.48	83	Egypt	0.27	126
India	0.61	41	Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	84	Nicaragua	0.26	127
Tunisia	0.61	42	Ecuador	0.48	85	Venezuela, RB	0.17	128
The Bahamas	0.61	43	Mali	0.47	86			




\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places



# Absence of Corruption

Factor 2 measures the absence of corruption in government. The factor considers three forms of corruption: bribery, improper influence by public or private interests, and misappropriation of public funds or other resources. These three forms of corruption are examined with respect to government officers in the executive branch, the judiciary, the military, police, and the legislature. For a further breakdown of Absence of Corruption by sub-factor, please refer to page 12.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.95	1
Norway	0.94	2
Singapore	0.91	3
Sweden	0.91	4
Finland	0.89	5
Netherlands	0.88	6
New Zealand	0.87	7
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.84	8
Canada	0.83	9
United Kingdom	0.82	10
Germany	0.82	11
Austria	0.82	12
Japan	0.82	13
Australia	0.82	14
Belgium	0.80	15
United Arab Emirates	0.80	16
Estonia	0.79	17
France	0.74	18
United States	0.74	19
Poland	0.73	20
Spain	0.73	21
Uruguay	0.73	22
Portugal	0.72	23
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.70	24
Barbados	0.70	25
Chile	0.69	26
Georgia	0.68	27
Republic of Korea	0.67	28
Slovenia	0.66	29
Costa Rica	0.66	30
Czech Republic	0.65	31
Grenada	0.65	32
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.64	33
St. Lucia	0.64	34
Italy	0.63	35
Rwanda	0.63	36
The Bahamas	0.63	37
Antigua and Barbuda	0.61	38
Jordan	0.60	39
Dominica	0.60	40
Malaysia	0.60	41
Botswana	0.59	42
Mauritius	0.59	43
Croatia	0.58	44
Greece	0.56	45
Romania	0.56	46
Jamaica	0.55	47
Belarus	0.54	48
Senegal	0.54	49
Namibia	0.53	50
China	0.53	51
Argentina	0.52	52
Hungary	0.51	53
Thailand	0.49	54
Trinidad and Tobago	0.49	55
Tunisia	0.49	56
Kazakhstan	0.49	57
South Africa	0.48	58
The Gambia	0.48	59
Turkey	0.47	60
Sri Lanka	0.47	61
Kosovo	0.46	62
Myanmar	0.46	63
Philippines	0.46	64
Ethiopia	0.46	65
Guyana	0.46	66
Algeria	0.45	67
Suriname	0.45	68
Brazil	0.45	69
North Macedonia	0.44	70
Bulgaria	0.44	71
Serbia	0.44	72
Morocco	0.44	73
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.44	74
Belize	0.43	75
Iran	0.43	76
Russian Federation	0.43	77
Togo	0.43	78
Angola	0.43	79
Burkina Faso	0.42	80
Tanzania	0.42	81
Panama	0.42	82
Mongolia	0.42	83
Vietnam	0.42	84
India	0.42	85
Ecuador	0.42	86
Malawi	0.41	87
Nepal	0.41	88
Uzbekistan	0.40	89
Niger	0.40	90
Egypt	0.40	91
Indonesia	0.39	92
Ghana	0.39	93
Dominican Republic	0.39	94
Colombia	0.39	95
Benin	0.38	96
Zambia	0.38	97
El Salvador	0.38	98
Mozambique	0.37	99
Albania	0.37	100
Lebanon	0.36	101
Bangladesh	0.36	102
Cote d'Ivoire	0.36	103
Sierra Leone	0.35	104
Nicaragua	0.34	105
Moldova	0.34	106
Mali	0.34	107
Guatemala	0.34	108
Nigeria	0.33	109
Ukraine	0.33	110
Peru	0.33	111
Liberia	0.32	112
Kyrgyz Republic	0.32	113
Honduras	0.32	114
Zimbabwe	0.32	115
Pakistan	0.31	116
Venezuela, RB	0.31	117
Afghanistan	0.30	118
Mauritania	0.29	119
Guinea	0.29	120
Mexico	0.27	121
Kenya	0.27	122
Madagascar	0.27	123
Bolivia	0.27	124
Uganda	0.26	125
Cameroon	0.26	126
Cambodia	0.24	127
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.16	128




\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

# Open Government

Factor 3 measures the openness of government defined by the extent to which a government shares information, empowers people with tools to hold the government accountable, and fosters citizen participation in public policy deliberations. This factor measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicized and evaluates the quality of information published by the government. For a further breakdown of Open Government by sub-factor, please refer to page 13.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Norway	0.89	1
Denmark	0.88	2
Sweden	0.86	3
Finland	0.86	4
Netherlands	0.82	5
New Zealand	0.82	6
Australia	0.81	7
Estonia	0.81	8
Canada	0.81	9
Germany	0.79	10
United Kingdom	0.79	11
France	0.78	12
United States	0.78	13
Belgium	0.76	14
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.73	15
Uruguay	0.72	16
Austria	0.71	17
Chile	0.71	18
Spain	0.71	19
Republic of Korea	0.71	20
Costa Rica	0.70	21
Japan	0.68	22
Czech Republic	0.67	23
Portugal	0.66	24
Slovenia	0.65	25
Singapore	0.64	26
Colombia	0.64	27
Argentina	0.64	28
Italy	0.63	29
South Africa	0.62	30
Brazil	0.61	31
India	0.61	32
Croatia	0.61	33
Greece	0.61	34
Romania	0.61	35
Mexico	0.60	36
Poland	0.60	37
Panama	0.59	38
Rwanda	0.58	39
Namibia	0.58	40
Georgia	0.57	41
Ukraine	0.57	42
Jamaica	0.56	43
Kosovo	0.56	44
Trinidad and Tobago	0.56	45
Bulgaria	0.56	46
Peru	0.55	47
Moldova	0.55	48
Indonesia	0.55	49
Mauritius	0.54	50
Kyrgyz Republic	0.54	51
El Salvador	0.53	52
Dominican Republic	0.53	53
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.52	54
Philippines	0.52	55
Antigua and Barbuda	0.52	56
Barbados	0.52	57
Nepal	0.52	58
Sri Lanka	0.51	59
Guatemala	0.51	60
Thailand	0.51	61
The Bahamas	0.50	62
Dominica	0.50	63
Ghana	0.50	64
Ecuador	0.50	65
Botswana	0.50	66
St. Lucia	0.49	67
Tunisia	0.49	68
Russian Federation	0.49	69
Mongolia	0.49	70
North Macedonia	0.48	71
Kenya	0.48	72
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.47	73
Burkina Faso	0.47	74
Liberia	0.47	75
Serbia	0.47	76
Albania	0.47	77
Vietnam	0.46	78
Madagascar	0.46	79
Hungary	0.46	80
Kazakhstan	0.46	81
Grenada	0.46	82
Mali	0.46	83
Guyana	0.45	84
Belize	0.45	85
Morocco	0.44	86
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.44	87
Senegal	0.43	88
Malawi	0.43	89
Bolivia	0.43	90
Pakistan	0.43	91
China	0.43	92
Bangladesh	0.43	93
Nigeria	0.43	94
Malaysia	0.42	95
Honduras	0.42	96
Turkey	0.42	97
Jordan	0.42	98
Benin	0.41	99
Afghanistan	0.41	100
Lebanon	0.40	101
Uganda	0.40	102
Sierra Leone	0.40	103
Suriname	0.39	104
Tanzania	0.38	105
Zambia	0.38	106
Cote d'Ivoire	0.38	107
Nicaragua	0.37	108
Myanmar	0.37	109
Angola	0.37	110
Guinea	0.37	111
Belarus	0.36	112
United Arab Emirates	0.36	113
The Gambia	0.36	114
Mozambique	0.35	115
Niger	0.35	116
Algeria	0.34	117
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	118
Cameroon	0.34	119
Uzbekistan	0.33	120
Ethiopia	0.32	121
Zimbabwe	0.32	122
Togo	0.30	123
Iran	0.28	124
Venezuela, RB	0.28	125
Cambodia	0.27	126
Mauritania	0.27	127
Egypt	0.22	128




\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

# Fundamental Rights

Factor 4 recognizes that a system of positive law that fails to respect core human rights established under international law is at best “rule by law,” and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system. Since there are many other indices that address human rights, and because it would be impossible for the Index to assess adherence to the full range of rights, this factor focuses on a relatively modest menu of rights that are firmly established under the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights and are most closely related to rule of law concerns. For a further breakdown of Fundamental Rights by sub-factor, please refer to page 13.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank		Factor Score*	Factor Rank		Factor Score*	Factor Rank	
Denmark	0.92	1		Grenada	0.63	44	Belize	0.50	87
Norway	0.91	2		Dominica	0.63	45	Lebanon	0.50	88
Finland	0.91	3		Peru	0.62	46	Niger	0.49	89
Sweden	0.87	4		Ghana	0.61	47	Thailand	0.49	90
Germany	0.85	5		Georgia	0.61	48	Jordan	0.48	91
Austria	0.85	6		Ukraine	0.61	49	Madagascar	0.47	92
Netherlands	0.84	7		Bulgaria	0.61	50	Algeria	0.47	93
Belgium	0.84	8		Senegal	0.60	51	Belarus	0.47	94
Canada	0.82	9		Kosovo	0.60	52	Kenya	0.47	95
Estonia	0.82	10		Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.59	53	Bolivia	0.46	96
New Zealand	0.81	11		Albania	0.59	54	Togo	0.46	97
Australia	0.79	12		North Macedonia	0.59	55	United Arab Emirates	0.46	98
United Kingdom	0.79	13		Trinidad and Tobago	0.59	56	Nigeria	0.46	99
Czech Republic	0.79	14		Botswana	0.58	57	Kazakhstan	0.46	100
Costa Rica	0.79	15		Malawi	0.58	58	Vietnam	0.46	101
Spain	0.79	16		Hungary	0.58	59	Cote d'Ivoire	0.45	102
Portugal	0.78	17		Dominican Republic	0.58	60	Morocco	0.45	103
Uruguay	0.78	18		Mongolia	0.57	61	Russian Federation	0.44	104
Japan	0.77	19		Serbia	0.57	62	Tanzania	0.43	105
Slovenia	0.75	20		Tunisia	0.57	63	Zambia	0.42	106
France	0.73	21		Burkina Faso	0.57	64	Philippines	0.41	107
Republic of Korea	0.73	22		Guyana	0.56	65	Afghanistan	0.41	108
Italy	0.73	23		Suriname	0.55	66	Mozambique	0.41	109
Barbados	0.73	24		The Gambia	0.55	67	Uzbekistan	0.41	110
Chile	0.72	25		Moldova	0.54	68	Honduras	0.41	111
United States	0.72	26		Benin	0.54	69	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.41	112
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.70	27		Guatemala	0.54	70	Mauritania	0.40	113
Romania	0.70	28		Mali	0.54	71	Angola	0.39	114
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.70	29		Sri Lanka	0.54	72	Pakistan	0.38	115
Argentina	0.70	30		El Salvador	0.54	73	Nicaragua	0.37	116
Antigua and Barbuda	0.70	31		Colombia	0.53	74	Uganda	0.37	117
Singapore	0.68	32		Liberia	0.52	75	Cameroon	0.36	118
Croatia	0.67	33		Nepal	0.52	76	Cambodia	0.35	119
Namibia	0.66	34		Ecuador	0.52	77	Ethiopia	0.35	120
St. Lucia	0.66	35		Mexico	0.52	78	Zimbabwe	0.34	121
The Bahamas	0.66	36		Indonesia	0.52	79	Bangladesh	0.32	122
Greece	0.65	37		Malaysia	0.52	80	Turkey	0.32	123
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.65	38		Rwanda	0.51	81	Venezuela, RB	0.31	124
Poland	0.64	39		Kyrgyz Republic	0.51	82	Myanmar	0.31	125
Jamaica	0.64	40		Brazil	0.51	83	China	0.29	126
South Africa	0.64	41		India	0.51	84	Egypt	0.28	127
Panama	0.64	42		Sierra Leone	0.51	85	Iran	0.22	128
Mauritius	0.64	43		Guinea	0.50	86			



\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

# Order and Security

Factor 5 measures how well a society ensures the security of persons and property. Security is one of the defining aspects of any rule of law society and is a fundamental function of the state. It is also a precondition for the realization of the rights and freedoms that the rule of law seeks to advance. For a further breakdown of Order and Security by sub-factor, please refer to page 13.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Singapore	0.93	1
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.93	2
Denmark	0.93	3
Norway	0.93	4
Japan	0.92	5
Sweden	0.92	6
United Arab Emirates	0.91	7
Finland	0.91	8
Canada	0.91	9
Austria	0.90	10
Uzbekistan	0.90	11
Czech Republic	0.90	12
Hungary	0.89	13
New Zealand	0.89	14
Estonia	0.89	15
Slovenia	0.89	16
Germany	0.89	17
Australia	0.87	18
Poland	0.86	19
Netherlands	0.85	20
Croatia	0.85	21
Rwanda	0.84	22
Republic of Korea	0.84	23
United Kingdom	0.84	24
Kosovo	0.84	25
Romania	0.83	26
Spain	0.82	27
United States	0.81	28
Belgium	0.81	29
Belarus	0.80	30
Moldova	0.80	31
North Macedonia	0.79	32
Barbados	0.79	33
Malaysia	0.79	34
Georgia	0.79	35
Albania	0.79	36
Portugal	0.79	37
Antigua and Barbuda	0.78	38
Kazakhstan	0.78	39
China	0.78	40
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.78	41
Serbia	0.77	42
Bulgaria	0.77	43
Vietnam	0.77	44
Mongolia	0.77	45
Grenada	0.77	46
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.76	47
Benin	0.76	48
Jordan	0.76	49
Kyrgyz Republic	0.76	50
Mauritius	0.75	51
Ukraine	0.75	52
France	0.75	53
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.75	54
The Bahamas	0.75	55
Italy	0.75	56
St. Lucia	0.74	57
Dominica	0.74	58
Algeria	0.74	59
Namibia	0.74	60
Togo	0.73	61
Nepal	0.73	62
Iran	0.73	63
Guinea	0.72	64
Ghana	0.72	65
Greece	0.71	66
Thailand	0.71	67
Botswana	0.71	68
The Gambia	0.71	69
Sri Lanka	0.71	70
Madagascar	0.70	71
Belize	0.70	72
Nicaragua	0.70	73
Uruguay	0.69	74
Zambia	0.69	75
Senegal	0.69	76
Turkey	0.69	77
Tanzania	0.69	78
Malawi	0.69	79
Tunisia	0.68	80
Costa Rica	0.68	81
Indonesia	0.68	82
Morocco	0.68	83
Cote d'Ivoire	0.68	84
Trinidad and Tobago	0.68	85
Chile	0.67	86
Zimbabwe	0.67	87
Cambodia	0.67	88
Sierra Leone	0.67	89
Panama	0.66	90
Russian Federation	0.66	91
Lebanon	0.66	92
Honduras	0.66	93
Myanmar	0.65	94
Mauritania	0.65	95
El Salvador	0.65	96
Philippines	0.65	97
Suriname	0.65	98
Niger	0.64	99
Brazil	0.64	100
Ethiopia	0.63	101
Dominican Republic	0.63	102
Bangladesh	0.63	103
Ecuador	0.63	104
Peru	0.63	105
Guyana	0.62	106
Burkina Faso	0.62	107
Argentina	0.62	108
Jamaica	0.61	109
South Africa	0.61	110
Liberia	0.61	111
Angola	0.60	112
Uganda	0.59	113
India	0.59	114
Bolivia	0.59	115
Guatemala	0.59	116
Kenya	0.58	117
Egypt	0.57	118
Colombia	0.56	119
Mozambique	0.55	120
Mexico	0.53	121
Mali	0.51	122
Venezuela, RB	0.48	123
Cameroon	0.48	124
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.48	125
Pakistan	0.37	126
Nigeria	0.35	127
Afghanistan	0.29	128



\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

# Regulatory Enforcement

Factor 6 measures the extent to which regulations are fairly and effectively implemented and enforced. Regulations, both legal and administrative, structure behaviors within and outside of the government. This factor does not assess which activities a government chooses to regulate, nor does it consider how much regulation of a particular activity is appropriate. Rather, it examines how regulations are implemented and enforced. For a further breakdown of Regulatory Enforcement by sub-factor, please refer to page 14.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank						
Denmark	0.90	1	Senegal	0.57	44	Myanmar	0.46	87
Norway	0.87	2	South Africa	0.56	45	Iran	0.46	88
Singapore	0.87	3	Morocco	0.56	46	Vietnam	0.45	89
Finland	0.86	4	Grenada	0.56	47	Malawi	0.45	90
New Zealand	0.85	5	Croatia	0.56	48	Mexico	0.45	91
Germany	0.85	6	Indonesia	0.55	49	Lebanon	0.45	92
Netherlands	0.85	7	Ghana	0.55	50	Kenya	0.45	93
Sweden	0.84	8	Jamaica	0.54	51	Tanzania	0.44	94
Austria	0.84	9	Tunisia	0.53	52	Kyrgyz Republic	0.44	95
Australia	0.82	10	Bulgaria	0.53	53	Uzbekistan	0.44	96
Canada	0.81	11	Argentina	0.53	54	Kosovo	0.44	97
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.81	12	Dominica	0.53	55	Albania	0.44	98
United Kingdom	0.81	13	Colombia	0.52	56	Nigeria	0.43	99
Belgium	0.80	14	Cote d'Ivoire	0.52	57	Ukraine	0.43	100
Estonia	0.79	15	El Salvador	0.51	58	Moldova	0.43	101
Japan	0.79	16	Trinidad and Tobago	0.51	59	Liberia	0.43	102
France	0.76	17	Brazil	0.51	60	Belize	0.43	103
United Arab Emirates	0.73	18	Panama	0.51	61	Nicaragua	0.43	104
Republic of Korea	0.72	19	Nepal	0.51	62	Zambia	0.43	105
United States	0.71	20	Belarus	0.51	63	Uganda	0.42	106
Czech Republic	0.71	21	The Bahamas	0.51	64	Guatemala	0.42	107
Spain	0.70	22	Kazakhstan	0.51	65	Dominican Republic	0.42	108
Uruguay	0.70	23	Benin	0.50	66	Cameroon	0.42	109
Costa Rica	0.67	24	China	0.49	67	Turkey	0.41	110
Slovenia	0.65	25	Peru	0.49	68	Bangladesh	0.41	111
Chile	0.64	26	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.49	69	Mozambique	0.40	112
Mauritius	0.63	27	Mali	0.49	70	Angola	0.40	113
Portugal	0.62	28	Togo	0.49	71	Bolivia	0.40	114
Poland	0.62	29	Ecuador	0.49	72	Pakistan	0.39	115
Botswana	0.61	30	Russian Federation	0.49	73	Ethiopia	0.39	116
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.61	31	India	0.49	74	Honduras	0.39	117
Italy	0.61	32	Philippines	0.48	75	The Gambia	0.38	118
Antigua and Barbuda	0.60	33	North Macedonia	0.48	76	Sierra Leone	0.37	119
Jordan	0.60	34	Serbia	0.48	77	Madagascar	0.37	120
Barbados	0.60	35	Burkina Faso	0.48	78	Afghanistan	0.37	121
St. Lucia	0.60	36	Sri Lanka	0.47	79	Guinea	0.37	122
Rwanda	0.59	37	Niger	0.47	80	Egypt	0.37	123
Namibia	0.58	38	Suriname	0.47	81	Zimbabwe	0.36	124
Greece	0.58	39	Mongolia	0.47	82	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.35	125
Malaysia	0.57	40	Thailand	0.47	83	Mauritania	0.28	126
Georgia	0.57	41	Guyana	0.47	84	Cambodia	0.27	127
Romania	0.57	42	Algeria	0.47	85	Venezuela, RB	0.20	128
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.57	43	Hungary	0.47	86			



\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

# Civil Justice

Factor 7 measures whether ordinary people can resolve their grievances peacefully and effectively through the civil justice system. It measures whether civil justice systems are accessible and affordable as well as free of discrimination, corruption, and improper influence by public officials. It examines whether court proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delays and whether decisions are enforced effectively. It also measures the accessibility, impartiality, and effectiveness of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. For a further breakdown of Civil Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 14.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank						
Denmark	0.86	1	Grenada	0.60	44	Albania	0.48	87
Norway	0.85	2	Argentina	0.59	45	Zambia	0.47	88
Netherlands	0.85	3	Ghana	0.59	46	Vietnam	0.46	89
Germany	0.85	4	Greece	0.59	47	Burkina Faso	0.46	90
Sweden	0.82	5	Dominica	0.59	48	Kenya	0.46	91
Singapore	0.82	6	Trinidad and Tobago	0.58	49	Philippines	0.46	92
Finland	0.81	7	The Bahamas	0.57	50	Kosovo	0.46	93
Estonia	0.80	8	Senegal	0.57	51	Mozambique	0.46	94
Japan	0.79	9	Croatia	0.57	52	Indonesia	0.46	95
New Zealand	0.78	10	Algeria	0.56	53	Hungary	0.45	96
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.77	11	Italy	0.56	54	Dominican Republic	0.45	97
Austria	0.77	12	Malawi	0.56	55	India	0.45	98
Republic of Korea	0.76	13	Bulgaria	0.56	56	Sri Lanka	0.45	99
Australia	0.76	14	North Macedonia	0.55	57	Peru	0.45	100
Belgium	0.76	15	Iran	0.55	58	Zimbabwe	0.45	101
Uruguay	0.74	16	Cote d'Ivoire	0.54	59	Niger	0.45	102
United Kingdom	0.71	17	Morocco	0.54	60	Turkey	0.44	103
France	0.71	18	Ukraine	0.54	61	Angola	0.44	104
Canada	0.70	19	Russian Federation	0.54	62	Sierra Leone	0.44	105
Czech Republic	0.69	20	Brazil	0.54	63	Liberia	0.44	106
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.69	21	China	0.53	64	Madagascar	0.43	107
Portugal	0.68	22	Guyana	0.53	65	Uganda	0.43	108
St. Lucia	0.68	23	El Salvador	0.53	66	Ethiopia	0.42	109
United Arab Emirates	0.68	24	Georgia	0.53	67	Lebanon	0.42	110
Spain	0.67	25	Mongolia	0.53	68	Mali	0.42	111
Namibia	0.67	26	The Gambia	0.52	69	Honduras	0.41	112
Antigua and Barbuda	0.66	27	Jamaica	0.51	70	Benin	0.41	113
Slovenia	0.66	28	Belize	0.51	71	Cameroon	0.41	114
Barbados	0.64	29	Uzbekistan	0.51	72	Guinea	0.41	115
Rwanda	0.64	30	Serbia	0.51	73	Mexico	0.39	116
Mauritius	0.64	31	Tunisia	0.50	74	Egypt	0.39	117
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	32	Nigeria	0.50	75	Pakistan	0.38	118
Chile	0.63	33	Tanzania	0.50	76	Bangladesh	0.38	119
Poland	0.63	34	Ecuador	0.49	77	Nicaragua	0.38	120
Malaysia	0.63	35	Suriname	0.49	78	Guatemala	0.37	121
United States	0.62	36	Colombia	0.49	79	Myanmar	0.37	122
Jordan	0.62	37	Kyrgyz Republic	0.49	80	Afghanistan	0.37	123
Costa Rica	0.62	38	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.48	81	Mauritania	0.36	124
Romania	0.62	39	Nepal	0.48	82	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.35	125
Kazakhstan	0.62	40	Panama	0.48	83	Bolivia	0.33	126
South Africa	0.61	41	Thailand	0.48	84	Venezuela, RB	0.27	127
Botswana	0.61	42	Togo	0.48	85	Cambodia	0.26	128
Belarus	0.60	43	Moldova	0.48	86			




\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

# Criminal Justice

Factor 8 evaluates a country's criminal justice system. An effective criminal justice system is a key aspect of the rule of law, as it constitutes the conventional mechanism to redress grievances and bring action against individuals for offenses against society. An assessment of the delivery of criminal justice should take into consideration the entire system, including the police, lawyers, prosecutors, judges, and prison officers. For a further breakdown of Criminal Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 14.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank		Factor Score*	Factor Rank		Factor Score*	Factor Rank	
Norway	0.83	1		South Africa	0.53	44	Iran	0.37	87
Finland	0.83	2		Suriname	0.52	45	Zimbabwe	0.37	88
Denmark	0.83	3		Georgia	0.52	46	Moldova	0.36	89
Sweden	0.80	4		Croatia	0.51	47	Ukraine	0.36	90
Austria	0.80	5		Grenada	0.50	48	Belize	0.36	91
Singapore	0.79	6		Jamaica	0.50	49	Angola	0.36	92
Germany	0.79	7		Greece	0.50	50	Cote d'Ivoire	0.36	93
Netherlands	0.76	8		Ghana	0.49	51	Togo	0.36	94
Japan	0.76	9		Mongolia	0.49	52	Dominican Republic	0.36	95
Canada	0.74	10		Burkina Faso	0.49	53	Madagascar	0.36	96
Australia	0.73	11		Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.48	54	Ecuador	0.36	97
United Kingdom	0.72	12		Belarus	0.47	55	Pakistan	0.35	98
New Zealand	0.72	13		Kosovo	0.47	56	Sierra Leone	0.35	99
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.72	14		Hungary	0.47	57	Lebanon	0.35	100
Estonia	0.71	15		Kazakhstan	0.46	58	Colombia	0.34	101
Czech Republic	0.71	16		Malawi	0.46	59	Ethiopia	0.34	102
Belgium	0.71	17		Vietnam	0.46	60	Brazil	0.34	103
Republic of Korea	0.71	18		Bulgaria	0.45	61	Bangladesh	0.33	104
United Arab Emirates	0.67	19		China	0.45	62	Kyrgyz Republic	0.33	105
Spain	0.67	20		North Macedonia	0.45	63	Panama	0.33	106
Italy	0.64	21		Senegal	0.45	64	Peru	0.33	107
United States	0.63	22		Sri Lanka	0.44	65	Mozambique	0.33	108
France	0.62	23		Uzbekistan	0.44	66	Trinidad and Tobago	0.32	109
The Bahamas	0.61	24		Nepal	0.44	67	Russian Federation	0.31	110
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.61	25		Argentina	0.44	68	Liberia	0.31	111
Poland	0.60	26		Algeria	0.43	69	Philippines	0.31	112
Portugal	0.59	27		Thailand	0.43	70	Uganda	0.31	113
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.59	28		Benin	0.43	71	Afghanistan	0.31	114
Botswana	0.59	29		Albania	0.43	72	Guinea	0.31	115
Barbados	0.57	30		The Gambia	0.42	73	El Salvador	0.31	116
Costa Rica	0.57	31		Tunisia	0.42	74	Mauritania	0.30	117
Jordan	0.57	32		Zambia	0.41	75	Guatemala	0.30	118
Uruguay	0.56	33		Nigeria	0.40	76	Mexico	0.30	119
Antigua and Barbuda	0.56	34		Serbia	0.40	77	Mali	0.30	120
Slovenia	0.56	35		India	0.40	78	Nicaragua	0.29	121
Chile	0.56	36		Indonesia	0.39	79	Myanmar	0.27	122
Romania	0.56	37		Kenya	0.38	80	Honduras	0.26	123
Malaysia	0.56	38		Tanzania	0.38	81	Cambodia	0.26	124
Namibia	0.55	39		Guyana	0.38	82	Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.26	125
Dominica	0.55	40		Morocco	0.38	83	Cameroon	0.24	126
St. Lucia	0.55	41		Niger	0.38	84	Bolivia	0.22	127
Rwanda	0.54	42		Turkey	0.38	85	Venezuela, RB	0.13	128
Mauritius	0.53	43		Egypt	0.37	86			



\*Scores are rounded to two decimal places







# Country Profiles


# How to Read the Country Profiles

This section presents profiles for the 128 countries and jurisdictions included in the WJP Rule of Law Index® 2020 report. Each profile presents the featured country's scores for each of the WJP Rule of Law Index's factors and sub-factors, and draws comparisons between the scores of the featured country and the scores of other indexed countries in the same regional and income groups.

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score (strong adherence to rule of law) and 0 signifies the lowest possible score (weak adherence to rule of law). The country profiles consist of four sections, outlined below.

Displays the country's overall rule of law score; its overall regional, income, and global ranks; and its change in score and rank from the 2019 edition of the Index.

## Section 1



## Section 2

Displays the featured country's individual factor scores, along with its regional, income, and global group rankings. The regional, income, and global rankings are distributed across three tiers – low, medium, and high – as indicated by the color of the box where the score is found.

## Section 4

Presents the individual sub-factor scores underlying each of the factors listed in Section 3 of the country profile.

Each of the 44 sub-factors is represented by a gray line drawn from the center to the periphery of the circle. The center of the circle corresponds to the worst possible score for each sub-factor (0), and the outer edge of the circle marks the best possible score for each sub-factor (1).

The featured country's scores for 2020 are represented by the purple line. The featured country's scores for 2019 are represented by the gray line.

## Section 3

Displays the country's disaggregated scores for each of the sub-factors that compose the WJP Rule of Law Index.

The featured country's score is represented by the purple bar and labeled at the end of the bar. The average score of the country's region is represented by the orange line. The average score of the country's income group is represented by the green line.

# Afghanistan

Region: South Asia  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	6/6	18/19	122/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.02 ▲	3 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.44	0.00	5/6	12/19	100/128
Absence of Corruption	0.30	0.03*	6/6	15/19	118/128
Open Government	0.41	0.04*	6/6	9/19	100/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.02	4/6	15/19	108/128
Order and Security	0.29	-0.01	6/6	19/19	128/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.02	6/6	17/19	121/128
Civil Justice	0.37	-0.01	6/6	18/19	123/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.03	6/6	16/19	114/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Afghanistan — South Asia — Low



# Albania

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	9/14	29/42	78/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	-0.03*	7/14	32/42	96/128
Absence of Corruption	0.37	0.02	11/14	37/42	100/128
Open Government	0.47	0.00	10/14	28/42	77/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	-0.02	5/14	17/42	54/128
Order and Security	0.79	-0.01	7/14	7/42	36/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.00	11/14	37/42	98/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.03	12/14	33/42	87/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.04	8/14	27/42	72/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Albania Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle




# Algeria

Region: Middle East & North Africa  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	5/8	31/42	83/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-8 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.00	6/8	26/42	83/128
Absence of Corruption	0.45	0.00	4/8	24/42	67/128
Open Government	0.34	-0.07	6/8	40/42	117/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	-0.01	4/8	35/42	93/128
Order and Security	0.74	0.02	3/8	19/42	59/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.05	5/8	32/42	85/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.01	3/8	16/42	53/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	0.00	3/8	25/42	69/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Algeria Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

<h3>Constraints on Government Powers</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.1 Limits by legislature: 0.51</li> <li>1.2 Limits by judiciary: 0.43</li> <li>1.3 Independent auditing: 0.60</li> <li>1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct: 0.50</li> <li>1.5 Non-governmental checks: 0.41</li> <li>1.6 Lawful transition of power: 0.44</li> </ul>	<h3>Fundamental Rights</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4.1 No discrimination: 0.62</li> <li>4.2 Right to life &amp; security: 0.56</li> <li>4.3 Due process of law: 0.54</li> <li>4.4 Freedom of expression: 0.41</li> <li>4.5 Freedom of religion: 0.16</li> <li>4.6 Right to privacy: 0.46</li> <li>4.7 Freedom of association: 0.42</li> <li>4.8 Labor rights: 0.58</li> </ul>	<h3>Civil Justice</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7.1 Accessibility &amp; affordability: 0.55</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination: 0.61</li> <li>7.3 No corruption: 0.60</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influence: 0.41</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay: 0.73</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement: 0.49</li> <li>7.7 Impartial &amp; effective ADRs: 0.56</li> </ul>
<h3>Absence of Corruption</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2.1 In the executive branch: 0.40</li> <li>2.2 In the judiciary: 0.54</li> <li>2.3 In the police/military: 0.49</li> <li>2.4 In the legislature: 0.39</li> </ul>	<h3>Order and Security</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.1 Absence of crime: 0.67</li> <li>5.2 Absence of civil conflict: 0.94</li> <li>5.3 Absence of violent redress: 0.61</li> </ul>	<h3>Criminal Justice</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.1 Effective investigations: 0.29</li> <li>8.2 Timely &amp; effective adjudication: 0.44</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system: 0.47</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination: 0.62</li> <li>8.5 No corruption: 0.44</li> <li>8.6 No improper gov't influence: 0.24</li> <li>8.7 Due process of law: 0.54</li> </ul>
<h3>Open Government</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.1 Publicized laws &amp; gov't data: 0.24</li> <li>3.2 Right to information: 0.31</li> <li>3.3 Civic participation: 0.38</li> <li>3.4 Complaint mechanisms: 0.45</li> </ul>	<h3>Regulatory Enforcement</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement: 0.42</li> <li>6.2 No improper influence: 0.52</li> <li>6.3 No unreasonable delay: 0.47</li> <li>6.4 Respect for due process: 0.44</li> <li>6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation: 0.48</li> </ul>	

# Angola

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	23/31	19/30	110/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.42	0.03	21/31	19/30	103/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.00	10/31	6/30	79/128
Open Government	0.37	0.05	21/31	24/30	110/128
Fundamental Rights	0.39	0.01	27/31	22/30	114/128
Order and Security	0.60	0.02*	24/31	23/30	112/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	-0.01	23/31	23/30	113/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.00	20/31	20/30	104/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	18/31	17/30	92/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Angola Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle




# Antigua and Barbuda

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	7/30	31/37	34/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.01	6/30	29/37	35/128
Absence of Corruption	0.61	0.00	10/30	32/37	38/128
Open Government	0.52	-0.01	15/30	32/37	56/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.00	8/30	27/37	31/128
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	2/30	28/37	38/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	0.02	5/30	30/37	33/128
Civil Justice	0.66	0.00	4/30	25/37	27/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.00	7/30	30/37	34/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Antigua and Barbuda Latin America & Caribbean High



# Argentina

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	12/30	13/42	48/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	-0.01	9/30	8/42	40/128
Absence of Corruption	0.52	0.00	13/30	16/42	52/128
Open Government	0.64	0.01	5/30	3/42	28/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	-0.01	7/30	4/42	30/128
Order and Security	0.62	0.00	24/30	36/42	108/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.00	11/30	15/42	54/128
Civil Justice	0.59	0.01	10/30	14/42	45/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	-0.02	14/30	24/42	68/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Argentina Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle






# Australia

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.8</b>	<b>2/15</b>	<b>11/37</b>	<b>11/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.00</b>	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.82	-0.01	2/15	12/37	12/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	5/15	14/37	14/128
Open Government	0.81	-0.01	2/15	7/37	7/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.00	2/15	12/37	12/128
Order and Security	0.87	0.00	5/15	17/37	18/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.82	0.01	3/15	10/37	10/128
Civil Justice	0.76	-0.01	6/15	14/37	14/128
Criminal Justice	0.73	0.00	3/15	11/37	11/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

■ Low    ■ Medium    ■ High

— Australia    — East Asia & Pacific    — High




# Austria

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.82	7/24	8/37	8/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	7/24	8/37	8/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	-0.02	9/24	12/37	12/128
Open Government	0.71	-0.01	13/24	17/37	17/128
Fundamental Rights	0.85	0.00	6/24	6/37	6/128
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	6/24	10/37	10/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.84	-0.01	7/24	9/37	9/128
Civil Justice	0.77	-0.01	8/24	12/37	12/128
Criminal Justice	0.80	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

— Austria — EU & EFTA & North America — High




# The Bahamas

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	9/30	34/37	41/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	0.00	10/30	31/37	43/128
Absence of Corruption	0.63	-0.02	9/30	31/37	37/128
Open Government	0.50	0.00	18/30	34/37	62/128
Fundamental Rights	0.66	-0.01	10/30	30/37	36/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.00	6/30	31/37	55/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	18/30	36/37	64/128
Civil Justice	0.57	0.00	13/30	33/37	50/128
Criminal Justice	0.61	-0.01	1/30	24/37	24/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

The Bahamas Latin America & Caribbean High



# Bangladesh

Region: South Asia  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	4/6	21/30	115/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.02	6/6	21/30	107/128
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.01	4/6	16/30	102/128
Open Government	0.43	-0.01	5/6	17/30	93/128
Fundamental Rights	0.32	-0.01	6/6	28/30	122/128
Order and Security	0.63	0.02*	3/6	22/30	103/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	-0.01	4/6	22/30	111/128
Civil Justice	0.38	-0.01	5/6	25/30	119/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.00	5/6	20/30	104/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Bangladesh — South Asia — Lower Middle



# Barbados

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.65	4/30	28/37	29/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.63	-0.02	5/30	28/37	34/128
Absence of Corruption	0.70	0.01	3/30	24/37	25/128
Open Government	0.52	0.00	16/30	33/37	57/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	-0.01	3/30	23/37	24/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.02	1/30	26/37	33/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	-0.03	6/30	31/37	35/128
Civil Justice	0.64	-0.01	5/30	27/37	29/128
Criminal Justice	0.57	0.00	4/30	28/37	30/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Barbados Latin America & Caribbean High




# Belarus

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	6/14	23/42	68/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	-0.01	12/14	39/42	118/128
Absence of Corruption	0.54	-0.01	2/14	13/42	48/128
Open Government	0.36	0.00	13/14	39/42	112/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.00	10/14	36/42	94/128
Order and Security	0.80	0.00	3/14	3/42	30/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	2/14	19/42	63/128
Civil Justice	0.60	0.00	2/14	12/42	43/128
Criminal Justice	0.47	-0.01	3/14	17/42	55/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Belarus Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle




# Belgium

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	11/24	14/37	14/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.83	0.00	10/24	11/37	11/128
Absence of Corruption	0.80	0.00	10/24	15/37	15/128
Open Government	0.76	-0.01	12/24	14/37	14/128
Fundamental Rights	0.84	0.00	8/24	8/37	8/128
Order and Security	0.81	-0.01	19/24	25/37	29/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.80	-0.01	10/24	14/37	14/128
Civil Justice	0.76	0.00	9/24	15/37	15/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	-0.01	12/24	17/37	17/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Belgium EU & EFTA & North America High

### Constraints on Government Powers

- 1.1 Limits by legislature (0.83)
- 1.2 Limits by judiciary (0.78)
- 1.3 Independent auditing (0.90)
- 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct (0.75)
- 1.5 Non-governmental checks (0.80)
- 1.6 Lawful transition of power (0.90)

### Absence of Corruption

- 2.1 In the executive branch (0.77)
- 2.2 In the judiciary (0.94)
- 2.3 In the police/military (0.89)
- 2.4 In the legislature (0.60)

### Open Government

- 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data (0.70)
- 3.2 Right to information (0.64)
- 3.3 Civic participation (0.82)
- 3.4 Complaint mechanisms (0.88)

### Fundamental Rights

- 4.1 No discrimination (0.78)
- 4.2 Right to life & security (0.95)
- 4.3 Due process of law (0.79)
- 4.4 Freedom of expression (0.80)
- 4.5 Freedom of religion (0.82)
- 4.6 Right to privacy (0.90)
- 4.7 Freedom of association (0.85)
- 4.8 Labor rights (0.81)

### Order and Security

- 5.1 Absence of crime (0.85)
- 5.2 Absence of civil conflict (0.89)
- 5.3 Absence of violent redress (0.69)

### Regulatory Enforcement

- 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement (0.74)
- 6.2 No improper influence (0.88)
- 6.3 No unreasonable delay (0.68)
- 6.4 Respect for due process (0.78)
- 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation (0.91)

### Civil Justice

- 7.1 Accessibility & affordability (0.72)
- 7.2 No discrimination (0.80)
- 7.3 No corruption (0.83)
- 7.4 No improper gov't influence (0.87)
- 7.5 No unreasonable delay (0.45)
- 7.6 Effective enforcement (0.82)
- 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs (0.82)

### Criminal Justice

- 8.1 Effective investigations (0.58)
- 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication (0.67)
- 8.3 Effective correctional system (0.54)
- 8.4 No discrimination (0.68)
- 8.5 No corruption (0.83)
- 8.6 No improper gov't influence (0.86)
- 8.7 Due process of law (0.79)

# Belize

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	23/30	34/42	89/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.44	-0.02	26/30	34/42	99/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.01	18/30	31/42	75/128
Open Government	0.45	0.00	24/30	32/42	85/128
Fundamental Rights	0.50	0.01	26/30	31/42	87/128
Order and Security	0.70	-0.03	9/30	25/42	72/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.01	24/30	38/42	103/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.01	18/30	26/42	71/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.02	16/30	32/42	91/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Legend: Belize (purple), Latin America & Caribbean (orange), Upper Middle (green)

<h3>Constraints on Government Powers</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.1 Limits by legislature (0.50)</li> <li>1.2 Limits by judiciary (0.51)</li> <li>1.3 Independent auditing (0.26)</li> <li>1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct (0.24)</li> <li>1.5 Non-governmental checks (0.52)</li> <li>1.6 Lawful transition of power (0.61)</li> </ul> <h3>Absence of Corruption</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2.1 In the executive branch (0.38)</li> <li>2.2 In the judiciary (0.60)</li> <li>2.3 In the police/military (0.52)</li> <li>2.4 In the legislature (0.23)</li> </ul> <h3>Open Government</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.1 Publicized laws &amp; gov't data (0.36)</li> <li>3.2 Right to information (0.39)</li> <li>3.3 Civic participation (0.52)</li> <li>3.4 Complaint mechanisms (0.53)</li> </ul>	<h3>Fundamental Rights</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4.1 No discrimination (0.51)</li> <li>4.2 Right to life &amp; security (0.58)</li> <li>4.3 Due process of law (0.38)</li> <li>4.4 Freedom of expression (0.52)</li> <li>4.5 Freedom of religion (0.54)</li> <li>4.6 Right to privacy (0.38)</li> <li>4.7 Freedom of association (0.57)</li> <li>4.8 Labor rights (0.52)</li> </ul> <h3>Order and Security</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.1 Absence of crime (0.66)</li> <li>5.2 Absence of civil conflict (1.00)</li> <li>5.3 Absence of violent redress (0.44)</li> </ul> <h3>Regulatory Enforcement</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement (0.44)</li> <li>6.2 No improper influence (0.56)</li> <li>6.3 No unreasonable delay (0.37)</li> <li>6.4 Respect for due process (0.30)</li> <li>6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation (0.48)</li> </ul>	<h3>Civil Justice</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7.1 Accessibility &amp; affordability (0.52)</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination (0.47)</li> <li>7.3 No corruption (0.60)</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influence (0.50)</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay (0.47)</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement (0.39)</li> <li>7.7 Impartial &amp; effective ADRs (0.63)</li> </ul> <h3>Criminal Justice</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.1 Effective investigations (0.33)</li> <li>8.2 Timely &amp; effective adjudication (0.35)</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system (0.20)</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination (0.38)</li> <li>8.5 No corruption (0.48)</li> <li>8.6 No improper gov't influence (0.41)</li> <li>8.7 Due process of law (0.38)</li> </ul>
--	---	---




# Benin

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	11/31	6/19	81/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.02	11/31	6/19	67/128
Absence of Corruption	0.38	0.00	16/31	10/19	96/128
Open Government	0.41	0.02	15/31	8/19	99/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	-0.02	10/31	4/19	69/128
Order and Security	0.76	-0.02	2/31	2/19	48/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.50	0.00	9/31	3/19	66/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.03	27/31	16/19	113/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.01	10/31	5/19	71/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Benin Sub-Saharan Africa Low




The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.38</b>	<b>29/30</b>	<b>26/30</b>	<b>121/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.00</b>	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	0.00	27/30	23/30	116/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.00	30/30	28/30	124/128
Open Government	0.43	0.01	26/30	15/30	90/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	27/30	12/30	96/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	26/30	25/30	115/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	0.00	28/30	24/30	114/128
Civil Justice	0.33	-0.02	29/30	29/30	126/128
Criminal Justice	0.22	0.02	29/30	30/30	127/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Bolivia — Latin America & Caribbean — Lower Middle




# Bosnia and Herzegovina

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	5/14	20/42	64/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	8/14	33/42	97/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	8/14	30/42	74/128
Open Government	0.47	0.00	8/14	26/42	73/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	4/14	16/42	53/128
Order and Security	0.76	0.00	10/14	13/42	47/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	4/14	23/42	69/128
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.03	10/14	31/42	81/128
Criminal Justice	0.48	-0.02	2/14	16/42	54/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Bosnia and Herzegovina Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle




# Botswana

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.6</b>	<b>4/31</b>	<b>8/42</b>	<b>43/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.01 ▲</b>	<b>1 ▲</b>		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.03	4/31	7/42	39/128
Absence of Corruption	0.59	-0.01	2/31	9/42	42/128
Open Government	0.50	0.01	6/31	22/42	66/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.01	6/31	19/42	57/128
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	8/31	23/42	68/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.01	2/31	3/42	30/128
Civil Justice	0.61	0.01	5/31	11/42	42/128
Criminal Justice	0.59	0.02	1/31	2/42	29/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

— Botswana — Sub-Saharan Africa — Upper Middle



# Brazil

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	16/30	22/42	67/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-7 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.03*	18/30	18/42	64/128
Absence of Corruption	0.45	-0.01	17/30	26/42	69/128
Open Government	0.61	-0.01	6/30	5/42	31/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.04*	25/30	30/42	83/128
Order and Security	0.64	-0.01	19/30	31/42	100/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	-0.01	16/30	18/42	60/128
Civil Justice	0.54	-0.01	14/30	21/42	63/128
Criminal Justice	0.34	-0.01	20/30	37/42	103/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Legend: Brazil (dark blue), Latin America & Caribbean (orange), Upper Middle (green)

<h3>Constraints on Government Powers</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.1 Limits by legislature: 0.68</li> <li>1.2 Limits by judiciary: 0.63</li> <li>1.3 Independent auditing: 0.44</li> <li>1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct: 0.30</li> <li>1.5 Non-governmental checks: 0.54</li> <li>1.6 Lawful transition of power: 0.60</li> </ul> <h3>Absence of Corruption</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2.1 In the executive branch: 0.39</li> <li>2.2 In the judiciary: 0.73</li> <li>2.3 In the police/military: 0.59</li> <li>2.4 In the legislature: 0.07</li> </ul> <h3>Open Government</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.1 Publicized laws &amp; gov't data: 0.71</li> <li>3.2 Right to information: 0.61</li> <li>3.3 Civic participation: 0.54</li> <li>3.4 Complaint mechanisms: 0.58</li> </ul>	<h3>Fundamental Rights</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4.1 No discrimination: 0.51</li> <li>4.2 Right to life &amp; security: 0.48</li> <li>4.3 Due process of law: 0.35</li> <li>4.4 Freedom of expression: 0.54</li> <li>4.5 Freedom of religion: 0.59</li> <li>4.6 Right to privacy: 0.52</li> <li>4.7 Freedom of association: 0.61</li> <li>4.8 Labor rights: 0.47</li> </ul> <h3>Order and Security</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.1 Absence of crime: 0.51</li> <li>5.2 Absence of civil conflict: 1.00</li> <li>5.3 Absence of violent redress: 0.40</li> </ul> <h3>Regulatory Enforcement</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement: 0.51</li> <li>6.2 No improper influence: 0.61</li> <li>6.3 No unreasonable delay: 0.30</li> <li>6.4 Respect for due process: 0.50</li> <li>6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation: 0.63</li> </ul>	<h3>Civil Justice</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7.1 Accessibility &amp; affordability: 0.63</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination: 0.55</li> <li>7.3 No corruption: 0.67</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influence: 0.59</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay: 0.28</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement: 0.41</li> <li>7.7 Impartial &amp; effective ADRs: 0.64</li> </ul> <h3>Criminal Justice</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.1 Effective investigations: 0.28</li> <li>8.2 Timely &amp; effective adjudication: 0.27</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system: 0.16</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination: 0.15</li> <li>8.5 No corruption: 0.55</li> <li>8.6 No improper gov't influence: 0.59</li> <li>8.7 Due process of law: 0.35</li> </ul>
--	---	---


# Bulgaria

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	23/24	16/42	53/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.00	23/24	31/42	91/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	24/24	28/42	71/128
Open Government	0.56	0.02	23/24	12/42	46/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	0.00	23/24	14/42	50/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	21/24	11/42	43/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	-0.01	23/24	14/42	53/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.00	23/24	17/42	56/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	0.00	24/24	20/42	61/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

— Bulgaria — EU & EFTA & North America — Upper Middle



# Burkina Faso

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	9/31	4/19	70/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.01	9/31	4/19	56/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.02	11/31	5/19	80/128
Open Government	0.47	0.01	8/31	3/19	74/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	-0.01	8/31	2/19	64/128
Order and Security	0.62	-0.04*	21/31	13/19	107/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.01	12/31	6/19	78/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.00	15/31	7/19	90/128
Criminal Justice	0.49	0.02	7/31	2/19	53/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Burkina Faso Sub-Saharan Africa Low



# Cambodia

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.33	15/15	30/30	127/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.29	0.00	15/15	28/30	125/128
Absence of Corruption	0.24	-0.01	15/15	30/30	127/128
Open Government	0.27	0.01	15/15	28/30	126/128
Fundamental Rights	0.35	0.00	13/15	26/30	119/128
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	13/15	16/30	88/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.27	-0.02	15/15	30/30	127/128
Civil Justice	0.26	0.02	15/15	30/30	128/128
Criminal Justice	0.26	0.01	15/15	28/30	124/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Cambodia — East Asia & Pacific — Lower Middle





# Cameroon

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	30/31	28/30	124/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-2 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.37	-0.02	28/31	22/30	113/128
Absence of Corruption	0.26	-0.02	30/31	29/30	126/128
Open Government	0.34	-0.01	27/31	25/30	119/128
Fundamental Rights	0.36	-0.03*	29/31	25/30	118/128
Order and Security	0.48	-0.05*	29/31	28/30	124/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	21/31	21/30	109/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.03	28/31	22/30	114/128
Criminal Justice	0.24	-0.04	31/31	29/30	126/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Cameroon Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Factor	Sub-factor	Score
Constraints on Government Powers	Limits by legislature	0.39
	Limits by judiciary	0.30
	Independent auditing	0.41
	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
	Non-governmental checks	0.36
	Lawful transition of power	0.37
Absence of Corruption	In the executive branch	0.29
	In the judiciary	0.28
	In the police/military	0.28
	In the legislature	0.18
Open Government	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.20
	Right to information	0.35
	Civic participation	0.39
	Complaint mechanisms	0.41
Fundamental Rights	No discrimination	0.45
	Right to life & security	0.18
	Due process of law	0.29
	Freedom of expression	0.36
	Freedom of religion	0.60
	Right to privacy	0.07
Order and Security	Freedom of association	0.46
	Labor rights	0.46
	Absence of crime	0.61
	Absence of civil conflict	0.53
	Absence of violent redress	0.32
Regulatory Enforcement	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
	No improper influence	0.47
	No unreasonable delay	0.30
	Respect for due process	0.40
	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.49
Civil Justice	Accessibility & affordability	0.50
	No discrimination	0.41
	No corruption	0.32
	No improper gov't influence	0.26
	No unreasonable delay	0.45
	Effective enforcement	0.38
	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.57
Criminal Justice	Effective investigations	0.28
	Timely & effective adjudication	0.27
	Effective correctional system	0.15
	No discrimination	0.36
	No corruption	0.24
	No improper gov't influence	0.11
	Due process of law	0.29


# Canada

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.81</b>	<b>8/24</b>	<b>9/37</b>	<b>9/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.00</b>	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.84	-0.01	8/24	9/37	9/128
Absence of Corruption	0.83	0.01	6/24	9/37	9/128
Open Government	0.81	0.00	7/24	9/37	9/128
Fundamental Rights	0.82	-0.01	9/24	9/37	9/128
Order and Security	0.91	0.00	5/24	9/37	9/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	0.00	8/24	11/37	11/128
Civil Justice	0.70	0.00	12/24	19/37	19/128
Criminal Justice	0.74	0.01	8/24	10/37	10/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Canada EU & EFTA & North America High




# Chile

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.67	3/30	25/37	26/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.00	3/30	19/37	20/128
Absence of Corruption	0.69	-0.01	4/30	25/37	26/128
Open Government	0.71	-0.01	2/30	18/37	18/128
Fundamental Rights	0.72	-0.01	4/30	24/37	25/128
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	14/30	36/37	86/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.64	-0.01	3/30	25/37	26/128
Civil Justice	0.63	0.00	7/30	28/37	33/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	-0.01	8/30	32/37	36/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Chile Latin America & Caribbean High




# China

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	12/15	33/42	88/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.32	0.00	14/15	40/42	123/128
Absence of Corruption	0.53	-0.02	8/15	15/42	51/128
Open Government	0.43	0.01	12/15	33/42	92/128
Fundamental Rights	0.29	-0.03	15/15	41/42	126/128
Order and Security	0.78	-0.01	8/15	9/42	40/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.01	9/15	21/42	67/128
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	8/15	22/42	64/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	-0.02	10/15	21/42	62/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

China East Asia & Pacific Upper Middle



# Colombia

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	19/30	28/42	77/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	5▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	0.01	17/30	17/42	63/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.00	22/30	36/42	95/128
Open Government	0.64	0.00	4/30	2/42	27/128
Fundamental Rights	0.53	0.00	22/30	26/42	74/128
Order and Security	0.56	-0.02*	28/30	40/42	119/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	0.02	13/30	17/42	56/128
Civil Justice	0.49	0.01	21/30	30/42	79/128
Criminal Justice	0.34	0.01	19/30	36/42	101/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Colombia Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle




# Costa Rica

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.68	2/30	1/42	25/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.76	-0.02	1/30	1/42	15/128
Absence of Corruption	0.66	-0.02	5/30	3/42	30/128
Open Government	0.70	0.00	3/30	1/42	21/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.01	1/30	1/42	15/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	12/30	27/42	81/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.67	-0.01	2/30	1/42	24/128
Civil Justice	0.62	0.00	8/30	7/42	38/128
Criminal Justice	0.57	0.02	5/30	3/42	31/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Costa Rica Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



# Cote d'Ivoire

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.46	13/31	15/30	95/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.41	0.00	22/31	20/30	104/128
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.01	19/31	17/30	103/128
Open Government	0.38	0.01	20/31	21/30	107/128
Fundamental Rights	0.45	-0.01	21/31	15/30	102/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	15/31	14/30	84/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	0.00	8/31	6/30	57/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.02	9/31	3/30	59/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	-0.02	19/31	18/30	93/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Cote d'Ivoire Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle




# Croatia

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	21/24	32/37	39/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01▲	3▲		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.00	22/24	33/37	53/128
Absence of Corruption	0.58	0.01	20/24	33/37	44/128
Open Government	0.61	0.00	19/24	27/37	33/128
Fundamental Rights	0.67	0.02	20/24	29/37	33/128
Order and Security	0.85	0.02	14/24	20/37	21/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.01	22/24	33/37	48/128
Civil Justice	0.57	-0.01	21/24	34/37	52/128
Criminal Justice	0.51	0.01	21/24	33/37	47/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

— Croatia — EU & EFTA & North America — High






# Czech Republic

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	12/24	18/37	18/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.73	0.00	14/24	17/37	18/128
Absence of Corruption	0.65	0.01	18/24	28/37	31/128
Open Government	0.67	0.01	15/24	22/37	23/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.01	12/24	14/37	14/128
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	7/24	11/37	12/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	0.01	14/24	21/37	21/128
Civil Justice	0.69	-0.01	13/24	20/37	20/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.01	11/24	16/37	16/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

— Czech Republic — EU & EFTA & North America — High



# Congo, Dem. Rep.

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.34	31/31	19/19	126/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	0.07*	26/31	17/19	110/128
Absence of Corruption	0.16	-0.02	31/31	19/19	128/128
Open Government	0.34	0.02	26/31	17/19	118/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.04*	25/31	17/19	112/128
Order and Security	0.48	0.00	30/31	18/19	125/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.35	-0.02	30/31	19/19	125/128
Civil Justice	0.35	-0.01	31/31	19/19	125/128
Criminal Justice	0.26	-0.02	30/31	19/19	125/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Congo, Dem. Rep. — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low




# Denmark

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.9	1/24	1/37	1/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.94	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Absence of Corruption	0.95	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Open Government	0.88	0.02	2/24	2/37	2/128
Fundamental Rights	0.92	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	1/24	3/37	3/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.90	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Civil Justice	0.86	-0.01	1/24	1/37	1/128
Criminal Justice	0.83	0.00	3/24	3/37	3/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Denmark EU & EFTA & North America High




# Dominica

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	11/30	11/42	46/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	0.00	19/30	19/42	66/128
Absence of Corruption	0.60	-0.01	11/30	7/42	40/128
Open Government	0.50	0.00	19/30	20/42	63/128
Fundamental Rights	0.63	-0.02	14/30	11/42	45/128
Order and Security	0.74	-0.02	8/30	18/42	58/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.01	12/30	16/42	55/128
Civil Justice	0.59	0.00	11/30	15/42	48/128
Criminal Justice	0.55	0.00	9/30	8/42	40/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Legend: Dominica (dark blue), Latin America & Caribbean (orange), Upper Middle (green)



# Dominican Republic

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	24/30	35/42	90/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	7 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.01	24/30	29/42	88/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.01	21/30	35/42	94/128
Open Government	0.53	0.02	13/30	15/42	53/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.02	17/30	20/42	60/128
Order and Security	0.63	0.00	20/30	32/42	102/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.02	27/30	40/42	108/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.02	23/30	35/42	97/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.02	17/30	33/42	95/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Legend: Dominican Republic (purple), Latin America & Caribbean (orange), Upper Middle (green)



# Ecuador

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	22/30	32/42	86/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	3 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.02	23/30	27/42	85/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.01	20/30	34/42	86/128
Open Government	0.50	-0.01	20/30	21/42	65/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.03	23/30	27/42	77/128
Order and Security	0.63	0.00	21/30	33/42	104/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	20/30	24/42	72/128
Civil Justice	0.49	0.01	19/30	28/42	77/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	18/30	34/42	97/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Ecuador — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle



# Egypt

Region: Middle East & North Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	8/8	29/30	125/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.27	-0.03	8/8	29/30	126/128
Absence of Corruption	0.40	0.00	7/8	11/30	91/128
Open Government	0.22	0.00	8/8	30/30	128/128
Fundamental Rights	0.28	-0.02	7/8	30/30	127/128
Order and Security	0.57	0.06*	8/8	27/30	118/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.02	8/8	27/30	123/128
Civil Justice	0.39	0.01	8/8	23/30	117/128
Criminal Justice	0.37	-0.03	6/8	13/30	86/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Egypt — Middle East & North Africa — Lower Middle



# El Salvador

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	21/30	10/30	84/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.02 ▲	2 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	0.03	21/30	10/30	77/128
Absence of Corruption	0.38	-0.02	23/30	15/30	98/128
Open Government	0.53	0.02	12/30	6/30	52/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.01	21/30	7/30	73/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.02*	17/30	20/30	96/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.03	14/30	7/30	58/128
Civil Justice	0.53	0.02	16/30	6/30	66/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.02	24/30	23/30	116/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



El Salvador Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle






# Estonia

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.81	9/24	10/37	10/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.83	0.00	9/24	10/37	10/128
Absence of Corruption	0.79	-0.01	11/24	17/37	17/128
Open Government	0.81	0.01	6/24	8/37	8/128
Fundamental Rights	0.82	-0.01	10/24	10/37	10/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	9/24	14/37	15/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.79	0.00	11/24	15/37	15/128
Civil Justice	0.80	0.00	7/24	8/37	8/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.00	10/24	15/37	15/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

— Estonia — EU & EFTA & North America — High



# Ethiopia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	26/31	16/19	114/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.02 ▲	6 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	0.05*	27/31	18/19	112/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	8/31	3/19	65/128
Open Government	0.32	0.04*	28/31	18/19	121/128
Fundamental Rights	0.35	0.05*	30/31	19/19	120/128
Order and Security	0.63	-0.01*	20/31	12/19	101/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	0.03	24/31	13/19	116/128
Civil Justice	0.42	0.01	25/31	14/19	109/128
Criminal Justice	0.34	0.00	23/31	12/19	102/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Ethiopia — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low




# Finland

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.87	3/24	3/37	3/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.92	0.00	3/24	3/37	3/128
Absence of Corruption	0.89	0.00	4/24	5/37	5/128
Open Government	0.86	-0.01	4/24	4/37	4/128
Fundamental Rights	0.91	-0.01	3/24	3/37	3/128
Order and Security	0.91	-0.01	4/24	8/37	8/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.86	0.03	3/24	4/37	4/128
Civil Justice	0.81	0.02	6/24	7/37	7/128
Criminal Justice	0.83	-0.01	2/24	2/37	2/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Finland EU & EFTA & North America High




# France

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	14/24	20/37	20/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.73	-0.02	15/24	18/37	19/128
Absence of Corruption	0.74	-0.01	12/24	18/37	18/128
Open Government	0.78	-0.01	10/24	12/37	12/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	-0.01	16/24	20/37	21/128
Order and Security	0.75	-0.01	22/24	30/37	53/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.76	-0.01	12/24	17/37	17/128
Civil Justice	0.71	0.00	11/24	18/37	18/128
Criminal Justice	0.62	-0.01	16/24	23/37	23/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

France EU & EFTA & North America High




# The Gambia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	10/31	5/19	74/128
Score Change	Rank Change		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	—	5/31	1/19	44/128
Absence of Corruption	0.48	—	7/31	2/19	59/128
Open Government	0.36	—	23/31	14/19	114/128
Fundamental Rights	0.55	—	9/31	3/19	67/128
Order and Security	0.71	—	9/31	6/19	69/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.38	—	25/31	14/19	118/128
Civil Justice	0.52	—	10/31	3/19	69/128
Criminal Justice	0.42	—	11/31	6/19	73/128




\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2020 Score 2019 Score

The Gambia Sub-Saharan Africa Low



# Georgia

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.6</b>	<b>1/14</b>	<b>7/42</b>	<b>42/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>-0.01</b>	<b>-1</b>		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.55	-0.02	1/14	16/42	61/128
Absence of Corruption	0.68	-0.02	1/14	2/42	27/128
Open Government	0.57	-0.01	1/14	9/42	41/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	-0.01	1/14	13/42	48/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	6/14	6/42	35/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.01	1/14	8/42	41/128
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	6/14	24/42	67/128
Criminal Justice	0.52	0.00	1/14	13/42	46/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Georgia Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle




# Germany

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.84	6/24	6/37	6/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	6/24	6/37	6/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	8/24	11/37	11/128
Open Government	0.79	0.00	8/24	10/37	10/128
Fundamental Rights	0.85	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	11/24	16/37	17/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	0.00	4/24	6/37	6/128
Civil Justice	0.85	-0.01	4/24	4/37	4/128
Criminal Justice	0.79	0.01	6/24	7/37	7/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Germany EU & EFTA & North America High




# Ghana

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	6/31	1/30	51/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	-0.02	2/31	2/30	28/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	-0.01	15/31	13/30	93/128
Open Government	0.50	-0.01	5/31	8/30	64/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	-0.03	4/31	1/30	47/128
Order and Security	0.72	0.01	7/31	7/30	65/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	0.00	7/31	4/30	50/128
Civil Justice	0.59	-0.02	6/31	1/30	46/128
Criminal Justice	0.49	0.00	6/31	1/30	51/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

— Ghana — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower Middle






# Greece

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	22/24	33/37	40/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	-0.01	18/24	24/37	27/128
Absence of Corruption	0.56	0.00	21/24	34/37	45/128
Open Government	0.61	0.00	20/24	28/37	34/128
Fundamental Rights	0.65	-0.01	21/24	31/37	37/128
Order and Security	0.71	-0.01	24/24	33/37	66/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.58	-0.01	20/24	32/37	39/128
Civil Justice	0.59	0.01	20/24	31/37	47/128
Criminal Justice	0.50	-0.01	22/24	34/37	50/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

— Greece — EU & EFTA & North America — High




# Grenada

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.59	10/30	9/42	44/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	-0.01	13/30	13/42	52/128
Absence of Corruption	0.65	-0.02	6/30	4/42	32/128
Open Government	0.46	-0.01	22/30	30/42	82/128
Fundamental Rights	0.63	0.00	13/30	10/42	44/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	4/30	12/42	46/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	-0.02	9/30	12/42	47/128
Civil Justice	0.60	-0.02	9/30	13/42	44/128
Criminal Justice	0.50	0.00	12/30	14/42	48/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Grenada Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



# Guatemala

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	25/30	38/42	101/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	-0.02*	20/30	20/42	69/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	-0.03*	25/30	39/42	108/128
Open Government	0.51	0.00	17/30	18/42	60/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	-0.01	20/30	24/42	70/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	27/30	39/42	116/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	26/30	39/42	107/128
Civil Justice	0.37	0.00	28/30	41/42	121/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	-0.02	25/30	40/42	118/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Guatemala Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



# Guinea

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	24/31	14/19	111/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-4 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	0.00	25/31	16/19	109/128
Absence of Corruption	0.29	0.00	26/31	16/19	120/128
Open Government	0.37	-0.02	22/31	13/19	111/128
Fundamental Rights	0.50	-0.02	15/31	10/19	86/128
Order and Security	0.72	-0.03	6/31	5/19	64/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	-0.06	28/31	18/19	122/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.00	29/31	17/19	115/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	27/31	17/19	115/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Guinea Sub-Saharan Africa Low



# Guyana

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	17/30	25/42	73/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	-0.01	15/30	14/42	58/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	15/30	23/42	66/128
Open Government	0.45	0.01	23/30	31/42	84/128
Fundamental Rights	0.56	0.00	18/30	22/42	65/128
Order and Security	0.62	0.01	23/30	35/42	106/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.00	22/30	31/42	84/128
Civil Justice	0.53	0.00	15/30	23/42	65/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	15/30	29/42	82/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Legend: Guyana (dark blue), Latin America & Caribbean (orange), Upper Middle (green)

### Constraints on Government Powers

- 1.1 Limits by legislature: 0.62
- 1.2 Limits by judiciary: 0.61
- 1.3 Independent auditing: 0.46
- 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct: 0.41
- 1.5 Non-governmental checks: 0.61
- 1.6 Lawful transition of power: 0.64

### Absence of Corruption

- 2.1 In the executive branch: 0.42
- 2.2 In the judiciary: 0.60
- 2.3 In the police/military: 0.42
- 2.4 In the legislature: 0.37

### Open Government

- 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data: 0.27
- 3.2 Right to information: 0.45
- 3.3 Civic participation: 0.57
- 3.4 Complaint mechanisms: 0.52

### Fundamental Rights

- 4.1 No discrimination: 0.54
- 4.2 Right to life & security: 0.56
- 4.3 Due process of law: 0.36
- 4.4 Freedom of expression: 0.61
- 4.5 Freedom of religion: 0.72
- 4.6 Right to privacy: 0.46
- 4.7 Freedom of association: 0.62
- 4.8 Labor rights: 0.59

### Order and Security

- 5.1 Absence of crime: 0.65
- 5.2 Absence of civil conflict: 1.00
- 5.3 Absence of violent redress: 0.22

### Regulatory Enforcement

- 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement: 0.49
- 6.2 No improper influence: 0.62
- 6.3 No unreasonable delay: 0.38
- 6.4 Respect for due process: 0.37
- 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation: 0.46

### Civil Justice

- 7.1 Accessibility & affordability: 0.56
- 7.2 No discrimination: 0.43
- 7.3 No corruption: 0.61
- 7.4 No improper gov't influence: 0.54
- 7.5 No unreasonable delay: 0.47
- 7.6 Effective enforcement: 0.54
- 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs: 0.59

### Criminal Justice

- 8.1 Effective investigations: 0.34
- 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication: 0.40
- 8.3 Effective correctional system: 0.15
- 8.4 No discrimination: 0.34
- 8.5 No corruption: 0.52
- 8.6 No improper gov't influence: 0.54
- 8.7 Due process of law: 0.36

# Honduras

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.4	27/30	22/30	116/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.34	-0.03	28/30	24/30	119/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	-0.01	27/30	23/30	114/128
Open Government	0.42	0.00	27/30	19/30	96/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.00	28/30	20/30	111/128
Order and Security	0.66	0.05*	16/30	17/30	93/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	-0.01	29/30	26/30	117/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.00	25/30	21/30	112/128
Criminal Justice	0.26	0.01	28/30	27/30	123/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Honduras — Latin America & Caribbean — Lower Middle




# Hong Kong SAR, China

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.76	5/15	16/37	16/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.65	0.00	7/15	27/37	31/128
Absence of Corruption	0.84	0.00	3/15	8/37	8/128
Open Government	0.73	-0.01	3/15	15/37	15/128
Fundamental Rights	0.65	-0.01	6/15	32/37	38/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	2/15	2/37	2/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	-0.01	4/15	12/37	12/128
Civil Justice	0.77	0.00	4/15	11/37	11/128
Criminal Justice	0.72	0.00	5/15	14/37	14/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Hong Kong SAR, China East Asia & Pacific High



# Hungary

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	24/24	36/37	60/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.02	24/24	37/37	108/128
Absence of Corruption	0.51	0.00	23/24	35/37	53/128
Open Government	0.46	0.00	24/24	35/37	80/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.00	24/24	36/37	59/128
Order and Security	0.89	-0.01	8/24	12/37	13/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.01	24/24	37/37	86/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.00	24/24	37/37	96/128
Criminal Justice	0.47	-0.01	23/24	35/37	57/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Hungary — EU & EFTA & North America — High





# India

Region: South Asia  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	3/6	6/30	69/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	0.00	1/6	3/30	41/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.01	2/6	9/30	85/128
Open Government	0.61	0.00	1/6	1/30	32/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.02	3/6	10/30	84/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	4/6	24/30	114/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	2/6	8/30	74/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.00	2/6	18/30	98/128
Criminal Justice	0.40	0.00	3/6	9/30	78/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



India South Asia Lower Middle




# Indonesia

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	9/15	5/30	59/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	0.02	5/15	1/30	26/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.02	14/15	12/30	92/128
Open Government	0.55	0.01	7/15	4/30	49/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.00	8/15	8/30	79/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.02	12/15	12/30	82/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	0.00	8/15	3/30	49/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.02	13/15	17/30	95/128
Criminal Justice	0.39	0.02	12/15	10/30	79/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Indonesia East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle




# Iran

Region: Middle East & North Africa  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	7/8	41/42	109/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-3 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.37	-0.02	7/8	37/42	114/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.02	6/8	32/42	76/128
Open Government	0.28	-0.01	7/8	41/42	124/128
Fundamental Rights	0.22	-0.02	8/8	42/42	128/128
Order and Security	0.73	-0.02	4/8	21/42	63/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	-0.02	6/8	33/42	88/128
Civil Justice	0.55	0.00	4/8	19/42	58/128
Criminal Justice	0.37	-0.05	7/8	31/42	87/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Iran Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

### Constraints on Government Powers

- 1.1 Limits by legislature: 0.40
- 1.2 Limits by judiciary: 0.37
- 1.3 Independent auditing: 0.41
- 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct: 0.39
- 1.5 Non-governmental checks: 0.19
- 1.6 Lawful transition of power: 0.46

### Absence of Corruption

- 2.1 In the executive branch: 0.36
- 2.2 In the judiciary: 0.54
- 2.3 In the police/military: 0.52
- 2.4 In the legislature: 0.30

### Open Government

- 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data: 0.35
- 3.2 Right to information: 0.32
- 3.3 Civic participation: 0.17
- 3.4 Complaint mechanisms: 0.30

### Fundamental Rights

- 4.1 No discrimination: 0.44
- 4.2 Right to life & security: 0.23
- 4.3 Due process of law: 0.40
- 4.4 Freedom of expression: 0.19
- 4.5 Freedom of religion: 0.05
- 4.6 Right to privacy: 0.12
- 4.7 Freedom of association: 0.12
- 4.8 Labor rights: 0.24

### Order and Security

- 5.1 Absence of crime: 0.76
- 5.2 Absence of civil conflict: 0.85
- 5.3 Absence of violent redress: 0.57

### Regulatory Enforcement

- 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement: 0.35
- 6.2 No improper influence: 0.44
- 6.3 No unreasonable delay: 0.51
- 6.4 Respect for due process: 0.46
- 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation: 0.54

### Civil Justice

- 7.1 Accessibility & affordability: 0.65
- 7.2 No discrimination: 0.40
- 7.3 No corruption: 0.44
- 7.4 No improper gov't influence: 0.47
- 7.5 No unreasonable delay: 0.56
- 7.6 Effective enforcement: 0.59
- 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs: 0.75


### Criminal Justice

- 8.1 Effective investigations: 0.25
- 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication: 0.45
- 8.3 Effective correctional system: 0.55
- 8.4 No discrimination: 0.26
- 8.5 No corruption: 0.42
- 8.6 No improper gov't influence: 0.28
- 8.7 Due process of law: 0.40

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.66	18/24	26/37	27/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	0.00	17/24	22/37	23/128
Absence of Corruption	0.63	0.00	19/24	30/37	35/128
Open Government	0.63	0.00	18/24	26/37	29/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	0.00	17/24	22/37	23/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.00	23/24	32/37	56/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.01	19/24	29/37	32/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.00	22/24	35/37	54/128
Criminal Justice	0.64	0.00	14/24	21/37	21/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Italy EU & EFTA & North America High



# Jamaica

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	13/30	14/42	49/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.64	0.02	4/30	3/42	32/128
Absence of Corruption	0.55	0.00	12/30	12/42	47/128
Open Government	0.56	0.01	9/30	10/42	43/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.01	11/30	7/42	40/128
Order and Security	0.61	-0.01	25/30	37/42	109/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.54	0.00	10/30	13/42	51/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.00	17/30	25/42	70/128
Criminal Justice	0.50	0.00	13/30	15/42	49/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Jamaica Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle




# Japan

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.78</b>	<b>4/15</b>	<b>15/37</b>	<b>15/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.00</b>	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	0.00	4/15	23/37	24/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	4/15	13/37	13/128
Open Government	0.68	0.00	5/15	21/37	22/128
Fundamental Rights	0.77	-0.01	3/15	18/37	19/128
Order and Security	0.92	0.00	3/15	5/37	5/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.79	0.00	5/15	16/37	16/128
Civil Justice	0.79	0.00	2/15	9/37	9/128
Criminal Justice	0.76	0.01	2/15	9/37	9/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Japan East Asia & Pacific High




# Jordan

Region: Middle East & North Africa  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	2/8	15/42	50/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	-0.01	5/8	23/42	80/128
Absence of Corruption	0.60	0.02	2/8	6/42	39/128
Open Government	0.42	0.01	3/8	36/42	98/128
Fundamental Rights	0.48	-0.01	3/8	34/42	91/128
Order and Security	0.76	-0.01	2/8	14/42	49/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	0.02	2/8	4/42	34/128
Civil Justice	0.62	-0.01	2/8	6/42	37/128
Criminal Justice	0.57	-0.01	2/8	4/42	32/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Jordan Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle



# Kazakhstan

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	4/14	19/42	62/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	4▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.42	-0.01	9/14	35/42	102/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.02	3/14	18/42	57/128
Open Government	0.46	-0.01	11/14	29/42	81/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	-0.01	11/14	37/42	100/128
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	8/14	8/42	39/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	3/14	20/42	65/128
Civil Justice	0.62	0.02	1/14	9/42	40/128
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.02	5/14	19/42	58/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Kazakhstan — Eastern Europe & Central Asia — Upper Middle






# Kenya

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	18/31	17/30	102/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	0.00	16/31	11/30	78/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.00	27/31	27/30	122/128
Open Government	0.48	-0.01	7/31	11/30	72/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.01	18/31	11/30	95/128
Order and Security	0.58	0.01	26/31	26/30	117/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.01	15/31	13/30	93/128
Civil Justice	0.46	-0.01	16/31	15/30	91/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.01	14/31	11/30	80/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High


Kenya Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle



The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.54</b>	<b>2/14</b>	<b>17/42</b>	<b>54/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
—	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	—	2/14	21/42	71/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	—	5/14	22/42	62/128
Open Government	0.56	—	3/14	11/42	44/128
Fundamental Rights	0.60	—	3/14	15/42	52/128
Order and Security	0.84	—	2/14	1/42	25/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	—	10/14	36/42	97/128
Civil Justice	0.46	—	13/14	34/42	93/128
Criminal Justice	0.47	—	4/14	18/42	56/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Kosovo Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle




# Kyrgyz Republic

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	11/14	12/30	87/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.00	3/14	13/30	84/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	0.01	14/14	22/30	113/128
Open Government	0.54	0.00	5/14	5/30	51/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	0.02	9/14	9/30	82/128
Order and Security	0.76	0.01	11/14	5/30	50/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	-0.01	8/14	14/30	95/128
Civil Justice	0.49	0.00	9/14	11/30	80/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.02	13/14	21/30	105/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Kyrgyz Republic Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower Middle



# Lebanon

Region: Middle East & North Africa  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	6/8	37/42	96/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.02 ▼	-4 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	-0.02	4/8	22/42	76/128
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.03	8/8	38/42	101/128
Open Government	0.40	-0.03	4/8	37/42	101/128
Fundamental Rights	0.50	-0.02	2/8	32/42	88/128
Order and Security	0.66	0.02	7/8	29/42	92/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.01	7/8	35/42	92/128
Civil Justice	0.42	-0.02	7/8	39/42	110/128
Criminal Justice	0.35	-0.03	8/8	35/42	100/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Lebanon Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle



# Liberia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	15/31	8/19	98/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	-0.01	13/31	7/19	70/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	0.00	23/31	14/19	112/128
Open Government	0.47	-0.02	9/31	4/19	75/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.00	12/31	6/19	75/128
Order and Security	0.61	0.00	23/31	14/19	111/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	18/31	10/19	102/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.00	22/31	11/19	106/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.01	25/31	14/19	111/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Liberia — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low



# Madagascar

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	20/31	12/19	105/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.02	18/31	11/19	93/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.01	28/31	17/19	123/128
Open Government	0.46	0.00	10/31	5/19	79/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.00	17/31	12/19	92/128
Order and Security	0.70	-0.01	10/31	7/19	71/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.03	27/31	16/19	120/128
Civil Justice	0.43	0.03	23/31	12/19	107/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	21/31	10/19	96/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Madagascar — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low



# Malawi

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	8/31	3/19	65/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.00	10/31	5/19	57/128
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.01	13/31	7/19	87/128
Open Government	0.43	0.00	13/31	7/19	89/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	-0.01	7/31	1/19	58/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.01	14/31	9/19	79/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.00	14/31	8/19	90/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.02	8/31	2/19	55/128
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.01	8/31	3/19	59/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Malawi Sub-Saharan Africa Low



# Malaysia

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.58</b>	<b>7/15</b>	<b>12/42</b>	<b>47/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.03▲</b>	<b>4▲</b>		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.05*	8/15	12/42	50/128
Absence of Corruption	0.60	0.01	7/15	8/42	41/128
Open Government	0.42	0.04	13/15	34/42	95/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.04*	9/15	29/42	80/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	7/15	5/42	34/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.04*	7/15	7/42	40/128
Civil Justice	0.63	0.03*	7/15	5/42	35/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.01	7/15	6/42	38/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Malaysia East Asia & Pacific Upper Middle






The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	21/31	13/19	106/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	-0.01	17/31	10/19	86/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	0.03	21/31	13/19	107/128
Open Government	0.46	0.02	11/31	6/19	83/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.01	11/31	5/19	71/128
Order and Security	0.51	-0.1*	28/31	17/19	122/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	-0.05	10/31	4/19	70/128
Civil Justice	0.42	-0.01	26/31	15/19	111/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	0.02	29/31	18/19	120/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Mali — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low



# Mauritania

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	29/31	27/30	123/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	1 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	0.03	30/31	26/30	121/128
Absence of Corruption	0.29	-0.02	25/31	26/30	119/128
Open Government	0.27	0.01	31/31	29/30	127/128
Fundamental Rights	0.40	0.02	26/31	21/30	113/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.00	18/31	19/30	95/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.28	0.02	31/31	29/30	126/128
Civil Justice	0.36	0.04	30/31	28/30	124/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	0.00	28/31	24/30	117/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Mauritania — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower Middle




# Mauritius

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.61</b>	<b>3/31</b>	<b>6/42</b>	<b>38/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.00</b>	<b>-1</b> ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	7/31	9/42	47/128
Absence of Corruption	0.59	0.00	3/31	10/42	43/128
Open Government	0.54	0.00	4/31	14/42	50/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	-0.01	3/31	9/42	43/128
Order and Security	0.75	-0.01	3/31	15/42	51/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.63	0.00	1/31	2/42	27/128
Civil Justice	0.64	0.00	3/31	3/42	31/128
Criminal Justice	0.53	0.01	4/31	10/42	43/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Mauritius Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle



# Mexico

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	26/30	39/42	104/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	-0.01	25/30	30/42	89/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	-0.02	29/30	42/42	121/128
Open Government	0.60	0.00	7/30	7/42	36/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	-0.02	24/30	28/42	78/128
Order and Security	0.53	-0.03*	29/30	41/42	121/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.01	23/30	34/42	91/128
Civil Justice	0.39	-0.01	26/30	40/42	116/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	0.00	26/30	41/42	119/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Mexico — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle



# Moldova

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	10/14	9/30	82/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	3 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.03*	6/14	15/30	92/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	0.02	12/14	19/30	106/128
Open Government	0.55	0.00	4/14	3/30	48/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.01	8/14	6/30	68/128
Order and Security	0.80	0.00	4/14	2/30	31/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.01	13/14	18/30	101/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.01	11/14	12/30	86/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.02	11/14	15/30	89/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Legend: Moldova (dark blue), Eastern Europe & Central Asia (orange), Lower Middle (green)




# Mongolia

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	8/15	4/30	57/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.01	9/15	6/30	65/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.02	12/15	7/30	83/128
Open Government	0.49	-0.02	10/15	10/30	70/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	-0.01	7/15	4/30	61/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	10/15	4/30	45/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.02	11/15	10/30	82/128
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	9/15	7/30	68/128
Criminal Justice	0.49	-0.01	8/15	2/30	52/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Mongolia East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle



# Morocco

Region: Middle East & North Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	4/8	8/30	79/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-3 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	-0.02	3/8	8/30	74/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	5/8	5/30	73/128
Open Government	0.44	0.00	2/8	13/30	86/128
Fundamental Rights	0.45	0.00	6/8	16/30	103/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	6/8	13/30	83/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.02	3/8	2/30	46/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.00	5/8	4/30	60/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	5/8	12/30	83/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Morocco — Middle East & North Africa — Lower Middle



# Mozambique

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	25/31	15/19	113/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.43	-0.02	20/31	13/19	101/128
Absence of Corruption	0.37	0.00	18/31	11/19	99/128
Open Government	0.35	-0.02	24/31	15/19	115/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	-0.01	24/31	16/19	109/128
Order and Security	0.55	-0.07*	27/31	16/19	120/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	0.02	22/31	12/19	112/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.00	17/31	8/19	94/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	-0.01	24/31	13/19	108/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Mozambique — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low





# Myanmar

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	14/15	20/30	112/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	13/15	18/30	98/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	10/15	3/30	63/128
Open Government	0.37	0.02	14/15	23/30	109/128
Fundamental Rights	0.31	0.00	14/15	29/30	125/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.00	14/15	18/30	94/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	0.00	13/15	11/30	87/128
Civil Justice	0.37	0.01	14/15	27/30	122/128
Criminal Justice	0.27	-0.02	14/15	26/30	122/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Myanmar East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle




# Namibia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.63</b>	<b>1/31</b>	<b>4/42</b>	<b>35/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.00</b>	<b>-1</b> ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.69	0.01	1/31	2/42	25/128
Absence of Corruption	0.53	0.00	5/31	14/42	50/128
Open Government	0.58	0.00	3/31	8/42	40/128
Fundamental Rights	0.66	0.00	1/31	5/42	34/128
Order and Security	0.74	0.00	4/31	20/42	60/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.58	0.00	4/31	6/42	38/128
Civil Justice	0.67	0.00	1/31	2/42	26/128
Criminal Justice	0.55	0.01	2/31	7/42	39/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Namibia Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle



# Nepal

Region: South Asia  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	1/6	2/19	61/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	2/6	3/19	46/128
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.02	3/6	8/19	88/128
Open Government	0.52	0.00	2/6	2/19	58/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	-0.02	2/6	7/19	76/128
Order and Security	0.73	0.00	1/6	4/19	62/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.01	1/6	2/19	62/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.01	1/6	5/19	82/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	-0.01	2/6	4/19	67/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Nepal — South Asia — Low




# Netherlands

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.84</b>	<b>5/24</b>	<b>5/37</b>	<b>5/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.00</b>	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.86	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128
Absence of Corruption	0.88	0.00	5/24	6/37	6/128
Open Government	0.82	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128
Fundamental Rights	0.84	0.00	7/24	7/37	7/128
Order and Security	0.85	0.00	13/24	19/37	20/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	-0.01	5/24	7/37	7/128
Civil Justice	0.85	-0.01	3/24	3/37	3/128
Criminal Justice	0.76	-0.01	7/24	8/37	8/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Netherlands EU & EFTA & North America High




# New Zealand

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.83</b>	<b>1/15</b>	<b>7/37</b>	<b>7/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.00</b>	<b>1▲</b>		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	1/15	7/37	7/128
Absence of Corruption	0.87	0.00	2/15	7/37	7/128
Open Government	0.82	0.00	1/15	6/37	6/128
Fundamental Rights	0.81	0.00	1/15	11/37	11/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	4/15	13/37	14/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	0.00	2/15	5/37	5/128
Civil Justice	0.78	0.01	3/15	10/37	10/128
Criminal Justice	0.72	0.00	4/15	13/37	13/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

New Zealand East Asia & Pacific High



# Nicaragua

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	28/30	23/30	118/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.26	-0.01	29/30	30/30	127/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	0.00	24/30	18/30	105/128
Open Government	0.37	-0.01	29/30	22/30	108/128
Fundamental Rights	0.37	-0.02	29/30	24/30	116/128
Order and Security	0.70	-0.01	10/30	8/30	73/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	-0.03	25/30	19/30	104/128
Civil Justice	0.38	-0.03	27/30	26/30	120/128
Criminal Justice	0.29	0.01	27/30	25/30	121/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Nicaragua Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle



# Niger

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	19/31	11/19	103/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.02	24/31	15/19	106/128
Absence of Corruption	0.40	-0.03	14/31	9/19	90/128
Open Government	0.35	0.00	25/31	16/19	116/128
Fundamental Rights	0.49	0.00	16/31	11/19	89/128
Order and Security	0.64	-0.08*	19/31	11/19	99/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.03	13/31	7/19	80/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.02	19/31	9/19	102/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.04	16/31	8/19	84/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Niger — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low



# Nigeria

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	22/31	18/30	108/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.01	12/31	7/30	68/128
Absence of Corruption	0.33	-0.01	22/31	20/30	109/128
Open Government	0.43	0.00	14/31	18/30	94/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	20/31	13/30	99/128
Order and Security	0.35	0.00	31/31	30/30	127/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	17/31	16/30	99/128
Civil Justice	0.50	0.01	11/31	10/30	75/128
Criminal Justice	0.40	-0.03	13/31	8/30	76/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Nigeria — Sub-Saharan Africa — Lower Middle

<h3>Constraints on Government Powers</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.1 Limits by legislature: 0.68</li> <li>1.2 Limits by judiciary: 0.53</li> <li>1.3 Independent auditing: 0.47</li> <li>1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct: 0.46</li> <li>1.5 Non-governmental checks: 0.50</li> <li>1.6 Lawful transition of power: 0.51</li> </ul> <h3>Absence of Corruption</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2.1 In the executive branch: 0.31</li> <li>2.2 In the judiciary: 0.49</li> <li>2.3 In the police/military: 0.35</li> <li>2.4 In the legislature: 0.17</li> </ul> <h3>Open Government</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.1 Publicized laws &amp; gov't data: 0.20</li> <li>3.2 Right to information: 0.39</li> <li>3.3 Civic participation: 0.52</li> <li>3.4 Complaint mechanisms: 0.60</li> </ul>	<h3>Fundamental Rights</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4.1 No discrimination: 0.53</li> <li>4.2 Right to life &amp; security: 0.27</li> <li>4.3 Due process of law: 0.35</li> <li>4.4 Freedom of expression: 0.50</li> <li>4.5 Freedom of religion: 0.54</li> <li>4.6 Right to privacy: 0.37</li> <li>4.7 Freedom of association: 0.58</li> <li>4.8 Labor rights: 0.51</li> </ul> <h3>Order and Security</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.1 Absence of crime: 0.55</li> <li>5.2 Absence of civil conflict: 0.08</li> <li>5.3 Absence of violent redress: 0.43</li> </ul> <h3>Regulatory Enforcement</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement: 0.40</li> <li>6.2 No improper influence: 0.51</li> <li>6.3 No unreasonable delay: 0.34</li> <li>6.4 Respect for due process: 0.43</li> <li>6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation: 0.49</li> </ul>	<h3>Civil Justice</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7.1 Accessibility &amp; affordability: 0.61</li> <li>7.2 No discrimination: 0.57</li> <li>7.3 No corruption: 0.49</li> <li>7.4 No improper gov't influence: 0.50</li> <li>7.5 No unreasonable delay: 0.23</li> <li>7.6 Effective enforcement: 0.43</li> <li>7.7 Impartial &amp; effective ADRs: 0.66</li> </ul> <h3>Criminal Justice</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.1 Effective investigations: 0.40</li> <li>8.2 Timely &amp; effective adjudication: 0.38</li> <li>8.3 Effective correctional system: 0.34</li> <li>8.4 No discrimination: 0.51</li> <li>8.5 No corruption: 0.35</li> <li>8.6 No improper gov't influence: 0.47</li> <li>8.7 Due process of law: 0.35</li> </ul>
--	---	---



# North Macedonia

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	3/14	18/42	58/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.00	4/14	28/42	87/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	-0.02	6/14	27/42	70/128
Open Government	0.48	-0.01	7/14	25/42	71/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.02	6/14	18/42	55/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.01	5/14	4/42	32/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.00	6/14	26/42	76/128
Civil Justice	0.55	-0.01	3/14	18/42	57/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	-0.02	6/14	22/42	63/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



North Macedonia Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle




# Norway

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.89</b>	<b>2/24</b>	<b>2/37</b>	<b>2/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.00</b>	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.94	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Absence of Corruption	0.94	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Open Government	0.89	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Fundamental Rights	0.91	0.01	2/24	2/37	2/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	2/24	4/37	4/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.87	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Civil Justice	0.85	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Criminal Justice	0.83	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Norway EU & EFTA & North America High



# Pakistan

Region: South Asia  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	5/6	25/30	120/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	-0.03	4/6	12/30	79/128
Absence of Corruption	0.31	-0.01	5/6	25/30	116/128
Open Government	0.43	-0.02	4/6	16/30	91/128
Fundamental Rights	0.38	0.00	5/6	23/30	115/128
Order and Security	0.37	0.01	5/6	29/30	126/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	0.01	5/6	25/30	115/128
Civil Justice	0.38	0.01	4/6	24/30	118/128
Criminal Justice	0.35	0.00	4/6	19/30	98/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Pakistan — South Asia — Lower Middle




# Panama

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	15/30	37/37	63/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	2▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.54	0.00	16/30	36/37	62/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.00	19/30	37/37	82/128
Open Government	0.59	0.00	8/30	30/37	38/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.02	12/30	34/37	42/128
Order and Security	0.66	-0.02	15/30	37/37	90/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	17/30	35/37	61/128
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.01	22/30	36/37	83/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.02	21/30	36/37	106/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Panama Latin America & Caribbean High



# Peru

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	20/30	30/42	80/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-7 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	-0.02	11/30	10/42	48/128
Absence of Corruption	0.33	0.00	26/30	40/42	111/128
Open Government	0.55	0.00	11/30	13/42	47/128
Fundamental Rights	0.62	0.00	15/30	12/42	46/128
Order and Security	0.63	-0.02	22/30	34/42	105/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	-0.01	19/30	22/42	68/128
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.01	24/30	37/42	100/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.00	22/30	38/42	107/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Peru Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



# Philippines

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	13/15	13/30	91/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	-0.02	10/15	9/30	75/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	11/15	4/30	64/128
Open Government	0.52	-0.01	8/15	7/30	55/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	-0.01	12/15	18/30	107/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.05*	15/15	21/30	97/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.01	10/15	9/30	75/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.02	12/15	16/30	92/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	13/15	22/30	112/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Philippines East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle




# Poland

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.66	19/24	27/37	28/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.00	21/24	32/37	51/128
Absence of Corruption	0.73	0.01	14/24	20/37	20/128
Open Government	0.60	-0.03	22/24	29/37	37/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	-0.02	22/24	33/37	39/128
Order and Security	0.86	0.00	12/24	18/37	19/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.62	0.00	18/24	27/37	29/128
Civil Justice	0.63	-0.01	17/24	29/37	34/128
Criminal Justice	0.60	-0.01	17/24	25/37	26/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Poland EU & EFTA & North America High




# Portugal

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.7	16/24	23/37	23/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		








	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.78	-0.01	12/24	14/37	14/128
Absence of Corruption	0.72	0.00	16/24	23/37	23/128
Open Government	0.66	-0.01	16/24	23/37	24/128
Fundamental Rights	0.78	-0.01	14/24	16/37	17/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	20/24	27/37	37/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.62	-0.02	17/24	26/37	28/128
Civil Justice	0.68	-0.01	14/24	22/37	22/128
Criminal Justice	0.59	-0.01	18/24	26/37	27/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Portugal EU & EFTA & North America High






# Republic of Korea

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	6/15	17/37	17/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.00	3/15	20/37	21/128
Absence of Corruption	0.67	-0.01	6/15	26/37	28/128
Open Government	0.71	0.01	4/15	20/37	20/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	0.00	4/15	21/37	22/128
Order and Security	0.84	0.00	6/15	21/37	23/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.72	0.00	6/15	19/37	19/128
Civil Justice	0.76	0.00	5/15	13/37	13/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.00	6/15	18/37	18/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Republic of Korea East Asia & Pacific High




# Romania

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	20/24	3/42	32/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		









	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.00	20/24	6/42	38/128
Absence of Corruption	0.56	-0.01	22/24	11/42	46/128
Open Government	0.61	-0.02	21/24	6/42	35/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.00	19/24	3/42	28/128
Order and Security	0.83	-0.01	16/24	2/42	26/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	-0.01	21/24	9/42	42/128
Civil Justice	0.62	-0.02	19/24	8/42	39/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	-0.01	20/24	5/42	37/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Romania EU & EFTA & North America Upper Middle



# Russian Federation

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	13/14	36/42	94/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	-0.01	11/14	38/42	115/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.02	9/14	33/42	77/128
Open Government	0.49	0.00	6/14	24/42	69/128
Fundamental Rights	0.44	-0.01	12/14	38/42	104/128
Order and Security	0.66	0.00	14/14	28/42	91/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	5/14	25/42	73/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.02	5/14	20/42	62/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.02	14/14	39/42	110/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Russian Federation Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle




# Rwanda

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.62</b>	<b>2/31</b>	<b>1/19</b>	<b>37/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.01 ▲</b>	<b>3 ▲</b>		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	6/31	2/19	45/128
Absence of Corruption	0.63	0.03	1/31	1/19	36/128
Open Government	0.58	0.01	2/31	1/19	39/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	13/31	8/19	81/128
Order and Security	0.84	0.00	1/31	1/19	22/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.59	0.02	3/31	1/19	37/128
Civil Justice	0.64	0.01	2/31	1/19	30/128
Criminal Justice	0.54	-0.01	3/31	1/19	42/128




\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level


Low Medium High

Rwanda Sub-Saharan Africa Low


## Constraints on Government Powers




## Absence of Corruption



## Open Government




## Fundamental Rights




## Order and Security




## Regulatory Enforcement



## Civil Justice



## Criminal Justice




# Senegal

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	7/31	2/30	52/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	-0.02	8/31	5/30	54/128
Absence of Corruption	0.54	-0.01	4/31	1/30	49/128
Open Government	0.43	-0.01	12/31	14/30	88/128
Fundamental Rights	0.60	-0.01	5/31	3/30	51/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	12/31	10/30	76/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.02	5/31	1/30	44/128
Civil Justice	0.57	0.01	7/31	2/30	51/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	0.00	9/31	4/30	64/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Senegal Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle



# Serbia

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	8/14	26/42	75/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	5▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	-0.01	10/14	36/42	111/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	7/14	29/42	72/128
Open Government	0.47	-0.01	9/14	27/42	76/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	0.01	7/14	21/42	62/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	9/14	10/42	42/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.01	7/14	27/42	77/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.01	8/14	27/42	73/128
Criminal Justice	0.40	0.02	9/14	28/42	77/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Serbia — Eastern Europe & Central Asia — Upper Middle




# Sierra Leone

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	17/31	10/19	100/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	0.00	15/31	9/19	73/128
Absence of Corruption	0.35	0.00	20/31	12/19	104/128
Open Government	0.40	-0.02	17/31	11/19	103/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	14/31	9/19	85/128
Order and Security	0.67	-0.02	17/31	10/19	89/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.00	26/31	15/19	119/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.03	21/31	10/19	105/128
Criminal Justice	0.35	0.00	22/31	11/19	99/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Sierra Leone Sub-Saharan Africa Low




# Singapore

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	3/15	12/37	12/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.67	-0.02	6/15	25/37	29/128
Absence of Corruption	0.91	0.00	1/15	3/37	3/128
Open Government	0.64	-0.02	6/15	25/37	26/128
Fundamental Rights	0.68	-0.01	5/15	28/37	32/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	1/15	1/37	1/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.87	0.00	1/15	3/37	3/128
Civil Justice	0.82	-0.01	1/15	6/37	6/128
Criminal Justice	0.79	0.00	1/15	6/37	6/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

— Singapore — East Asia & Pacific — High





# Slovenia

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.69	17/24	24/37	24/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	2 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.65	0.01	19/24	26/37	30/128
Absence of Corruption	0.66	0.01	17/24	27/37	29/128
Open Government	0.65	0.00	17/24	24/37	25/128
Fundamental Rights	0.75	0.02	15/24	19/37	20/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	10/24	15/37	16/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.65	0.01	16/24	24/37	25/128
Civil Justice	0.66	0.03*	16/24	26/37	28/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.01	19/24	31/37	35/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Slovenia — EU & EFTA & North America — High



# South Africa

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
<b>0.59</b>	<b>5/31</b>	<b>10/42</b>	<b>45/128</b>
Score Change	Rank Change		
<b>0.01 ▲</b>	<b>2 ▲</b>		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.63	0.01	3/31	4/42	33/128
Absence of Corruption	0.48	0.00	6/31	19/42	58/128
Open Government	0.62	0.00	1/31	4/42	30/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.00	2/31	8/42	41/128
Order and Security	0.61	0.00	22/31	38/42	110/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.02	6/31	11/42	45/128
Civil Justice	0.61	0.03	4/31	10/42	41/128
Criminal Justice	0.53	0.01	5/31	11/42	44/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



South Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle




# Spain

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	13/24	19/37	19/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	2 ▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.74	0.02	13/24	16/37	17/128
Absence of Corruption	0.73	0.01	15/24	21/37	21/128
Open Government	0.71	0.01	14/24	19/37	19/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.00	13/24	15/37	16/128
Order and Security	0.82	0.00	17/24	23/37	27/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.70	0.02	15/24	22/37	22/128
Civil Justice	0.67	0.00	15/24	24/37	25/128
Criminal Justice	0.67	0.01	13/24	20/37	20/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Spain EU & EFTA & North America High

Category	Sub-category	Score	
Constraints on Government Powers	1.1 Limits by legislature	0.76	
	1.2 Limits by judiciary	0.66	
	1.3 Independent auditing	0.75	
	1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct	0.66	
	1.5 Non-governmental checks	0.72	
	1.6 Lawful transition of power	0.87	
Absence of Corruption	2.1 In the executive branch	0.68	
	2.2 In the judiciary	0.88	
	2.3 In the police/military	0.88	
	2.4 In the legislature	0.49	
Open Government	3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data	0.71	
	3.2 Right to information	0.66	
	3.3 Civic participation	0.71	
	3.4 Complaint mechanisms	0.76	
Fundamental Rights	4.1 No discrimination	0.71	
	4.2 Right to life & security	0.86	
	4.3 Due process of law	0.79	
	4.4 Freedom of expression	0.72	
	4.5 Freedom of religion	0.77	
	4.6 Right to privacy	0.87	
	4.7 Freedom of association	0.82	
	4.8 Labor rights	0.74	
Order and Security	5.1 Absence of crime	0.86	
	5.2 Absence of civil conflict	1.00	
	5.3 Absence of violent redress	0.60	
	6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement	0.67	
	6.2 No improper influence	0.83	
Regulatory Enforcement	6.3 No unreasonable delay	0.58	
	6.4 Respect for due process	0.76	
	6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.67	
	Civil Justice	7.1 Accessibility & affordability	0.73
		7.2 No discrimination	0.70
		7.3 No corruption	0.72
		7.4 No improper gov't influence	0.68
		7.5 No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6 Effective enforcement		0.55	
7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs		0.80	
Criminal Justice	8.1 Effective investigations	0.59	
	8.2 Timely & effective adjudication	0.56	
	8.3 Effective correctional system	0.71	
	8.4 No discrimination	0.63	
	8.5 No corruption	0.76	
	8.6 No improper gov't influence	0.65	
	8.7 Due process of law	0.79	

# Sri Lanka

Region: South Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	2/6	21/42	66/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-2 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.55	0.01	3/6	15/42	60/128
Absence of Corruption	0.47	0.01	1/6	21/42	61/128
Open Government	0.51	0.01	3/6	17/42	59/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.00	1/6	25/42	72/128
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	2/6	24/42	70/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.02	3/6	28/42	79/128
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.02	3/6	36/42	99/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	0.00	1/6	23/42	65/128


\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High




— Sri Lanka — South Asia — Upper Middle


## Constraints on Government Powers




## Absence of Corruption




## Open Government




## Fundamental Rights




## Order and Security




## Regulatory Enforcement



## Civil Justice



## Criminal Justice



# St. Kitts and Nevis

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	6/30	30/37	33/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	-0.02	7/30	30/37	36/128
Absence of Corruption	0.64	-0.03	7/30	29/37	33/128
Open Government	0.44	0.03	25/30	36/37	87/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	-0.02	6/30	26/37	29/128
Order and Security	0.78	-0.02	3/30	29/37	41/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.00	4/30	28/37	31/128
Civil Justice	0.69	-0.06	2/30	21/37	21/128
Criminal Justice	0.59	0.02	3/30	27/37	28/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



St. Kitts and Nevis Latin America & Caribbean High

### Constraints on Government Powers

- 1.1 Limits by legislature (0.61)
- 1.2 Limits by judiciary (0.70)
- 1.3 Independent auditing (0.59)
- 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct (0.47)
- 1.5 Non-governmental checks (0.65)
- 1.6 Lawful transition of power (0.69)

### Absence of Corruption

- 2.1 In the executive branch (0.48)
- 2.2 In the judiciary (0.89)
- 2.3 In the police/military (0.77)
- 2.4 In the legislature (0.42)

### Open Government

- 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data (0.19)
- 3.2 Right to information (0.39)
- 3.3 Civic participation (0.62)
- 3.4 Complaint mechanisms (0.56)

### Fundamental Rights

- 4.1 No discrimination (0.67)
- 4.2 Right to life & security (0.86)
- 4.3 Due process of law (0.62)
- 4.4 Freedom of expression (0.65)
- 4.5 Freedom of religion (0.65)
- 4.6 Right to privacy (0.75)
- 4.7 Freedom of association (0.70)
- 4.8 Labor rights (0.71)

### Order and Security

- 5.1 Absence of crime (0.81)
- 5.2 Absence of civil conflict (1.00)
- 5.3 Absence of violent redress (0.51)

### Regulatory Enforcement

- 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement (0.52)
- 6.2 No improper influence (0.75)
- 6.3 No unreasonable delay (0.53)
- 6.4 Respect for due process (0.60)
- 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation (0.65)

### Civil Justice

- 7.1 Accessibility & affordability (0.66)
- 7.2 No discrimination (0.67)
- 7.3 No corruption (0.78)
- 7.4 No improper gov't influence (0.83)
- 7.5 No unreasonable delay (0.55)
- 7.6 Effective enforcement (0.52)
- 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs (0.79)

### Criminal Justice

- 8.1 Effective investigations (0.44)
- 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication (0.55)
- 8.3 Effective correctional system (0.36)
- 8.4 No discrimination (0.63)
- 8.5 No corruption (0.75)
- 8.6 No improper gov't influence (0.77)
- 8.7 Due process of law (0.62)


# St. Lucia

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.62	8/30	5/42	36/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	2▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.59	0.00	12/30	11/42	49/128
Absence of Corruption	0.64	0.00	8/30	5/42	34/128
Open Government	0.49	0.00	21/30	23/42	67/128
Fundamental Rights	0.66	0.00	9/30	6/42	35/128
Order and Security	0.74	0.02	7/30	17/42	57/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	0.02	7/30	5/42	36/128
Civil Justice	0.68	0.02	3/30	1/42	23/128
Criminal Justice	0.55	-0.02	10/30	9/42	41/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

St. Lucia Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle




# St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.64	5/30	2/42	31/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.02 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.03	8/30	5/42	37/128
Absence of Corruption	0.70	0.00	2/30	1/42	24/128
Open Government	0.52	0.02	14/30	16/42	54/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.02	5/30	2/42	27/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.01	5/30	16/42	54/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.03	8/30	10/42	43/128
Civil Justice	0.64	0.02	6/30	4/42	32/128
Criminal Justice	0.61	0.00	2/30	1/42	25/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

St. Vincent and the Grenadines Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



# Suriname

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	18/30	27/42	76/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-5 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	-0.01	22/30	24/42	81/128
Absence of Corruption	0.45	-0.06	16/30	25/42	68/128
Open Government	0.39	0.02	28/30	38/42	104/128
Fundamental Rights	0.55	0.00	19/30	23/42	66/128
Order and Security	0.65	-0.01	18/30	30/42	98/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.00	21/30	29/42	81/128
Civil Justice	0.49	-0.02	20/30	29/42	78/128
Criminal Justice	0.52	-0.01	11/30	12/42	45/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Suriname Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle






# Sweden

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.86	4/24	4/37	4/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.87	0.00	4/24	4/37	4/128
Absence of Corruption	0.91	0.00	3/24	4/37	4/128
Open Government	0.86	0.00	3/24	3/37	3/128
Fundamental Rights	0.87	0.00	4/24	4/37	4/128
Order and Security	0.92	0.00	3/24	6/37	6/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.84	0.00	6/24	8/37	8/128
Civil Justice	0.82	0.02	5/24	5/37	5/128
Criminal Justice	0.80	-0.01	4/24	4/37	4/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Sweden EU & EFTA & North America High



# Tanzania

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	12/31	7/19	93/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	-0.01	14/31	8/19	72/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.00	12/31	6/19	81/128
Open Government	0.38	0.00	18/31	12/19	105/128
Fundamental Rights	0.43	-0.02	22/31	14/19	105/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	13/31	8/19	78/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.02	16/31	9/19	94/128
Civil Justice	0.50	0.02	12/31	4/19	76/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	15/31	7/19	81/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Tanzania — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low



# Thailand

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	10/15	24/42	71/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	6▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.01	11/15	25/42	82/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.00	9/15	17/42	54/128
Open Government	0.51	0.02	9/15	19/42	61/128
Fundamental Rights	0.49	0.01	10/15	33/42	90/128
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	11/15	22/42	67/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.01	12/15	30/42	83/128
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.01	10/15	32/42	84/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	0.01	11/15	26/42	70/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Thailand East Asia & Pacific Upper Middle




The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	16/31	9/19	99/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	0.00	29/31	19/19	117/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.01	9/31	4/19	78/128
Open Government	0.30	-0.01	30/31	19/19	123/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	-0.03	19/31	13/19	97/128
Order and Security	0.73	0.00	5/31	3/19	61/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	11/31	5/19	71/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.01	13/31	6/19	85/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.00	20/31	9/19	94/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Togo — Sub-Saharan Africa — Low



# Trinidad and Tobago

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	14/30	35/37	55/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.00	14/30	34/37	55/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	-0.01	14/30	36/37	55/128
Open Government	0.56	0.00	10/30	31/37	45/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	16/30	35/37	56/128
Order and Security	0.68	0.01	13/30	35/37	85/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	15/30	34/37	59/128
Civil Justice	0.58	0.01	12/30	32/37	49/128
Criminal Justice	0.32	-0.03	23/30	37/37	109/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Trinidad and Tobago Latin America & Caribbean High



# Tunisia

Region: Middle East & North Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	3/8	3/30	56/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	0.01	1/8	4/30	42/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.01	3/8	2/30	56/128
Open Government	0.49	-0.01	1/8	9/30	68/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	0.01	1/8	5/30	63/128
Order and Security	0.68	0.01	5/8	11/30	80/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.00	4/8	5/30	52/128
Civil Justice	0.50	0.01	6/8	9/30	74/128
Criminal Justice	0.42	-0.01	4/8	6/30	74/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Tunisia — Middle East & North Africa — Lower Middle




# Turkey

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	14/14	40/42	107/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	3▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.30	0.01	14/14	41/42	124/128
Absence of Corruption	0.47	-0.01	4/14	20/42	60/128
Open Government	0.42	0.00	12/14	35/42	97/128
Fundamental Rights	0.32	0.00	14/14	39/42	123/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.02	13/14	26/42	77/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	-0.01	14/14	41/42	110/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.00	14/14	38/42	103/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	10/14	30/42	85/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Turkey Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle




# Uganda

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.4	27/31	17/19	117/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.01	23/31	14/19	105/128
Absence of Corruption	0.26	0.00	29/31	18/19	125/128
Open Government	0.40	0.00	16/31	10/19	102/128
Fundamental Rights	0.37	-0.01	28/31	18/19	117/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	25/31	15/19	113/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	20/31	11/19	106/128
Civil Justice	0.43	-0.02	24/31	13/19	108/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.02	26/31	15/19	113/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Uganda Sub-Saharan Africa Low





# Ukraine

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	7/14	7/30	72/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	6▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.00	5/14	14/30	90/128
Absence of Corruption	0.33	0.00	13/14	21/30	110/128
Open Government	0.57	0.02	2/14	2/30	42/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	0.00	2/14	2/30	49/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.02	12/14	6/30	52/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.01	12/14	17/30	100/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.00	4/14	5/30	61/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	-0.01	12/14	16/30	90/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Ukraine Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower Middle



# United Arab Emirates

Region: Middle East & North Africa  
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.65	1/8	29/37	30/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	2▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.01	2/8	35/37	59/128
Absence of Corruption	0.80	0.00	1/8	16/37	16/128
Open Government	0.36	0.00	5/8	37/37	113/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	5/8	37/37	98/128
Order and Security	0.91	0.00	1/8	7/37	7/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.73	0.00	1/8	18/37	18/128
Civil Justice	0.68	0.01	1/8	23/37	24/128
Criminal Justice	0.67	0.00	1/8	19/37	19/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



United Arab Emirates Middle East & North Africa High




# United Kingdom

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	10/24	13/37	13/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.82	-0.01	11/24	13/37	13/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	7/24	10/37	10/128
Open Government	0.79	-0.01	9/24	11/37	11/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	-0.03	11/24	13/37	13/128
Order and Security	0.84	-0.01	15/24	22/37	24/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	-0.01	9/24	13/37	13/128
Civil Justice	0.71	-0.02	10/24	17/37	17/128
Criminal Justice	0.72	-0.02	9/24	12/37	12/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

United Kingdom EU & EFTA & North America High

### Constraints on Government Powers

- 1.1 Limits by legislature 0.86
- 1.2 Limits by judiciary 0.82
- 1.3 Independent auditing 0.80
- 1.4 Sanctions for official misconduct 0.76
- 1.5 Non-governmental checks 0.84
- 1.6 Lawful transition of power 0.86

### Absence of Corruption

- 2.1 In the executive branch 0.84
- 2.2 In the judiciary 0.96
- 2.3 In the police/military 0.85
- 2.4 In the legislature 0.64

### Open Government

- 3.1 Publicized laws & gov't data 0.89
- 3.2 Right to information 0.67
- 3.3 Civic participation 0.83
- 3.4 Complaint mechanisms 0.77

### Fundamental Rights

- 4.1 No discrimination 0.67
- 4.2 Right to life & security 0.91
- 4.3 Due process of law 0.78
- 4.4 Freedom of expression 0.84
- 4.5 Freedom of religion 0.85
- 4.6 Right to privacy 0.76
- 4.7 Freedom of association 0.89
- 4.8 Labor rights 0.65

### Order and Security

- 5.1 Absence of crime 0.89
- 5.2 Absence of civil conflict 0.92
- 5.3 Absence of violent redress 0.70

### Regulatory Enforcement

- 6.1 Effective regulatory enforcement 0.76
- 6.2 No improper influence 0.94
- 6.3 No unreasonable delay 0.77
- 6.4 Respect for due process 0.81
- 6.5 No expropriation w/out adequate compensation 0.77

### Civil Justice

- 7.1 Accessibility & affordability 0.52
- 7.2 No discrimination 0.56
- 7.3 No corruption 0.91
- 7.4 No improper gov't influence 0.83
- 7.5 No unreasonable delay 0.66
- 7.6 Effective enforcement 0.70
- 7.7 Impartial & effective ADRs 0.79

### Criminal Justice

- 8.1 Effective investigations 0.67
- 8.2 Timely & effective adjudication 0.73
- 8.3 Effective correctional system 0.56
- 8.4 No discrimination 0.62
- 8.5 No corruption 0.86
- 8.6 No improper gov't influence 0.85
- 8.7 Due process of law 0.78

# United States

Region: EU & EFTA & North America  
Income Group: High


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.72	15/24	21/37	21/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	-0.02	16/24	21/37	22/128
Absence of Corruption	0.74	0.00	13/24	19/37	19/128
Open Government	0.78	0.00	11/24	13/37	13/128
Fundamental Rights	0.72	0.00	18/24	25/37	26/128
Order and Security	0.81	0.01	18/24	24/37	28/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	-0.01	13/24	20/37	20/128
Civil Justice	0.62	-0.01	18/24	30/37	36/128
Criminal Justice	0.63	0.00	15/24	22/37	22/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



United States EU & EFTA & North America High




# Uruguay

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.71	1/30	22/37	22/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	1▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.76	0.01	2/30	15/37	16/128
Absence of Corruption	0.73	-0.01	1/30	22/37	22/128
Open Government	0.72	0.00	1/30	16/37	16/128
Fundamental Rights	0.78	0.01	2/30	17/37	18/128
Order and Security	0.69	-0.01	11/30	34/37	74/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.70	0.01	1/30	23/37	23/128
Civil Justice	0.74	-0.01	1/30	16/37	16/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.03	6/30	29/37	33/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Uruguay Latin America & Caribbean High



# Uzbekistan

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	12/14	14/30	92/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.01 ▲	4 ▲		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	0.01	13/14	25/30	120/128
Absence of Corruption	0.40	0.02	10/14	10/30	89/128
Open Government	0.33	0.02	14/14	26/30	120/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.02	13/14	19/30	110/128
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	1/14	1/30	11/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.00	9/14	15/30	96/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.00	7/14	8/30	72/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	0.00	7/14	5/30	66/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Uzbekistan Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower Middle



# Venezuela, RB

Region: Latin America & Caribbean  
Income Group: Upper Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.27	30/30	42/42	128/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	—		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.17	-0.01	30/30	42/42	128/128
Absence of Corruption	0.31	-0.01	28/30	41/42	117/128
Open Government	0.28	-0.01	30/30	42/42	125/128
Fundamental Rights	0.31	-0.02*	30/30	40/42	124/128
Order and Security	0.48	0.01	30/30	42/42	123/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.20	0.00	30/30	42/42	128/128
Civil Justice	0.27	0.00	30/30	42/42	127/128
Criminal Justice	0.13	-0.01	30/30	42/42	128/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Venezuela, RB Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



# Vietnam

Region: East Asia & Pacific  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	11/15	11/30	85/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
0.00	-2 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	12/15	17/30	95/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.01	13/15	8/30	84/128
Open Government	0.46	0.01	11/15	12/30	78/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	11/15	14/30	101/128
Order and Security	0.77	-0.01	9/15	3/30	44/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.01	14/15	12/30	89/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.01	11/15	14/30	89/128
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.00	9/15	3/30	60/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



— Vietnam — East Asia & Pacific — Lower Middle






# Zambia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	14/31	16/30	97/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	-0.03	19/31	16/30	94/128
Absence of Corruption	0.38	-0.02	17/31	14/30	97/128
Open Government	0.38	0.00	19/31	20/30	106/128
Fundamental Rights	0.42	-0.03	23/31	17/30	106/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	11/31	9/30	75/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	19/31	20/30	105/128
Civil Justice	0.47	0.00	14/31	13/30	88/128
Criminal Justice	0.41	-0.03	12/31	7/30	75/128



\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Zambia Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle



# Zimbabwe

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa  
Income Group: Lower Middle


The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	28/31	24/30	119/128
Score Change	Rank Change		
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼		


	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	-0.01	31/31	27/30	122/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	0.01	24/31	24/30	115/128
Open Government	0.32	-0.02	29/31	27/30	122/128
Fundamental Rights	0.34	-0.01	31/31	27/30	121/128
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	16/31	15/30	87/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.36	-0.02	29/31	28/30	124/128
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.01	18/31	19/30	101/128
Criminal Justice	0.37	0.00	17/31	14/30	88/128

\* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Zimbabwe Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle





# Behind the Numbers

# Methodology Snapshot: Steps to Produce the WJP Rule of Law Index

The production of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* can be summarized in 11 steps:

- 1 The WJP developed the conceptual framework summarized in the Index's nine factors and 47 sub-factors, in consultation with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from around the world.
- 2 The Index team developed a set of five questionnaires based on the Index's conceptual framework to be administered to experts and the general public. Questionnaires were translated into several languages and adapted to reflect commonly used terms and expressions.
- 3 The Index team identified, on average, more than 300 potential local experts per country to respond to the QRQs and engaged the services of leading local polling companies to implement the household surveys.
- 4 Polling companies conducted pilot tests of the GPP in consultation with the Index team, and launched the final survey for full fieldwork.
- 5 The Index team sent the questionnaires to local experts and engaged in continual interaction with them.
- 6 The Index team collected and mapped the data onto the eight factors and 44 sub-factors with global comparability that make up the scores and rankings of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*. The Index scores and rankings exclude the ninth factor and its three sub-factors because they cannot be measured in a comparable manner globally.
- 7 The Index team constructed the final scores using a five-step process:
  - a. Codified the questionnaire items as numeric values
  - b. Produced raw country scores by aggregating the responses from several individuals (experts and/or general public)
  - c. Normalized the raw scores
  - d. Aggregated the normalized scores into sub-factors and factors using simple averages
  - e. Produced the normalized scores, which are rounded to two decimal points, and the final rankings
- 8 The data was subject to a series of tests to identify possible biases and errors. For example, the Index team cross-checked all sub-factors against more than 70 third-party sources, including quantitative data and qualitative assessments drawn from local and international organizations.
- 9 A sensitivity analysis was conducted by the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, in collaboration with the Index team, to assess the statistical reliability of the results.
- 10 To illustrate whether the rule of law in a country significantly changed over the course of the past year, a measure of change over time was produced based on the annual difference in the country-level factor scores, the standard errors of these scores (estimated from a set of 100 bootstrap samples), and the results of the corresponding t-tests.
- 11 The data was organized into country reports, tables, and figures to facilitate their presentation and interpretation. For tables organized by income group, the WJP follows the World Bank income classifications.

# Methodology

*The WJP Rule of Law Index is the first attempt to systematically and comprehensively quantify the rule of law around the world and remains unique in its operationalization of rule of law dimensions into concrete questions.*

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* report presents information on eight composite factors that are further disaggregated into 44 specific sub-factors (see page 11). Factor 9, Informal Justice, is included in the conceptual framework, but has been excluded from the aggregated scores and rankings in order to provide meaningful cross-country comparisons.

The country scores and rankings presented in this report are built from more than 500 variables drawn from the assessments of more than 130,000 households and 4,000 legal practitioners and experts in 128 countries and jurisdictions, making it the most accurate portrayal of the factors that contribute to shaping the rule of law in a country.

## Data Sources

To present an image that accurately portrays the rule of law as experienced by ordinary people, each score of the Index is calculated using a large number of questions drawn from two original data sources collected by the World Justice Project in each country or jurisdiction: a General Population Poll (GPP) and a series of Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs). These two data sources collect up-to-date firsthand information that is not available at the global level, and constitute the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind. They capture the experiences and perceptions of ordinary citizens and in-country professionals concerning the performance of the state and its agents and the actual operation of the legal framework in their country or jurisdiction.

The GPP surveys provide firsthand information on the experiences and the perceptions of ordinary people regarding a range of pertinent rule of law information, including their dealings with the government, the ease of interacting with state bureaucracy, the extent of bribery and corruption, the availability of dispute resolution systems, and the prevalence of common crimes to which they are exposed.

The GPP questionnaire includes 127 perception-based questions and 213 experience-based questions, along with socio-demographic information on all respondents. The questionnaire is translated into local languages, adapted to common expressions, and administered by leading local polling companies using a probability sample of 1,000 respondents.<sup>3</sup> In previous editions of the Index, the poll has been conducted in the three largest cities of each country or jurisdiction. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology

to include nationally representative polls. Toward this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 63 countries and jurisdictions covered in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020*. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries and jurisdictions in future editions of the Index. Depending on the particular situation of each country or jurisdiction, one of three different polling methodologies is used: face-to-face, telephone, or online. The GPP has been carried out in each country or jurisdiction every other year. The polling data used in this year's report was collected during the fall of 2019 (for 10 countries and jurisdictions), fall of 2018 (for 70 countries and jurisdictions), fall of 2017 (for 45 countries and jurisdictions), the fall of 2016 (for four countries and jurisdictions), the fall of 2014 (for three countries and jurisdictions), the fall of 2012 (for one country), and the fall of 2011 (for two countries or jurisdictions). Detailed information regarding the country or jurisdiction coverage (cities covered or nationally representative), the polling companies contracted to administer the questionnaire, and the polling methodology employed in each of the 128 countries and jurisdictions is presented on page 166.

The QRQs complement the household data with assessments from in-country practitioners and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health. These questionnaires gather timely input on a range of topics from practitioners who frequently interact with state institutions. Such topics include information on the efficacy of courts, the strength of regulatory enforcement, and the reliability of accountability mechanisms.

The questionnaires contain closed-ended perception questions and several hypothetical scenarios with highly detailed factual assumptions aimed at ensuring comparability across countries. The QRQ surveys are conducted annually, and the questionnaires are completed by respondents selected from directories of law firms, universities and colleges, research organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), as well as through referrals from the WJP global network of practitioners, and all are vetted by WJP staff based on their expertise. The expert surveys are administered in five languages: English, French, Portuguese, Russian, and Spanish. The QRQ data for this report include more than 4,000 surveys, which represents an average of 32 respondents per country. This data was collected from May 2019 through October 2019.

<sup>3</sup> Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. One adjustment was to decrease the sample size. For more information on specific countries and sample sizes, see pages 166-168.

## Data Cleaning and Score Computation

Once collected, the data is carefully processed to arrive at country-level scores. As a first step, the respondent level data are edited to exclude partially completed surveys, suspicious data, and outliers (which are detected using the Z-score method). Individual answers are then mapped onto the 44 sub-factors of the Index (or onto the intermediate categories that make up each sub-factor), codified so that all values fall between 0 (weakest adherence to the rule of law) and 1 (strongest adherence to the rule of law), and aggregated at the country level using the simple (or unweighted) average of all respondents.

This year, to allow for an easier comparison across years, the resulting 2020 scores have been normalized using the Min-Max method with a base year of 2015. These normalized scores were then successively aggregated from the variable level all the way up to the factor level to produce the final country scores, rounded to two decimal points, and rankings. In most cases, the GPP and QRQ questions are equally weighted in the calculation of the scores of the intermediate categories (sub-factors and sub-sub-factors).

A full picture of how questions are mapped onto indicators and how they are weighted is available on the *WJP Rule of Law Index* website at [worldjusticeproject.org](http://worldjusticeproject.org).

## Data Validation

As a final step, data is validated and cross-checked against qualitative and quantitative third-party sources to provide an additional layer of analysis and to identify possible mistakes or inconsistencies within the data. Most of the third-party data sources used to cross-check the Index scores are described in Botero and Ponce (2011).<sup>4</sup>

## Methodological Changes to this Year's Report

Every year, the WJP reviews the methods of data collection to ensure that the information produced is valid, useful, and continues to capture the status of the rule of law in the world. To maintain consistency with previous editions and to facilitate tracking changes over time, this year's questionnaires and data maps are closely aligned with those administered in the past.

In order to improve the accuracy of the QRQ results and reduce respondent burden, proactive dependent interviewing techniques were used to remind respondents who participated in last year's survey of their responses in the previous year.

This year, the WJP modified the calculation of the two third party source variables that make up sub-factor 5.2.1, "Armed conflict." The two variables are "number of battle related deaths" and "number of casualties resulting from one-sided violence," which both come from the Uppsala Conflict Data Program at Uppsala University in Sweden. This change was made to more accurately approximate the number of battle related deaths and casualties

resulting from one-sided violence in each country in a given year due to a specific conflict. In order to determine the changes in factor scores in the country profiles, the new calculation method was applied to both the 2019 and the 2020 scores.

This year, no new questions or indicators were added to the Index. Overall, 100 percent of questions remained the same between the 2019 and 2020 editions of the Index. A description of the variables is available at: [worldjusticeproject.org](http://worldjusticeproject.org).

## Tracking Changes Over Time

This year's report includes two measures to illustrate whether the rule of law in a country, as measured through the factors of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*, changed since the previous year. One measure is the change in factor score, which is included in the country profiles for each factor in each country. The second measure is a measure of statistically significant changes, both positive and negative. This measure is presented in the form of a green or red asterisk and text, and represents a summary of rigorous statistical testing based on the use of bootstrapping procedures (see below). For each factor, this measure has no asterisk and is written in black text if there was no statistically significant change in the score since last year. If there was a change leading to a statistically significant improvement in the score, the change in factor score is written in green text and has a green asterisk. If there was a change leading to a statistically significant decline in the score, the change in factor score is written in red text and has a red asterisk. This measure complements the numerical scores and rankings presented in this report, which benchmark each country's current performance on the factors and sub-factors of the Index against that of other countries. The measure of change over time is constructed in three steps:

1. First, last year's scores are subtracted from this year's to obtain, for each country and each factor, the annual difference in scores.
2. To test whether the annual changes are statistically significant, a bootstrapping procedure is used to estimate standard errors. To calculate these errors, 100 samples of respondent-level observations (of equal size to the original sample) are randomly selected with replacement for each country from the pooled set of respondents for last year and this year. These samples are used to produce a set of 100 country-level scores for each factor and each country, which are utilized to calculate the final standard errors. These errors—which measure the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents—are then employed to conduct pair-wise t-tests for each country and each factor.

<sup>4</sup> Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No.1, available at [worldjusticeproject.org/publications](http://worldjusticeproject.org/publications).

3. Finally, to illustrate the annual change, a measure of change over time is produced based on the value of the annual difference and its statistical significance (at the 10 percent level).

### Strengths and Limitations

The Index methodology has both strengths and limitations. Among its strengths is the inclusion of both expert and household surveys to ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by the population. Another strength is that it approaches the measurement of rule of law from various angles by triangulating information across data sources and types of questions. This approach not only enables accounting for different perspectives on the rule of law, but it also helps to reduce possible bias that might be introduced by any other particular data collection method. Finally, it relies on statistical testing to determine the significance of the changes in the factor scores over the last year.

With the aforementioned methodological strengths come a number of limitations. First, the data sheds light on rule of law dimensions that appear comparatively strong or weak, but are not specific enough to establish causation. Thus, it will be necessary to use the Index in combination with other analytical tools to provide a full picture of causes and possible solutions. Second, in previous editions of the Index, the methodology has only been applied in three major urban areas in each of the indexed countries for the General Population Poll. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology to include nationally representative polls. Toward this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 63 countries and jurisdictions covered in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020*. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries and jurisdictions in future editions of the Index. Third, given the rapid changes to the rule of law occurring in some countries, scores for some countries may be sensitive to the specific points in time when the data was collected. To address this, the WJP is piloting test methods of moving averages to account for short-term fluctuations. Fourth, the QRQ data may be subject to problems in measurement error due to the limited number of experts in some countries, resulting in less precise estimates. To address this, the WJP works constantly to expand its network of in-country academic and practitioner experts who contribute their time and expertise to this endeavor. Finally, due to the limited number of experts in some countries (which implies higher standard errors) and the fact that the GPP is carried out in each country every other year (which implies that for some countries, some variables do not change from one year to another), it is possible that the test described above fails to detect small changes in a country's situation over time.

### Other methodological considerations

A detailed presentation of the methodology, including a table and description of the more than 500 variables used to construct the Index scores, is available at: [worldjusticeproject.org](http://worldjusticeproject.org) and in Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No.1, available at: [worldjusticeproject.org/publications](http://worldjusticeproject.org/publications).

### Using the WJP Rule of Law Index

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* has been designed to offer a reliable and independent data source for policy makers, businesses, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and other constituencies to assess a country's adherence to the rule of law as perceived and experienced by the average person, identify a country's strengths and weaknesses in comparison to similarly situated countries, and track changes over time. The Index has been designed to include several features that set it apart from other indices and make it valuable for a large number of countries, thus providing a powerful resource that can inform policy debates both within and across countries. However, the Index's findings must be interpreted in light of certain inherent limitations.

1. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* does not identify priorities for reform and is not intended to establish causation or to ascertain the complex relationship among different rule of law dimensions in various countries.

2. The Index's rankings and scores are the product of a rigorous data collection and aggregation methodology. Nonetheless, as with all measures, they are subject to measurement error.

3. Given the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents, standard errors have been calculated using bootstrapping methods to test whether the annual changes in the factor scores are statistically significant.

4. Indices and indicators are subject to potential abuse and misinterpretation. Once released to the public, they can take on a life of their own and be used for purposes unanticipated by their creators. If data is taken out of context, it can lead to unintended or erroneous policy decisions.

5. Rule of law concepts measured by the Index may have different meanings across countries. Users are encouraged to consult the specific definitions of the variables employed in the construction of the Index, which are discussed in greater detail in the methodology section of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* website.

6. The Index is generally intended to be used in combination with other instruments, both quantitative and qualitative. Just as in the areas of health or economics, no single index conveys a full picture of a country's situation. Policy-making in the area of rule of law requires careful consideration of all relevant dimensions—which may vary from country to country—and a combination of sources, instruments, and methods.

7. Pursuant to the sensitivity analysis of the Index data conducted in collaboration with the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, confidence intervals have been calculated for all figures included in the *WJP Rule of Law Index*. These confidence intervals and other relevant considerations regarding measurement error are reported in Saisana and Saltelli (2015) and Botero and Ponce (2011).

The following pages (166-168) list the coverage and polling methodology for the GPP in the 128 indexed countries and jurisdictions.

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Afghanistan	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions & ACSOR Surveys	Face-to-face	3019	2019
Albania	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Algeria	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Angola	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Antigua and Barbuda	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2018
Argentina	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Australia	Nationally representative	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1067	2018
Austria	Vienna, Graz, Linz	YouGov Nordic	Online	1008	2017
The Bahamas	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Bangladesh	Dhaka, Chittagong, Khulna	Org-Quest Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000	2016
Barbados	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2018
Belarus	Minsk, Gomel, Mogilev	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA (MRP-EURASIA)/ WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/401	2014/2017
Belgium	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1007	2018
Belize	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Benin	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Bolivia	Nationally representative	Captura Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Sarajevo, Banja Luka, Tuzla	Kantar TNS MIB	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Botswana	Nationally representative	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Brazil	São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador	Datum Internacional/About Brazil Market Research	Face-to-face	1049	2017
Bulgaria	Sofia, Plovdiv, Varna	Alpha Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Burkina Faso	Ouagadougou, Bobo Dioulasso, Koudougou	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1029	2017
Cambodia	Phnom Penh, Battambang, Kampong Cham	Indochina Research	Face-to-face	1000	2014
Cameroon	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Canada	Toronto, Montreal, Calgary	YouGov Nordic	Online	1000	2017
Chile	Santiago, Valparaíso/Viña del Mar, Antofagasta	Datum Internacional S.A./Cadem S.A.	Face-to-face	1003	2017
China	Shanghai, Beijing, Guangzhou	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	508	2018
Colombia	Nationally representative	Tempo Group	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Costa Rica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1030	2019
Cote d'Ivoire	Abidjan, Bouaké, Daloa	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2017
Croatia	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Czech Republic	Prague, Brno, Ostrava	YouGov Nordic	Online	1013	2017
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Kinshasa, Lubumbashi, Mbuji-Mayi	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1083	2018
Denmark	Copenhagen, Aarhus, Aalborg	YouGov Nordic	Online	1016	2017
Dominica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	500	2018
Dominican Republic	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Ecuador	Guayaquil, Quito, Cuenca	Dichter and Neira	Face-to-face	703	2017
Egypt	Cairo, Alexandria, Giza	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
El Salvador	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Estonia	Tallinn, Tartu, Narva	Norstat Eesti	Online	1010	2017
Ethiopia	Addis Ababa, Gondar, Nazret	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2017
Finland	Helsinki, Espoo, Tampere	YouGov Nordic	Online	1014	2017
France	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1040	2018
The Gambia	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1030	2019
Georgia	Tbilisi, Batumi, Kutaisi	ACT Market Research and Consulting Company	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Germany	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1048	2018



Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Ghana	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1103	2018
Greece	Athens, Thessaloniki, Patras	YouGov Nordic	Online	1015	2017
Grenada	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Guatemala	Nationally representative	Mercaplan Central America & Caribbean	Face-to-face	1008	2018
Guinea	Conakry, Nzerekore, Kankan	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1065	2018
Guyana	Georgetown, Linden, New Amsterdam	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	527	2018
Honduras	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Hong Kong SAR, China	Hong Kong	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Hungary	Budapest, Debrecen, Szeged	Ipsos Hungary	Face-to-face	1000	2017
India	Nationally representative	Market Xcel Data Matrix Pvt. Ltd.	Face-to-face	1059	2018
Indonesia	Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung	MRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Iran	Tehran, Mashhad, Isfahan	BJKA consulting with local partner MHA Research	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Italy	Rome, Milan, Naples	YouGov Nordic	Online	1004	2017
Jamaica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1002	2019
Japan	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Jordan	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Kazakhstan	Almaty, Nur-Sultan (formerly Astana), Shymkent	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Kenya	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1099	2018
Kosovo	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Kyrgyz Republic	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Lebanon	Beirut, Tripoli, Sidon	REACH SAL	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Liberia	Monrovia, Gbarnga and Buchanan	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1113	2018
Madagascar	Antananarivo, Toamasina, Antsirabe	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Malawi	Lilongwe, Blantyre, Mzuzu	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1039	2017
Malaysia	Klang Valley, Johor Bahru, Ipoh	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mali	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1012	2018
Mauritania	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mauritius	Nationally representative	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mexico	Mexico City, Guadalajara, Monterrey	Data Opinión Pública y Mercados	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Moldova	Chisinau, Balti, Cahul	Georgian Opinion Research Business International (GORBI) in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1043	2017
Mongolia	Ulaanbaatar, Erdenet, Darkhan	Mongolian Marketing Consulting Group LLC	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Morocco	Casablanca, Fes, Tangier	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mozambique	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1009	2018
Myanmar	Yangon, Mandalay, Nay Pyi Taw	Myanmar Survey Research Co., Ltd (MSR)	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Namibia	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Nepal	Kathmandu, Pokhara, Lalitpur	Solutions Consultant	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Netherlands	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1113	2018
New Zealand	Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1000	2017
Nicaragua	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Niger	Niamey, Zinder, Maradi	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2018
Nigeria	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1083	2018
North Macedonia	Skopje, Kumanovo, Bitola	Ipsos dooel Skopje	Face-to-face	1017	2017
Norway	Oslo, Bergen, Trondheim	YouGov Nordic	Online	1007	2017
Pakistan	Nationally representative	Gallup Pakistan	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Panama	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Peru	Nationally representative	Datum Internacional S.A.	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Philippines	Manila, Cebu, Davao	APMI Partners	Face-to-face	1008	2016
Poland	Warsaw, Krakow, Lodz	IQS Sp. z o.o.	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Portugal	Lisbon, Porto, Amadora	YouGov Nordic	Online	1016	2017
Republic of Korea	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Romania	Nationally representative	Alpha Research Ltd. in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Russian Federation	Moscow, St. Petersburg, and Novosibirsk/ Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2016/2018
Rwanda	Kigali	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	316	2018
Senegal	Pikine, Dakar, Thiès	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1012	2017
Serbia	Belgrade, Novi Sad, Niš	Ipsos Strategic Marketing d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1002	2017
Sierra Leone	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1165	2018
Singapore	Singapore	Survey Sampling International	Online	1000	2017
Slovenia	Ljubljana, Maribor, Celje	Ipsos d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1006	2017
South Africa	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Spain	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1051	2018
Sri Lanka	Colombo, Kaduwela, Maharagama	Kantar LMRB	Face-to-face	1010	2017
St. Kitts and Nevis	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Lucia	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Suriname	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	510	2018
Sweden	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1049	2018
Tanzania	Dar es Salaam, Mwanza, Arusha	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2018
Thailand	Bangkok, Nakhon Ratchasima, Udon Thani	Infosearch Limited	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Togo	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1005	2018
Trinidad and Tobago	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Tunisia	Big Tunis, Sfax, Sousse	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1001	2017
Turkey	Istanbul, Ankara, İzmir	Kantar Insights	Face-to-face	1039	2018
Uganda	Kampala, Nansana, Kira	Kantar Public East Africa	Face-to-face	1062	2018
Ukraine	Kyiv, Kharkiv, Odessa	GfK Ukraine	Face-to-face	1079	2017
United Arab Emirates	Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Sharjah	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1011/200	2011/2017
United Kingdom	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1056	2018
United States	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1086	2018
Uruguay	Nationally representative	BM Business Partners	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Uzbekistan	Tashkent, Namangan, Samarkand	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA (MRP-EURASIA)/Ipsos	Face-to-face	1000/300	2014/2018
Venezuela, RB	Caracas, Maracaibo, Valencia	WJP in collaboration with local partner/Statmark Group	Face-to-face	1000/1015	2016/2018
Vietnam	Ho Chi Minh City, Hanoi, Hai Phong	Indochina Research (Vietnam) Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2011/2017
Zambia	Lusaka, Kitwe, Chipata	SIS International Research/Intraspace Market Consultancy Ltd.	Face-to-face	1004/1014	2012/2017
Zimbabwe	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018

# Contributing Experts

The WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 was made possible by the generous contributions of academics and practitioners who contributed their time and expertise. The names of those experts wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed below. This report was also made possible by the work of the polling companies who conducted fieldwork, and the thousands of individuals who have responded to the General Population Poll around the world.

## Afghanistan

**Abdul Hadi Zamani**  
Nangarhar University

**Asmand**  
Afghan Health and  
Development Services

**Baryalai Hakimi**  
Kabul University

**Hashmat Khalil Nadirpor**  
Legal Education Support  
Program

**Jordy de Meij and  
Khalid Mohammadi**  
Kakar Advocates LLC

**Khalid C. Sekander**

**Khalid Massoudi**  
Masnad Law Firm

**Mazhar Bangash**  
RIAA Barker Gillette

**Mohammad Ayub  
Yusufzai**  
Afghan Independent  
Human Rights  
Commission

**Mohammad Nazir  
Rasuly**  
Organization for People's  
Health in Action

**Mohammad Shafiq  
Hamdam**  
Anti-Corruption Watch  
Organization

**Mushtair Daqiq**

**Rahmanullah Shahab**  
Afghan Anglo Legal  
Consultancy Services

**Saeq Shajjan**  
Shajjan & Associates

**Sanzar Kakar**  
Afghanistan Holding  
Group

**Sayed Fazlullah Wahidi**  
Afghan NGOs Coordination  
Bureau

**Sayed Ramiz Husaini**  
FPJRA

**Tareq Eqtedary**  
Generation Positive  
Organization

**Thomas Kraemer**  
Kakar Advocates LLC

**Zabihullah Ghazawi**  
Community Action  
for Healing Poverty  
Organization

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

## Albania

**Albana Fona**  
LPA Law Firm

**Andia Pustina**  
Studio Pustina

**Anteo Papa**  
Fatmir Braka Partners and  
Associates

**Brunilda Subashi**  
Universiteti Ismail Qemali

**Dorant Ekmekçiu**  
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

**Drini Hakorja**

**Eglantina Biba**  
Frost & Fire Consulting

**Enxhi Kallogjeri**  
Frost & Fire Consulting

**Eris Hoxha**  
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

**Erlir Puto**  
Puto, Topi & Partners Law  
Firm

**Gentiana Agim Tirana**  
Gentiana Agim Tirana

**Gjergji Gjika**  
Gjika & Associates

**Idlir Tivari**  
Tivari & Harecari Law  
Firm

**Irv Vaso**  
Kalo & Associates

**Jonida Melani (Braja)**  
Wolf Theiss

**Loren Liço**  
Lico & Associates

**Nensi Seferi**  
Gjika & Associates

**Oltjan Hoxholli**  
LPA Law Firm

**Shirli Gorenca**  
Kalo & Associates

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

## Algeria

**Abderrahmane Talbi**

**Adel Messaoudi**  
Ligue Algérienne des  
Droits de L'Homme

**Adel Rami**  
Centre Hospitalo-  
Universitaire Nedir  
Mohamed de Tizi Ouzou

**Ahmed Ridha Boudiaf**  
Boudiaf et Boudiaf, Scp  
d'Avocats

**Aicha Ladjouze Rezig**  
Ligue Algérienne Anti  
Rhumatisme

**Aissa Touahria**  
Grant Thornton

**Berber Ababakrine  
Seddik**

**Chalal Karima**

**Kahina Bouagache**  
Women Lawyers Group  
Middle East

**Karim Kari**

**Khaled Goussanem**  
Goussanem & Aloui Law  
Firm

**Mehdi Berbagui**  
Cabinet d'Avocats Mehdi  
Berbagui

**Mohamed Amin  
Kheireddine**  
Ligue Algérienne des  
Droits de L'Homme

**Said Hellal**

**Salima Aloui**  
Goussanem & Aloui Law  
Firm

**Yaya Farouk**

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

## Angola

**Adelino Naquarta**  
ADN - Advogados

**Adolfo Anibal Pinho  
Fáulho Rasoilo**

**Adriano Gaspar**  
Ministério da Acção Social,  
Família e Promoção da  
Mulher

**António Cardoso Dias  
dos Santos**  
Associação dos  
Assistentes Sociais-Angola  
Organizacional

**Armindo Moisés Kasesa  
Chimuco**  
AMKC Prestação de  
Serviços SU Limitada

**Barros Gaspar Simão**  
BCSA Advogados

**Eduardo Afonso**

**Elsa Tchicanha**  
BCSA Advogados

**Ernestina da Graça  
Francisco**

**Eurico Ndando**

**Gilberto Pelinganga**

**Islândia Ribeiro**

**Orlando Buta**  
AVM - Advogados e  
Associados

**Vicente Pongolola**  
CVP-Sociedade de  
Advogados, RL.

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

## Antigua and Barbuda

**Damien O. Benjamin**  
Anaheim Investment  
Group Services Limited

**Gaye Hechme**  
Island Living Investment  
Services Ltd.

**Hugh Marshall**  
Marshall & Co.  
Attorneys-at-Law

**Jarid A. Hewlett**  
Watt, Dorsett & Co.

**Kivinee Knight-Edwards**  
May Knight Law Inc.

**Tracy Benn-Roberts**  
TBR Conflict Management  
and Legal Services

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

## Argentina

**Adrian Fernando Otero**  
Fundación Esperanza  
Patagonia de Sierra  
Grande

**Alberto Gonzalez Torres**  
Baker McKenzie

<b>Alberto Justo Giles</b> <i>Asociación de Abogados de Merlo</i>	<b>Maria Eugenia Montero</b> <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	<b>Greg Patmore</b> <i>University of Sydney</i>	<b>Gerhard Jarosch</b> <i>International Association of Prosecutors</i>	<b>Tavares K. LaRoda</b> <i>Sunshine Holdings Ltd.</i>
<b>Alejandro Falcó</b> <i>Fundación Enlaces para el Desarrollo Sustentable</i>	<b>María Paola Trigiani</b> <i>Alfaro Abogados</i>	<b>James Gillespie</b> <i>University of Sydney</i>	<b>Isabelle Pellech</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Claudia Viviana Madies</b> <i>Universidad Isalud</i>	<b>Martin Langsam</b> <i>Universidad Isalud</i>	<b>Kate Burns</b> <i>University of Technology</i>	<b>Ivo Greiter</b> <i>Greiter Pegger Kofler &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Bangladesh</b>
<b>Claudio Jesús Santagati</b> <i>Defensoría General de Lomas de Zamora</i>	<b>Mercedes Balado Bevilacqua</b> <i>MBB Abogados</i>	<b>Kate Eastman</b> <i>New South Wales Bar Association</i>	<b>Julian Feichtinger</b> <i>Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld Rechtsanwälte</i>	<b>A. H. M. Belal Chowdhury</b> <i>FM Consulting International</i>
<b>Daniela Carrara</b> <i>Universidad de Buenos Aires</i>	<b>Mercedes Lorenzo</b> <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	<b>Mary Anne Noone</b> <i>La Trobe University</i>	<b>Karl Stoeger</b> <i>University of Graz</i>	<b>A. S. M. Alamgir</b> <i>Institute of Epidemiology, Disease Control and Research; Ministry of Health and Family Welfare</i>
<b>Dante Graña</b> <i>Fundación Avedis Donabedian Argentina</i>	<b>Nicolas Soler</b>	<b>Mary E. Crock</b> <i>University of Sydney</i>	<b>Martin Reinisch</b> <i>Brauneis Klauser Prändl Rechtsanwälte GmbH</i>	<b>Abu Sayeed M. M. Rahman</b> <i>United Hospital Ltd.</i>
<b>Déborá Eliana Fuhr</b> <i>De Dios &amp; Goyena</i>	<b>Omar Eidelstein</b> <i>LKEC Abogados</i>	<b>Merrilyn Walton</b> <i>University of Sydney</i>	<b>Martin Risak</b> <i>University of Vienna</i>	<b>Ali Asif Khan</b> <i>Hossain &amp; Khan Associates</i>
<b>Diego Silva Ortiz</b> <i>Silva Ortiz, Alfonso, Pavic &amp; Louge</i>	<b>Pablo Alejandro Pirovano</b> <i>Pirovano &amp; Bello Abogados</i>	<b>Neil James</b> <i>Australia Defence Association</i>	<b>Rupert Manhart</b> <i>Manhart Einsle Partner Rechtsanwälte</i>	<b>Anisul Hassan</b> <i>Syed Ishtiaq Ahmed &amp; Associates</i>
<b>Eduardo Alberto Muniagurria</b> <i>JP O'Farrell Abogados</i>	<b>Sandra Guillan</b> <i>De Dios &amp; Goyena</i>	<b>Nicholas Cowdery</b> <i>University of New South Wales; University of Sydney</i>	<b>Thomas Frad</b> <i>KWR Karasek Wietrzyk Rechtsanwälte</i>	<b>Asif Nazrul</b> <i>University of Dhaka</i>
<b>Ernesto Marcelo Segal</b> <i>Universidad de Buenos Aires</i>	<b>Santiago Spadafora</b> <i>Universidad Isalud</i>	<b>Nicola McGaritty</b> <i>University of New South Wales</i>	<b>Thomas Hofmann</b> <i>Pallas Hofmann Rechtsanwaelte Partnerschaft</i>	<b>Bilqis Amin Hoque</b>
<b>Federico Borzi Cirilli</b> <i>Ceballos &amp; Ceballos</i>	<b>Sebastián Lyszyk</b> <i>Silva Ortiz Alfonso Pavic &amp; Louge</i>	<b>Peter Cashman</b> <i>University of Sydney</i>	<b>Walter Doralt</b> <i>University of Graz</i>	<b>Darras Abdullah</b> <i>Tanjib Alam &amp; Associates</i>
<b>Francisco Clucellas</b> <i>Organización de Servicios Directos Empresarios</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Peter Sainsbury</b>	<b>Walter Rabl</b> <i>Medical University of Innsbruck</i>	<b>Ferdausur Rahman</b> <i>A.S. &amp; Associates</i>
<b>Guillermo Schor-Landman</b> <i>Fundación Iberoamericana de Telemedicina</i>	<b>Australia</b>	<b>Sean Cooney</b> <i>The University of Melbourne</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Gazi Md Rokib Bin Hossain</b> <i>The Legal Circle</i>
<b>Humberto Federico Rios</b> <i>Estudio Rios Lawyers</i>	<b>Anne Cregan</b> <i>Gilbert + Tobin</i>	<b>Simon Rice</b> <i>University of Sydney</i>	<b>The Bahamas</b>	<b>Ibtida Farhat Tropa</b> <i>A.S. &amp; Associates</i>
<b>Joaquin Emilio Zappa</b> <i>JP O'Farrell Abogados</i>	<b>Breen Creighton</b> <i>RMIT University</i>	<b>Sonia Allan</b> <i>Deakin University</i>	<b>Candice C. Ferguson</b> <i>Baycourt Chambers</i>	<b>Junayed Ahmed Chowdhury</b> <i>Vertex Chambers</i>
<b>Juan Martín Salvadores de Arzuaga</b> <i>De Dios &amp; Goyena</i>	<b>Brendan Ashdown</b> <i>John Toohey Chambers</i>	<b>Terry Carney</b> <i>University of Sydney</i>	<b>Darcel Williamson</b> <i>The Firm of Higgs and Johnson</i>	<b>Khandaker Mashfique Ahmed</b> <i>Rahman's Chambers</i>
<b>Juan Vicente Sola</b> <i>Estudio Sola</i>	<b>David Gill</b> <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Donna Harding-Lee</b> <i>Harding-Lee &amp; Company</i>	<b>Masud Khan Md Khademul Islam Choyon</b> <i>Sattar &amp; Co.</i>
<b>Lucila Escriña</b> <i>Marval, O'Farrell &amp; Mairal</i>	<b>Esther Stern</b> <i>Flinders University of South Australia</i>	<b>Austria</b>	<b>G. Deon Thompson</b> <i>Sturup Thompson &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Md Salequzzaman</b> <i>The Legal Era; Lincoln's Inn</i>
<b>María Eugenia Catenys</b> <i>Marval, O'Farrell &amp; Mairal</i>	<b>Fiona McDonald</b> <i>Queensland University of Technology</i>	<b>Christoph Bezemek</b> <i>University of Graz</i>	<b>Leah A. Rolle</b> <i>University of the Bahamas</i>	<b>Mir Shamsur Rahman</b> <i>The University of Asia Pacific</i>
	<b>G. D. Edouard Tursan d'Espaignet</b> <i>University of Newcastle; University of New England</i>	<b>Clarissa Nitsch</b> <i>Binder Grösswang</i>	<b>Rhyan A. A. Elliott</b> <i>Higgs &amp; Johnson</i>	<b>Mohammad Rafiqul</b>
	<b>Grant Niemann</b>	<b>Clemens Egermann</b> <i>Barnert Egermann Illigasch Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Sharanda C. Humes-Forbes</b>	

<b>Islam Chowdhury</b> <i>M. R. I. Chowdhury &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Alena Salei</b> <i>Belarus State University; Borovtsov &amp; Salei</i>	<b>Sergey Demianenko</b> <i>Verkhovodko &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Jean-Marc Gollier</b> <i>Eubelius Law Firm</i>	<b>Wayne Piper</b> <i>Musa &amp; Balderamos LLP</i>
<b>S. M. Abid Ur Rahman</b> <i>Daudur Rahman Mina &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Alexander</b> <i>RMOO Meeting</i>	<b>Tatiana Ignatovskaya</b> <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Jerome Aubertin</b> <i>Stibbe</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Sara Hossain</b> <i>Bangladesh Legal Aid and Services Trust</i>	<b>Alexander Nazarov</b>	<b>Vadzim Samaryn</b> <i>Belarusian State University</i>	<b>Nathalie Vandevelde</b> <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Vanderveeren, Thys, Wauters &amp; de Nys</i>	<b>Benin</b>
<b>Sayeed Abdullah Al Mamun Khan</b> <i>A.S. &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Alexander Solopov</b> <i>Arzinger &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Valentina Komova (Ogarkova)</b> <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Patrick Goffaux</b> <i>Université Libre de Bruxelles</i>	<b>Adjowa Jacqueline Adabra</b> <i>Association Femme Action pour le Développement de la Famille</i>
<b>Shakina Akter</b> <i>Rahman's Chambers</i>	<b>Alexey Korol</b> <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Vasili Zavadski</b> <i>TimeAct</i>	<b>Patrick Henry</b> <i>Barreau de Liège</i>	<b>Agathe Affougnon Ago</b> <i>Barreau du Bénin</i>
<b>Tanim Hussain Shawon</b>	<b>Aliaksei Khvostsik</b> <i>Centrum Praw Czlowieka Viasna</i>	<b>Vassili Salei</b> <i>Borovtsov &amp; Salei</i>	<b>Philippe Colle</b> <i>Vrije Universiteit Brussel</i>	<b>Annick B. Nonohou</b> <i>Reseau des Soignants Amis des Patients</i>
<b>Tanvir Quader</b> <i>Vertex Chambers</i>	<b>Anastasia Morgun</b> <i>Borovtsov &amp; Salei</i>	<b>Viacheslav Shestak</b> <i>JSC Belvtorchermet</i>	<b>Valerie Flohimont</b> <i>University of Namur</i>	<b>Charles Badou</b> <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Charles Badou &amp; Partners</i>
<b>Tasmiah Nuhiya Ahmed</b>	<b>Anatoly Leshenok</b> <i>People PLUS</i>	<b>Vital Kalyada</b> <i>VVK Litigation Squad</i>	<b>Walter P. Verstrepen</b> <i>Elegis Law Firm</i>	<b>Chris Balogoun</b> <i>Chris Balogoun Law Office</i>
<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Andrei Famenka</b> <i>World Health Organization</i>	<b>Vladimir Knyazev</b> <i>Public Association Polygraphologist</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Elias Mahoulé Syné Guidi</b>
<b>Barbados</b>	<b>Antonina Ivanova</b>	<b>Yaraslau Halenchyk</b> <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Belize</b>	<b>Epiphanie F. Yelome Nassou</b> <i>Université de Parakou</i>
<b>Andrew Pilgrim</b> <i>Pilgrim &amp; Associates Attorneys-at-Law</i>	<b>Artemev Sergej</b> <i>Belarusian State University</i>	<b>Yulia Shuba</b> <i>Borovtsov &amp; Salei</i>	<b>Adler G. L. Waight</b> <i>Barrow &amp; Williams LLP</i>	<b>Gisèle Adounlohoun Obognon</b>
<b>Chester L. Sue</b> <i>Chester L. Sue &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Daria Sarbay</b> <i>REVERA Law Firm</i>	<b>Yury Razvodovsky</b> <i>National Academy of Sciences</i>	<b>Deshawn Arzu Torres</b> <i>Mckoy Torres LLP</i>	<b>Justine Françoise Houzanme</b> <i>Réseau des ONG et Associations de Femmes contre la Féminisation du VIH-SIDA</i>
<b>Esther Obiora Arthur</b> <i>Rehoboth Law Chambers</i>	<b>Dmitry Kovalchik</b> <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Emil Arguelles</b> <i>Arguelles &amp; Company</i>	<b>Luc Martin Hounkanrin</b> <i>Barreau du Bénin</i>
<b>Ivan Hugh Walters</b> <i>Onesimus Legal Services</i>	<b>Dmitry Petrovich Eduardovich</b>	<b>Belgium</b>	<b>Estevan Perera</b> <i>Estevan Perera &amp; Company LLP</i>	<b>Nadine Dossou Sakponou</b> <i>SCPA Robert M. DOSSOU</i>
<b>Jaydene O. Thomas</b> <i>Capital Law Chambers</i>	<b>Dmitry Semashko</b> <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Ann Witters</b> <i>Claeys &amp; Engels</i>	<b>Hector D. Guerra</b> <i>Marine Parade Chambers LLP</i>	<b>Ogoudjé César Guegni</b> <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Charles Badou &amp; Partners</i>
<b>Kathy-A. Hamblin</b> <i>Charlton Chambers</i>	<b>Elena Sheleg</b> <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Anthony Poppe</b> <i>Xirius Public Law Partners</i>	<b>Lisette V. Staine</b> <i>Barrow &amp; Williams LLP</i>	<b>Sossa Edmond Gbedo</b> <i>Ministère de la Santé</i>
<b>Margot Greene</b> <i>Ellangowan Strathclyde St. Michael, Attorneys-at-Law</i>	<b>Kirill Tomashevski</b> <i>Belarusian State University; International University MITSO</i>	<b>Christoph Van der Elst</b> <i>Tilburg University</i>	<b>Natalia Bevans</b> <i>Legal Consultancy Firm of Bevans and Company Ltd.</i>	<b>Valentin Akoha</b>
<b>Nicole Roachford</b>	<b>Ksenia Zhukovskaya</b> <i>Verkhovodko &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Deirdre Carroll</b> <i>Wilson Sonsini Goodrich &amp; Rosati</i>	<b>Oneyda Flores Piper</b> <i>Law Office of Oneyda Flores Piper</i>	<b>Yvon Detchenou</b>
<b>Tanya A. Hinds</b>	<b>Oksana Puchkovskaya</b> <i>Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Freek Louckx</b> <i>Universiteit Antwerpen - Vrije Universiteit Brussel</i>	<b>Payal Bhojraj Ghanwani</b> <i>Estevan Perera &amp; Company LLP</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Saman Neharesh</b> <i>Borovtsov &amp; Salei</i>	<b>Jean Bornet</b> <i>Bornet &amp; Associes</i>	<b>Rodwell Williams</b> <i>Barrow &amp; Williams LLP</i>	<b>Bolivia</b>
<b>Belarus</b>		<b>Jean Jacqmain</b> <i>Université Libre de Bruxelles</i>	<b>Victor Malcolm David Lizarraga</b>	<b>Andrea Nemer Sabag</b>
<b>Aleksandr Dergachov</b> <i>Human Rights Center "Spring"</i>				<b>Antonio Peres Velasco</b>
<b>Alena Hodanovich</b> <i>Alternative</i>				

<b>Arletta Añez</b>	<b>Dzeneta Omerdic</b> <i>University of Tuzla</i>	<b>Sanela Džanić</b> <i>Joint Law Office Amela Pejđah &amp; Sanela Džanić</i>	<b>Tapiwa Boipelo Gachala</b> <i>Osei-Ofei Swabi &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Daniel A. Dourado</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
<b>Carlos Gerke Siles</b> <i>Estudio Jurídico Gerke, Soc. Civ.</i>	<b>Emir Spaho</b> <i>Law Office Spaho Ltd.</i>	<b>Selma Mezetović Međić</b> <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	<b>Thuto Gaboipone Senwedi</b> <i>Bookbinder Business Law</i>	<b>Daniel Bushatsky</b> <i>Advocacia Bushatsky</i>
<b>Erika Pando</b>	<b>Feđa Dupovac</b> <i>Law Office Spaho Ltd.</i>	<b>Slaven Dizdar</b> <i>Maric &amp; Co. Law Firm</i>	<b>Tshekiso Tshekiso</b> <i>Tshekiso Ditiro &amp; Jani Legal Practice</i>	<b>Daniel de Pádua Andrade</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Viçosa</i>
<b>Ivan Cáceres Ibañez</b> <i>Caceres &amp; Asoc.</i>	<b>Hana Korac</b> <i>International University of Travnik; Ministry of Internal Affairs, Sarajevo Canton</i>	<b>Tarik Prolaz</b>	<b>Tumalano Sekoto</b> <i>Botswana-Harvard AIDS Institute Partnership</i>	<b>Danilo Costa N. A. Leite</b>
<b>Ivan Lima Magne</b> <i>Centro de Estudios de Justicia y Participación</i>	<b>Harun Gadzo</b> <i>Messer Tehnoplín Ltd.</i>	<b>Vjekoslav Domljan</b> <i>Centre for Regional Economic Studies</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>David Braga Junior</b> <i>Hospital dos Servidores Públicos do Estado de São Paulo</i>
<b>Javier Mir Peña</b> <i>Mir &amp; Asociados Abogados Laborales</i>	<b>Hodžić I. Edin</b>	<b>Zijad Dzafic</b> <i>University of Tuzla</i>	<b>Brazil</b>	<b>Diogo R. Coutinho</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
<b>Jorge Omar Mostajo Barrios</b> <i>Universidad Mayor de San Andrés</i>	<b>Indir Osmic</b>	<b>Zijad Hasić</b> <i>Parliament Bosnia &amp; Herzegovina</i>	<b>Alexandre Fragoso Silvestre</b> <i>Briganti Advogados</i>	<b>Eduardo Pitrez de Aguiar Corrêa</b> <i>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande</i>
<b>Juan José Lima Magne</b> <i>Centro de Estudios de Justicia y Participación</i>	<b>Iur Amina Nikolajev</b> <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	<b>Zinka Grbo</b> <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	<b>Ana Clara Passos Presciliano</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	<b>Elival Da Silva Ramos</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
<b>Julio César Landívar Castro</b> <i>Guevara &amp; Gutiérrez S.C.</i>	<b>Kanita Imamović-Čizmić</b> <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Ana Paula de Barcellos</b> <i>Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro</i>	<b>Emílio Peluso Neder Meyer</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>
<b>Nicolás Soliz Peinado</b> <i>Salazar &amp; Asociados</i>	<b>Lana Bubalo</b> <i>University of Stavanger</i>	<b>Abel Modimo</b> <i>Modimo &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Ana Paula Oliveira Avila</b> <i>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	<b>Eraldo Silva Júnior</b> <i>Defensoria Pública da União</i>
<b>Pedro Barrientos</b> <i>Universidad Nacional de Córdoba</i>	<b>Law Office Spaho</b>	<b>Bugalo Maripe</b> <i>University of Botswana</i>	<b>André de Melo Ribeiro</b> <i>Dias Carneiro Advogados; Universidade de São Paulo</i>	<b>Estêvão Mallet</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
<b>Raul A. Baldivia</b> <i>Baldivia Unzaga &amp; Asociados</i>	<b>Lejla Balic</b> <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	<b>Buhle Ncube</b>	<b>Arthur de Almeida Lancellote Matias Lemos</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Lavras</i>	<b>Fabio Martins Di Jorge</b> <i>Advocacia Di Jorge</i>
<b>Rosario Baptista Canedo</b>	<b>Mehmed Ganic</b> <i>International University of Sarajevo</i>	<b>Kwadwo Osei-Ofei</b> <i>Osei-Ofei Swabi &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Carlos Ayres</b> <i>Maeda, Ayres e Sarubbi Advogados</i>	<b>Fabio Queiroz Pereira</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>
<b>Sandra Salinas</b> <i>C.R.&amp; F. Rojas Abogados</i>	<b>Midhat Izmirlija</b> <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	<b>Matseliso Grace 'Mota</b> <i>Land Administration Authority</i>	<b>Carolina Bessa Ferreira de Oliveira</b>	<b>Fabio Ulhoa Coelho</b> <i>Pontificia Universidade Católica de São Paulo</i>
<b>Sergio Reynolds Ruiz</b> <i>Bufete Reynolds Legal Advice</i>	<b>Miralem Porobic</b> <i>Advokatska Kancelarija Porobic</i>	<b>Moagi Moloji</b> <i>Minchin &amp; Kelly</i>	<b>Carolina Dzimidas Haber</b> <i>Defensoria Pública do Estado do Rio de Janeiro</i>	<b>Felipe Asensi</b> <i>Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro</i>
<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Mirela Cokic-Dzinic</b> <i>University of Tuzla</i>	<b>Nako Bo Tebele</b> <i>Ramalepa Attorneys</i>	<b>Carolina Giesbrecht Forte Korbage de Castro</b> <i>Korbage de Castro Sociedade de Advocacia</i>	<b>Fernanda Vargas Terrazas</b> <i>Conselho Nacional de Secretarias Municipais de Saúde</i>
<b>Bosnia and Herzegovina</b>	<b>Mirjana Šarkinović</b> <i>Attorney's Office Mirjana Šarkinović</i>	<b>Neo Ann-Ruth Sekhobe</b> <i>Rahim Khan &amp; Company Attorneys</i>	<b>Conrado Hübner Mendes</b> <i>University of São Paulo</i>	<b>Fernando Aith</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>
<b>Adnan Duraković</b> <i>University of Zenica</i>	<b>Mirza Dzevdetbegovic</b> <i>Joint Law Firm Kenan Elezovic and Mirza Dzevdetbegovic</i>	<b>Olebile Daphney Muzila</b> <i>Bookbinder Business Law</i>	<b>Dandara Ramos</b> <i>CIDACS - Fiocruz Bahia</i>	
<b>Andrea Zubovic-Devedzic</b>	<b>Nasir Muftic</b> <i>University of Sarajevo</i>	<b>Patrick I. Akhiwu</b> <i>Pakmed Group</i>		
<b>Bahrija Umihanic</b> <i>University of Tuzla</i>	<b>Nihad Sijerčić</b> <i>Karanovic &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Piyush Sharma</b> <i>Piyush Sharma Attorneys</i>		
<b>Boris Stojanovic</b>	<b>Osman Sinanovic</b> <i>University of Tuzla</i>	<b>Shakila Khan</b> <i>Khan Corporate Law</i>		
<b>Denis Pajić</b> <i>University Džemal Bijedić</i>		<b>Tachilisa Badala Balule</b> <i>University of Botswana</i>		

<b>Flávia Souza Máximo Pereira</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto</i>	<b>Maria Celina Bodin de Moraes</b> <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro; Rio de Janeiro State University</i>	<b>Raquel Betty de Castro Pimenta</b> <i>Tribunal Regional do Trabalho da 3ª Região</i>	<b>Victor Hugo Criscuolo Bosen</b> <i>Universidade Federal do Sul da Bahia</i>	<b>Vyara Veselinova Tomova</b> <i>Penkov, Markov &amp; Partners Law Firm</i>
<b>Gabriel Alves da Costa</b> <i>Shell Brasil Petróleo Ltda.</i>	<b>Maria Clara Oliveira Santos</b> <i>Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei</i>	<b>Raquel Lima Scalcon</b> <i>Alexander von Humboldt Foundation</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Gerson Luiz Carlos Branco</b> <i>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	<b>Maria Clara Versiani de Castro</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	<b>Roberta de Freitas Campos</b> <i>Fundação Oswaldo Cruz</i>	<b>Bulgaria</b>	<b>Burkina Faso</b>
<b>Guilherme Bier Barcelos</b> <i>Rossi, Maffini, Milman &amp; Grando Advogados</i>	<b>Maria Fernanda Tourinho Peres</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	<b>Rodrigo Ghiringhelli de Azevedo</b> <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	<b>Delchev &amp; Partners Law Firm</b>	<b>Abdoulaye Soma</b>
<b>Guilherme de Jesus France</b> <i>Fundação Getulio Vargas</i>	<b>Mariana Alves Lara</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	<b>Rodrigo Giordano de Castro</b> <i>Peixoto &amp; Cury Advogados</i>	<b>Denitsa Rukanova</b> <i>Anti-Corruption Fund Foundation</i>	<b>Abdrmane Berthe</b> <i>Université de Dédougou et Centre Muraz</i>
<b>Helois Estellita</b> <i>FGV Direito</i>	<b>Marta Rodriguez de Assis Machado</b> <i>FGV Direito</i>	<b>Rômulo Soares Valentini</b> <i>FPL Educacional</i>	<b>Desislava Anastasova</b> <i>Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP</i>	<b>Aimée Djiguidé</b> <i>Association Peace for All</i>
<b>Henry Colombi</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	<b>Matheus C. Alcantara Viana</b> <i>Viana e Azevedo Advogados</i>	<b>Ronaldo Lourenço Munhoz</b> <i>Lourenço Munhoz Advogados Associados</i>	<b>Gergana Ilieva</b> <i>Sofia Bar Association</i>	<b>Alexandre L. Daboné</b> <i>Barreau du Burkina Faso; SCPA HOREB</i>
<b>Jéssica Gomes da Mata</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	<b>Michael Freitas Mohallem</b> <i>Fundação Getulio Vargas</i>	<b>Sara Carvalho Matanzaz</b> <i>Ferreira de Melo Advogados</i>	<b>Ivelina Vassileva</b> <i>Schoenherr Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Apollinaire Joachimson Kyélem de Tambèla</b> <i>Barreau du Burkina Faso</i>
<b>João Augusto Gameiro</b> <i>Trench Rossi e Watanabe Advogados</i>	<b>Nina Ranieri</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	<b>Sergio Nelson Mannheimer</b> <i>Mannheimer, Perez e Lyra Advogados</i>	<b>Iveta Manolova</b> <i>Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP</i>	<b>Arnaud Koulika Nikiema</b> <i>Centre d'Études et de Recherche sur les TIC et la Cyberactivité</i>
<b>José Ricardo dos Santos Luz Junior</b> <i>Braga Nascimento e Zilio Advogados Associados</i>	<b>Ordélio Azevedo Sette</b> <i>Azevedo Sette Advogados</i>	<b>Sheila Neder Cerezetti</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	<b>Jean F. Crombois</b> <i>American University in Bulgaria</i>	<b>Baimanai Angelain Poda</b> <i>Université Ouaga II</i>
<b>Konstantin Gerber</b> <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo</i>	<b>Paula Rocha Gouvêa Brener</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais</i>	<b>Soraia Ghassan Saleh</b> <i>Saleh Advogados Associados</i>	<b>Jenia Dimitrova</b> <i>Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP</i>	<b>Bannitouo Some</b>
<b>Leandro Bonini Farias</b> <i>Coutinho e Farias Sociedade de Advogados</i>	<b>Paulo Rogério Sehn</b> <i>Trench Rossi e Watanabe Advogados</i>	<b>Sueli Gandolfi Dallari</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	<b>Lachezar Raichev</b> <i>Penkov, Markov &amp; Partners Law Firm</i>	<b>Bernard Ilboudo</b> <i>Ministère de la Santé</i>
<b>Lenir Santos</b> <i>Instituto de Direito Sanitario Aplicado</i>	<b>Paulo Sergio João</b> <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo</i>	<b>Teresa Ancona Lopez</b> <i>Universidade de São Paulo</i>	<b>Lucia F. Miree</b> <i>American University in Bulgaria</i>	<b>Boubacar Nacro</b> <i>Center Hospital University Sourou Sanou</i>
<b>Ligia Bahia</b> <i>Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro</i>	<b>Rachelle Balbinot</b> <i>IMED</i>	<b>Thiago Bottino</b> <i>FGV Direito</i>	<b>Maya Aleksandrova</b> <i>Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP</i>	<b>Boubakar Toure</b> <i>Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo</i>
<b>Luciano Feldens</b> <i>Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	<b>Rafael Villac Vicente de Carvalho</b> <i>Peixoto &amp; Cury Advogados</i>	<b>Thiago Lopes Cardoso Campos</b> <i>Instituto de Direito Sanitario Aplicado</i>	<b>Momyana Guneva</b> <i>Burgas Free University</i>	<b>Etienne Seni</b> <i>Cabinet Futurjuris</i>
<b>Marcia Vilapiano Gomes Primos</b> <i>Primos e Primos Advocacia</i>	<b>Raoni Macedo Bielschowsky</b> <i>Universidade Federal de Uberlândia</i>	<b>Valeria Penna</b> <i>Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro</i>	<b>Nikolai Hristov</b> <i>Medical University of Sofia</i>	<b>Hama A. Diallo</b> <i>Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo</i>
<b>Márcio Souza Guimarães</b> <i>Márcio Guimarães Advogados</i>		<b>Vanessa Chiari Gonçalves</b> <i>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul</i>	<b>Pavel Petkov</b>	<b>Hamidou Lamoussa Ouattara</b> <i>Societe Civile Professionnelle d'Avocats Loyalty</i>
			<b>Petko Salchev</b> <i>National Center of Public Health and Analyses</i>	<b>Harouna Kadio</b>
			<b>Stanley B. Gyoshev</b> <i>University of Exeter</i>	<b>Hervé B. N. Kpoda</b> <i>Centre MURAZ</i>
			<b>Victor Gugushev</b> <i>Gugushev &amp; Partners Law Office</i>	<b>Hien Olo Larousse</b>
				<b>Irène Victoria Nebie</b> <i>Societe d'Avocats Horeb</i>

Issoufou Tiendrebeogo AAS Burkina	Vichuta Ly Legal Support for Children and Women	Noé Momha Groupement Inter- Patronal du Cameroun	Michel W. Drapeau University of Ottawa	Jorge Bofill Genzsch Bofill Escobar Silva Abogados
K. Paulin Somda Ministère de la Santé	Anonymous Contributors	Oscar Tsamayem Dongkeu	Steven Barrett Goldblatt Partners	Jorge Canales G. Kennedys Law
Kalhoulé Ousmane Alexandre	<b>Cameroon</b>	Polycarp Forkum United Nations Organisation Stabilization Mission	Thomas A. Cromwell Borden Ladner Gervais LLP	Juan Ignacio Chamorro Sepúlveda AMLV Abogados
Kassem Salam Sourwema Université Ouaga II	Alain Bruno Woumbou Nzetchie	Roland Abeng The Abeng Law Firm	Titilola Ayotunde-Rotifa	Juan Pablo Cox Leixelard Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez
Leticia Sauret-Sakana Centre MURAZ	Asibong Queenta The Abeng Law Firm	Tarh Besong Frambo The Global Citizen's Initiative	Ulisce Desmarais Desmarais Desvignes Crespo	Luis Felipe Hubner UH&C Abogados
Mahamadou Barro Université Joseph Ki- Zerbo	Buokejung Nsen Abeng Civitas Cameroon	Zakariaou Njoumemi Development Results Group; Université de Yaoundé I	Anonymous Contributors	Luis Parada DLA Piper
Monique Ilboudo	Charles Harold Kooh The Abeng Law Firm	Anonymous Contributors	<b>Chile</b>	Manuel Jimenez Pñingsthorn Jara del Favero & Ried Fabres
Patrick G. Ilboudo Agence de Medecine Preventive	Eleanor Mah Asaa Rights Aid for Women	Alexander Crizzle University of Saskatchewan	Alvaro Mendoza Aylwin Mendoza Luksic & Valencia	Manuel Jose Fernandez Barros
S. Ibrahim Guitanga Barreau du Burkina Faso; SCPA HOREB	Epanty Mbanda D. Moukouri & Partners Law Firm	Anonymous Contributors	Andrea Abascal Jara del Favero & Ried Fabres	Marcelo Alfredo Villalón Calderón Universidad de Chile
Simon Kabore Réseau Accès aux Médicaments Essentiels	Gbaka Ernest Acho Gbaka & Co.	Amir Attaran University of Ottawa	Carlos Ossandon Salas Eluchans y Compañía Abogados	María Inés Horvitz Lennon Universidad de Chile
Souleymane Tassebedo Centre MURAZ	Henry Usim Kalle	Brian Langille University of Toronto	Caterina Guidi Moggia Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez	María Isabel Cornejo Plaza Universidad de Chile
Ter Tiero Elias Dah Centre MURAZ	Hyacinthe Fansi Ngassam, Fansi & Mouafo Avocats Associés	Brooks Arcand-Paul Indigenous Bar Association in Canada	Cristóbal Bonacic M. Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile	Martín Besio Hernández Universidad Diego Portales
Tiendrebeogo T. I. Stephane	J. J. Claude Siewe Siewe & Partners Law Firm	Carolyn Greene Athabasca University	Debora Espinoza Elo	Michele Daroch Sagredo Abdala & Cía.
Anonymous Contributors	Jasmine Diane Silabing Tcheungouo Epse Ouethy Cabinet d'Avocats Meuke	Daniel M. Campbell Cox & Palmer	Edmundo Varas Karmy Morales & Besa	Omar Morales Montt y Cía. Abogados
<b>Cambodia</b>	Joseph Ngoupayo Université de Yaoundé I	Dwight Newman University of Saskatchewan	Fernando Jamarne Alessandri Attorneys at Law	Patricio Morales Aguirre Pérez Donoso Estudio Jurídico
Chak Sopheap Cambodian Center for Human Rights	Laurence Idelette Djeutchou Mouafo NFM Avocats Associés	Fabien Gélinas McGill University	Fernando Lolás Stepke Universidad de Chile	Ramon Garcia Odgers Universidad Católica de Concepcion
Fil B. Tabayoyong, Jr. Pannasastra University of Cambodia	Michel Antoine Mben Kanga NFM Avocats Associés	Gaynor Roger Shibley Righton LLP	Fernando Maturana Crino Eyzaguirre y Cía., Abogados	Raúl Novoa Galán
Kimheang Long	Nadine Bethmba Yanou	Graham J. Reynolds University of British Columbia	Gabriela Novoa Red de Salud UC CHRISTUS	Ricardo Lillo Universidad Diego Portales
Sereyrath Kiri Husky & Partners	Njang Simon Enow MBI Nchenge Law Chambers	Jabeur Fathally Université d'Ottawa	Gonzalo Hoyl Moreno Hoyl Alliende & Cía. Abogados	Ricardo Reveco Urzúa Carey Law Firm
Sophea Im Integrated Solutions & Development Consulting	Njini Futrih Ngong Rose Regional Hospital Bamenda	Karen Busby University of Manitoba	Humberto A. Sánchez Pacheco Proyecto Inocentes Defensoría Penal Pública	
Sopheak Loeung BNG Legal		Léo Fugazza		



Zarko Luksic Sandoval AMLV Abogados	Claudia Maria Velez Velez Universidad de Medellin	Martha Peñuela Universidad del Norte	Christian Bahati Bahalaokwibuye Marcalex Law Firm; Université Catholique de Bukavu et Avocat	Hugues Ngoy Nsenga Division de la Santé du Haut Katanga
Anonymous Contributors	David F. Varela Agencia Norteamericana de Desarrollo Internacional; Banco Inter-Americano de Desarrollo; Banco Mundial	Patricia Moncada Roa Universidad de los Andes	Christian Luzombe Société Civile Force Vive de la RDC	Huguette Sesep
<b>China</b>		Patricia Vergara Gómez - Pinzón Abogados S.A.S.	Clement Shamashanga Minga Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique	Jacques Djoli Université de Kinshasa
He Qingjie Yunnan Provincial Health Development Research Center	Eduardo Cardenas Dentons Cardenas & Cardenas	Rafael H. Gamboa Bernate Data & Tic Consultores	Coco Koyalua	Jean Claude Ngoy Simbi Direction du Programme Élargi de Vaccination de la RDC
Jianhong Liu University of Macau	Elizabeth Castillo Castillo	Raúl Alberto Suárez Arcila	Dan Nshokano Kashironge MERCALÉX - Legal and Business Consulting Firm	Jean Kankolongo Senga Tumiladi
Kaiming Liu The Institute of Contemporary Observation	Enrique Alvarez Lloreda Camacho & Co.	Ricardo Posada Maya Universidad de los Andes	Déogratias Nyombo Busangu Réseau Nationale des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme	Jean Michel Kumbu Ki Mgingi Université de Kinshasa
Li Xinfeng Jiangsu Dongyin Law Firm	Fernando Arteaga Suárez Universidad del Valle	Román Restrepo Villa Universidad de Antioquia	Emmanuel Kabupwe Cabinet Emery Mukendi Wafwana	Jean Michel Mvondo Réseau d'Education Civique au Congo
Anonymous Contributors	Guillermo Hernando Bayona Combariza	Anonymous Contributors	Fabrice Konzi Yembamo Siamo Journalisme Libre en Afrique	Jean Paul Habibu Safari
<b>Colombia</b>	Gustavo Quintero Navas Asesores Juridicos y Consultores Empresariales S.A.S.	<b>Congo, Dem. Rep.</b>	Freddy Mulamba Senene Centre d'Arbitrage du Congo	Jean Pierre Ostchudi Omanga Cabinet d'Avocats Otschudi Omanga and Associates
Abelado De La Espriella De la Espriella Lawyers Enterprise	Hernando Nieto Asociación Colombiana de Salud Pública	Adolphe Kilomba Sumaili Congolese Centre of Transitional Justice	Genèse Bibi Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique	Jean-Paul Divengi Nzambi Fondation Bongisa Mokili
Alba Yaneth Rincón Méndez Universidad Industrial de Santander	Ignacio Santamaría Lloreda Camacho & Co.	Adonis Bope Ngoloshanga RCP/Médias ASBL	Grace Beda Mbazi Université de Goma	Joseph Antoine Ngoto Ngoie Ngalingi Université de Kisangani
Alfonso Plana Bodén ARI Consulting Group S.A.S.	Jaime Lombana Villalba Jaime Lombana Villalba & Abogados	Alain M. Iyeti Direction d'Etudes et Planification du Ministère de la Santé	Grâce Muwawa	Joseph Kayembe Mutamba
Angela Maria Ruiz Sternberg Universidad del Rosario	Jairo Humberto Restrepo Zea Universidad de Antioquia	Balingene Kahombo Université de Goma	Gustave Booloko N'Kelly Fondation Tohangi pour le Développement	Laurent Okamo Okuma Okuma Law Office
Bernardo Carvajal Sanchez Bernardo Carvajal & Asociados	Joe Bonilla Gálvez Muñoz Tamayo & Asociados Abogados S.A.S.	Benjamin Ifeka Momponza Bertin Boki Ezabu Droits Pour Tous ASBL	Gustave Kingoma Barreau de Kinshasa-Gombe	Lebrun Kembo Nsayi Fondation Bongisa Mokili
Camilo Torres-Serna Universidad Santiago de Cali	Jorge Acosta-Reyes Universidad del Norte	Beya Siku Université de Kinshasa	Hector Lubamba Ngimbi Barreau de Kinshasa-Gombe	Marcellin Lebon Kalera Barreau de Goma; Université de Goma
Carlos Andrés Gómez González Universidad Jorge Tadeo Lozano	Jorge Enrique Galvis Tovar Lloreda Camacho & Co.	Bienfait Uwimana Université de Goma	Guy Loando Mboyo GLM & Associates	Marie Nyombo Zaina Réseau Nationale des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme
Carlos Arturo Toro López	Luis Alberto Tafur Calderón Universidad del Valle	Bruno Lapika Dimomfu Université de Kinshasa	Nicaise Chikuru Munyiogwarha Chikuru & Associés	Moïse Abdou Muhima Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique; Université de Kinshasa
Carlos M. Molina Arrubla Molina Diaz & Abogados	Luisa Fernanda Cuéllar Ramírez Rama Judicial- Seccional Caquetá	Cédric Mayuba Mokangani Cour Constitutionnelle de la République Démocratique du Congo; Université de Kinshasa		
Carolina Fernandez Gomez	Marcela Castro Ruiz Universidad de los Andes	Charles-Mugagga Mushizi Bashushana Centre d'Echanges pour des Réformes Juridiques et Institutionnelles		

**Patrick Nsimba Mata**  
*Club des Amis Damien*

**Paul Kabongo**

**Rachel Kangila Kamesa**  
*Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique*

**Rodino Tshibuyi Mbuyandayi**

**Roger Kabeya**  
*Barreau de Kinshasa-Gombe*

**Roger Mukendi M.**  
*Réseau Nationale des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme*

**Roger Mulamba Katamba**  
*Cabinet d'Avocats RMK & Associes*

**Samy Samutondi Ikomba**  
*Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature; Université de Kinshasa*

**Symphorien Kapinga K. Nkashama**  
*Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique*

**Thérèse Mambu Nyangi Mondo**  
*Université de Kinshasa*

**Toussaint Kwambamba Bala**  
*Barreau de Kinshasa-Matete; University of Bandundu; Université Catholique du Congo*

**Vianney Kanku**  
*Action Contre l'Impunité pour les Droits Humains*

**Yves-Junior Lumingu Manzanza**  
*Université de Kikwit*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Costa Rica

**Alexa Narváez Arauz**  
*Lexincorp*

**Alvaro Aguilar**  
*Aguilar Castillo Love*

**Armando Guardia**  
*Guardia & Cubero*

**Arnoldo Andre Tinoco**  
*Lexincorp*

**Benjamin Gutierrez Contreras**  
*BG&A Abogados Corporativos*

**Carlos H. Pacheco**  
*AB&P Abogados*

**Carmen Zúñiga Quesada**  
*GLC Abogados*

**Daniela Durán Azofeifa**  
*Aselecom Abogados*

**Enrique López Jiménez**  
*Dentons*

**Ewald Acuña Blanco**  
*Bufete Acuña & Asociados*

**Federico Morales Chaves**  
*Central Law*

**Francisco José Aguilar Urbina**  
*Comité de Derechos Humanos*

**J. Federico Campos Calderón**  
*Lexpenal Abogados; Universidad de Costa Rica*

**José Antonio Muñoz**  
*Dentons Muñoz*

**Kendall David Ruiz Jiménez**  
*Aselecom Abogados*

**Luis González Aguilar**  
*Universidad de Costa Rica*

**María del Rocío Quirós Arroyo**  
*Bufete AG Legal*

**Rafael Quirós Bustamante**  
*Central Law*

**Rafael Rodríguez Salazar**  
*La Firma de Abogados CR*

**Ramón María Yglesias Piza**  
*Bufete Mora, Yglesias & Asociados*

**Randall Madrigal Madrigal**  
*Colegio de Farmacéuticos de Costa Rica*

**Rodrigo Oreamuno**

**Rubén Hernández Valle**  
*Universidad de Costa Rica*

**Sergio Amador Hasbun**  
*Batalla Legal*

**Susana Fallas Barboza**  
*Aselecom Abogados*

**Tomás Quirós Jiménez**  
*Central Law*

**Victoria van Ginkel Mourelo**  
*Bufete Guardia & Cubero*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Cote d'Ivoire

**Aliou Niangadou**

**Arsene Dable**

**Bernice N'Guessan and Cynthia N'Guessan**

**Diallo Sissoko Genevieve**  
*Réseau Paix et Sécurité des Femmes de l'Espace*

**Eric Bably**  
*BK & Associés*

**Fotienworo Mathias Coulibaly**  
*Societe d'Avocats Jurisfortis*

**Geraldine Odehour-Koudou**  
*Barreau de Côte d'Ivoire*

**Jean-Louis Flaubert Lobe**  
*Institut International de la Haute Pratique du Droit*

**Jules Gotre**  
*Amepouh*

**Kignaman Soro**  
*KS & Associés*

**Kouame Yves Roland Yao**  
*Roy International*

**Paterne Mambo**  
*Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny*

**Patrice K. Kouassi**

**Pierre Diavatche**  
*Ordre des Avocats de Côte d'Ivoire*

**Séhéna-Dramane**  
*Cabinet d'Avocats Hivat et Associés*

**Séraphin Nene**  
*Université de Bouaké*

**Simone Assa-Akoh**  
*Association des Femmes Juristes de Côte d'Ivoire*

**Souleymane Sakho**  
*Scpa Sakho-Yapobi-Fofana & Associes*

**Tigby Junior Franck Wilfried**  
*Ivoire-Juriste*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Croatia

**Alan Bosnar**  
*University of Rijeka*

**Alan Soric**  
*Soric & Tomekovic Dunda Attorneys*

**Anita Krizmanić**  
*Macesic & Partners Law Offices*

**Arsen Bacic**  
*Croatian Academy of Science and Arts*

**Boris Kozjak**  
*Boris Kozjak Law Firm*

**Boris Šavorić**  
*Šavorić & Partners LLC*

**Branko Smerdel**  
*University of Zagreb*

**Darko Jurišić**  
*General Hospital "Dr. J. Benčević"*

**Floriana Bulić-Jakuš**  
*University of Zagreb*

**Ivana Manovelo**  
*Macesic & Partners Law Offices*

**Ivo Grga**

**Mario Krka**

**Marko Borsky**

**Marko Lovrić**  
*Marko Lovrić Law Office*

**Martina Kolar**

**Rudolf Gregurek**  
*University of Zagreb*

**Tomislava Furcic**  
*Law Office Tomislava Furcic*

**Višnja Drenški Lasan**  
*Law Firm Višnja Drenški Lasan*

**Zlata Đurđević**  
*University of Zagreb*

**Zoran Vukic**  
*Vukic & Partners Law Firm*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Czech Republic

**Arkady Alexandrov**

**Daniel Bartoň**

**Jan Hurdík**  
*Masaryk University*

**Jan Poláček**  
*Poláček, Tryznová & Prudilová, Attorneys at Law*

**Jiří Andryšek**

**Jitka Kadlcikova**  
*Schoenherr Attorneys at Law*

**Juraj Juhás**  
*Glatzová & Co. s.r.o.*

**Lukáš Prudil**  
*AK PRUDIL a spol., s.r.o.*

**Michal Peškar**

**Pavel Holec**  
*Holec, Zuska & Partneri s.r.o.*

**Radek Matouš**  
*Eversheds Sutherland Dvořák Hager*

**Simona Stočesová**  
*University of West Bohemia*

**Stepan Holub**  
*Holubova Advokati s.r.o.*

**Tomas Cihula**  
*Kinstellar*

**Tomáš Láska**  
KF Legal

**Tomas Matejovsky**  
Cameron McKenna  
Nabarro Olswang LLP

**Veronika Prudlová**  
Poláček, Tryznová &  
Prudlová, Attorneys at  
Law

**Vojtech Steininger**  
Hartmanova & Steininger

**Zuzana Candigliota**

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

## **Denmark**

**Anne Brandt  
Christensen**  
Advokatfirmaet Brandt  
Christensen

**Christian Bay Nielsen**  
STORM Advokatfirma

**Christian Bentz**  
LIND Advokataktieselskab

**Dan B. Geary**  
Bech-Bruun Law Firm

**Hans Henrik Edlund**  
Aarhus University

**Jacob Schall Holberg**  
Bech-Bruun Law Firm

**Jakob S. Johnsen**  
HjulmandKaptain

**Jannik Haahr Antonsen**  
Codex Advokater P/S

**Jens Rye-Andersen**  
Advokatfirmaet Eurojuris  
Aalborg

**John Brodersen**  
University of Copenhagen

**Kim Trensow**  
Kromann Reumert

**Marianne Granhøj**  
Kromann Reumert

**Poul Hvilsted**  
Horten Law Firm

**Trine Binderup**  
ADVODAN Aalborg A/S

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

## **Dominica**

**Charlotte Jeremy-Cuffy**  
Crossroads Centre

**Gina J. Abraham**  
Norde and Lambert  
Chambers

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

## **Dominican Republic**

**Alberto A. Biaggi  
Dimitri**  
Biaggi Attorneys at Law

**Alfredo Lachapel**  
Lachapel Toribio -  
Abogados, S.R.L.

**Arismendi Díaz Santana**

**Carlos Alfredo Sosa De  
La Cruz**  
Universidad Autónoma de  
Santo Domingo; Oficina  
Nacional de Estadísticas

**Denny E. Díaz Mordán**  
Universidad Nacional  
Pedro Henríquez Ureña

**Elisabetta Pedersini**  
Aaron Suero & Pedersini

**Fabiola Medina Garnes**  
Medina Garrigó Abogados

**Fernando J. Jiménez**  
Puello Herrera Oficina de  
Abogados & Notaría

**Fernando Roedan**  
Roedán & Asociados

**Georges Santoni Recio**  
Russin Vecchi & Heredia  
Bonetti

**Gianna D`Oleo**  
Doleo Consulting

**Gilbert M. De la Cruz  
Álvarez**  
Universidad Nacional  
Pedro Henríquez Ureña

**Henry Montás R.**  
Montas Abogados

**Iván A. Cunillera  
Albuquerque**  
William Cunillera &  
Asociados

**Jaime M. Senior  
Fernández**  
Headrick Rizik Alvarez &  
Fernández

**Jefrey Lizardo**  
Instituto Tecnológico de  
Santo Domingo

**Jesus Feris-Iglesias**  
Fundación Dominicana de  
Infectologia, Inc.

**Juan Manuel Suero**  
Aaron Suero & Pedersini

**Juan Vizcaíno Canario**  
AbogadoSDQ

**Julio Cesar De la Rosa  
Tiburcio**  
Alianza Dominicana  
Contra la Corrupción

**Kelvin W. Herrera**  
Escuela de Formación y  
Capacitación Ciudadana

**Loraine Maldonado**  
Mesa Abogados

**Manuel Alejandro Ruiz  
Arias**

**Manuel Colomé**  
Hospital Pediátrico Dr.  
Hugo Mendoza

**María Esther Fernández  
A. De Pou**  
Raful Sicard Polanco &  
Fernández

**Mary Fernández  
Rodríguez**  
Headrick Rizik Alvarez &  
Fernández

**Rafael Manuel  
Lamarche**  
Fundación Dominicana  
contra el Mal de Parkinson

**Roberto Medina Reyes**  
Jorge Prats Abogados &  
Consultores

**Rodolfo Mesa**  
Mesa Abogados

**Rosa Ypania Burgos  
Minaya**  
Universidad Autónoma de  
Santo Domingo

**Smerly Rodriguez  
Jimenez**  
Fundación Justicia y  
Transparencia

**Stalin Ciprián**  
Ciprián Arriaga & Asocs.

**Teresa Mártez**

**Trajano Vidal  
Potentini A.**  
Fundación Justicia y  
Transparencia

**Virgilio A. Mendez  
Amaro**  
Mendez & Asociados

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

## **Ecuador**

**Alberto Vivanco Aguirre**  
González Peñaherrera &  
Asociados Abogados

**Alexis Noboa Arregui**  
Cevallos & Noboa Estudio  
Jurídico

**Alfredo G. Brito**  
Brito & Pinto

**Ana Belen Posso  
Fernandez**  
Ontaneda & Posso  
Abogados

**Bryan Abdón Mendoza  
Muñoz**  
Universidad Laica Eloy  
Alfaro de Manabí

**Carlos Carrasco Yépez**  
AC Abogados &  
Consultores

**Ciro Pazmino Zurita**  
P&P Abogados

**Claudia Storini**  
Universidad Andina Simón  
Bolívar

**Diego Almeida-Guzman**  
Almeida Guzman &  
Asociados Law Firm

**Elizabeth Grijalva Yerovi  
Fernando Sacoto**  
Ecuadorian Public Health  
Society

**Francisco Dávalos  
Morán**  
González Peñaherrera &  
Asociados Abogados

**Gerardo Aguirre Vallejo**  
Estudio Juridico Vivanco &  
Vivanco

**Gustavo Arrobo  
Moncayo**  
González Peñaherrera &  
Asociados Abogados

**Gustavo Ortega Trujillo**  
Ortega Abogados &  
Asociados

**James Pilco Luzuriaga**  
Universidad del Azuay

**Jamil Castro Solorzano**

**José Antonio  
Bustamante Salvador**  
Bustamante &  
Bustamante Law Firm

**José Luis Tapia**

**Jose Ontaneda**  
Ontaneda & Posso  
Abogados

**Juan Carlos Riofrío  
Martínez-Villalba**  
Universidad de los  
Hemisferios

**Juan José Campaña del  
Castillo**  
Larrea y Asociados

**Julio Enrique Neira  
García**  
Colectivo Feminista Tejido  
Diverso

**Lucía Andrade Martínez**  
Asociación de Municipalidades  
Ecuadorianas

**Luis Ponce Palacios**  
Estudio Jurídico Quevedo  
& Pone

**Marcelo Proaño  
Paredes**  
Pontificia Universidad  
Católica del Ecuador

**Mario I. Armendáriz Y.**  
Armendáriz & Andino  
Abogados

**Mary Cabrera Paredes**  
Sendas

**Miguel Ángel Gavilánez  
Guerrero**  
Universidad Estatal de  
Bolívar

**Pablo Andino Fiallos**  
Armendáriz & Andino  
Abogados

**Ramiro Echeverría Tapia**  
Universidad San Francisco  
de Quito

**Renato Enríquez M.**  
FEXLAW

**Rosa Cecilia Baltazar Yucailla**  
*Movimiento Indígena y Campesina de Tungurahua*

**Santiago Salazar Intriago**  
*Sempertegui Abogados*

**Santiago Solines Moreno**  
*Solines & Asociados Abogados*

**Sylvia Bonilla Bolaños**  
*Comisión Ecuémica de Derechos Humanos*

**Tatiana Villacres**  
*Quantics Consulting Group*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## **Egypt**

**Ahmed Ramadan**  
*Shalakany Law Office*

**Ayman Sultan Muhammad**  
*Ayman Sultan Law Firm*

**Bassem S. Wadie**  
*Urology and Nephrology Center*

**Hadir Helal Farghaly**  
*Open Chance & Associates, Dr. Helal Farghaly Law Firm*

**Ibrahim Ahmad**  
*Ain Shams University*

**Khaled El Shalakany**  
*Shalakany Law Office*

**Mahmoud Onsy**  
*New Giza University*

**Mamdooh Abdelhameed Abdelmottlep**  
*Lotus Law Firm*

**Mohamed Abdelaal**  
*Alexandria University*

**Randa Salaheldin Rezk**  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## **El Salvador**

**Adán Araujo**  
*Arias Law*

**Arturo Magaña**  
*Alvarado y Alvarado Abogados*

**Benjamin Valdez Iraheta**  
*Benjamin Valdez & Asociados*

**Camila Villafuerte**  
*Romero Pineda & Asociados*

**Carlos Arturo Muyshondt**  
*Muyshondt & Asociados*

**Carlos Enrique Castillo García**  
*Romero Pineda & Asociados*

**Christian Bará Cousin**  
*Bara Legal Corporation*

**David Claros**  
*García & Bodán*

**David Osvaldo Toledo**  
*Universidad Católica de El Salvador*

**Délmer Edmundo Rodríguez Cruz**  
*Escuela Superior de Economía y Negocios*

**Diego Martín-Menjívar**  
*Consortium Legal*

**Felix Canizales Valencia**  
*Arias Law*

**Feridee Alabí**  
*Romero Pineda & Asociados*

**Francisco Murillo**  
*Central Law*

**Guillermo Alexander Parada Gámez**  
*Universidad Centroamericana José Simeón Cañas*

**Ingrid Lizama**

**Israel Antonio Chinchilla Sánchez**  
*Universidad Centroamericana José Simeón Cañas*

**Javier Enrique Alfaro Varela**  
*Espino Nieto*

**José Eduardo Barrientos Aguirre**  
*SBA, Firma Legal & Consultora, S.A. de C.V.*

**Jose Ernesto Sanchez Arias**  
*Law*

**Jose Roberto Romero**  
*Romero Pineda & Asociados*

**Josué Lemus**  
*Arias Law*

**Kelly Beatriz Romero Rodríguez**  
*Nassar Abogados*

**Marcella Romero**  
*Dentons Muñoz*

**Mardoqueo Josafat Tóchez Molina**  
*RSM El Salvador*

**Mariana Nochez Palacios**  
*Arias Law*

**Oscar Torres Cañas**  
*García & Bodán*

**Piero Antonio Rusconi Gutiérrez**  
*Central Law*

**Porfirio Díaz Fuentes**  
*DLM, Abogados, Notarios, Consultores*

**Rebeca Atanacio de Basagoitia**  
*Escalón & Atanacio*

**Roberta Gallardo**  
*Arias Law*

**Rommell Sandoval**  
*I&D Consulting*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## **Estonia**

**Aare Tark**  
*Law Office TARK*

**Andres Vutt**  
*University of Tartu*

**Ene Soop**  
*Law Office Narlex*

**Ga Gabriel Tavits**  
*University of Tartu*

**Hannes Küün**  
*Law Office TARK*

**Jaanus Mägi**  
*Magnusson*

**Kaja Põlluste**  
*University of Tartu*

**Kari Käsper**  
*Estonian Human Rights Centre*

**Madis Kiisa**  
*Laus & Partners Law Office*

**Maksim Greinoman**  
*Advokaadibüroo Greinoman & Co.*

**Margit Vutt**  
*Supreme Court of Estonia*

**Merle Erikson**  
*University of Tartu*

**Tanel Küün**  
*Law Office TARK*

**Urmas Kukk**  
*Law Firm Koch & Partners*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## **Ethiopia**

**Abdurrahman Seid**  
*Law Office of Abdurrahman Seid*

**Abebe Asamere Endale**

**Abiyou Girma Tamrat**  
*Abiyou Girma Law Office*

**Abraham Zewdie Haile**

**Alebachew Birhanu Enyew**  
*Bahir Dar University*

**Alemu Meheretu**  
*Jimma University*

**Ameha Mekonnen Asfaw**  
*Ameha Mekonnen & Associates Law Office*

**Berhane Ghebray Kahsay**  
*Berhane Ghebray and Associates*

**Dessalegn Tigabu Haile**  
*Bahir Dar University*

**Fikadu Asfaw Demissie**  
*Fikadu Asfaw & Associates Law Office*

**Girma Kassa Kumsa**  
*Arsi University*

**Guadie Sharew**  
*Bahir Dar University*

**Hiruy Wubie Gebreegziabher**  
*La Trobe University*

**Khalid Kebede Gelaw**  
*Bahir Dar University*

**Kidist Sheferaw**

**Kumlachew Dagne**  
*Kumlachew Dagne and Associates Law Office*

**Matias Girma**  
*Matias Law Office*

**Mehari Redae**  
*Addis Ababa University*

**Mekdem Belayneh**  
*Mekdem Law Office*

**Mesfin Tafesse**  
*Mesfin Tafesse & Associates*

**Rahel Alemayehu**

**Samrawit Behailu**  
*Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office*

**Selam Abere**

**Tameru Wondm Agegnehu**  
*Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office*

**Tamrat Assefa**  
*Tamrat Assefa Liban Law Office*

**Tegegne Zergaw**  
*Bahir Dar University*

**Temesgen Sisay Beyene**  
*Bahir Dar University*

**Tsedey Girma Mengistu**  
*Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office*

**Tsehai Wada**  
*Addis Ababa University*

**Wubshet Kassaw**

**Yihun Zeleke Mengesha**  
*Bahir Dar University*

**Yodit Gurji Argaw**  
*Fikadu Asfaw & Associates Law Office*

**Zewdu Mengesha**  
*Bahir Dar University*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Finland

**Anna Hurmerinta-Haanpää**  
*University of Turku*

**Ari Miettinen**  
*Fimlab Laboratories*

**Arja R. Aro**  
*EduRes Consulting*

**Jaakko Salminen**  
*University of Turku*

**Johanna Niemi**  
*University of Turku*

**Johannes Lamminen**  
*University of Turku*

**Jorma Saloheimo**  
*Labour Court of Finland*

**Kimmo Nuotio**  
*University of Helsinki*

**Lauri Railas**  
*Railas Attorneys Ltd.*

**Markku Fredman**  
*Fredman & Mansson Law Firm*

**Matti Ilmari Niemi**  
*University of Eastern Finland*

**Matti Tolvanen**  
*University of Eastern Finland*

**Mika J. Lehtimäki**  
*Attorneys-at-Law TRUST*

**Mika Launiala**  
*University of Eastern Finland*

**Milka Sormunen**  
*University of Helsinki*

**Patrick Lindgren**  
*Advocare Law Office*

**Raimo Isoaho**  
*University of Turku*

**Raimo Lahti**  
*University of Helsinki*

**Sakari Suominen**  
*University of Turku*

**Tatu Hyttinen**  
*University of Eastern Finland*

**Teuvo Pohjolainen**  
*University of Eastern Finland*

**Tommi Koivistoinen**  
*Asianajotoimisto Tuutti Oy*

**Tuomas Hupli**  
*University of Turku*

**Vesa Annola**  
*University of Vaasa*

**Walter Reinovich Vezikko**  
*International Association of Arbitrators of Finland*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## France

**Antoine Berthe**  
*Barreau de Lille*

**Carlos Miguel Herrera**  
*Université de Cergy-Pontoise*

**Christophe Chabrot**  
*Lumière Université Lyon 2*

**Cyril Bloch**  
*Aix-Marseille Université*

**Dominique Inchauspé**  
*Cabinet Inchauspé & Remy*

**Elisabeth Grabli**

**Florence Debord**  
*Lumière Université Lyon 2*

**Georges Sioufi**  
*SRDB Law Firm*

**Guillaume Protière**  
*Lumière Université Lyon 2*

**Isabelle Carbuccia**  
*Ivch Law Firm*

**Juliette Chapelle**  
*Cabinet Chapelle Avocat*

**Marie-Christine Cimadevilla**  
*Cimadevilla Avocats*

**Monique Stengel**

**Nicole Stolowy**  
*HEC Paris*

**Olivier Péan De Ponfily**

**Patrice Le Maigat**  
*Université de Rennes 1*

**Philippe Marin**  
*IMAVOCATS Law Firm*

**Samir Abdelly**  
*Abdelly & Chaary Law Firm*

**Sébastien Ducamp**  
*Sesame Avocats*

**Soungalo Ouarza Goita**

**Véronique Tuffal-Nerson**  
*Tuffal-Nerson Douarre et Associés*

**Yanick Alvarez-de Selding**

**Anonymous Contributors**

## The Gambia

**Abdou A. Kante**  
*Nova Scotia-Gambia Association*

**Aji Sainey Kah**  
*The Gambia Public Utilities Regulatory Authority*

**Francois S. Mendy**  
*Nova Scotia-Gambia Association*

**Loubna Farage**  
*Farage Andrews Law Practice*

**Malick F. M'bai**  
*Fajara Chambers*

**Maria Saine**  
*Institute for Human Rights and Development in Africa*

**Momodou A. Bah**  
*Hands on Care*

**Muhammed B. Sowe**  
*Attorney General's Chambers; Ministry of Justice of The Gambia*

**Olymatou Cox**  
*UNAIDS*

**Peter K. Mendy**  
*A.J. Njie Law Chambers; University of the Gambia*

**Rachael Yvonne Mendy**  
*RYM Legal Services*

**Sainey Bah**  
*University of the Gambia*

**Satang Nabaneh**  
*Law Hub Gambia*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Georgia

**Ana Chelidze**  
*JSC Basisbank*

**Ana Rekhviashvili**  
*Business Legal Bureau*

**Anna Arganashvili**  
*Partnership for Human Rights*

**Dzabuli Danelia**  
*GLCC Law Firm*

**George Gotsadze**  
*Curatio International Foundation*

**Giorgi Begiashvili**  
*Begiashvili & Co.*

**Giorgi Kldiashvili**  
*Institute for Development of Freedom of Information*

**Grigol Gagnidze**  
*Georgian Barristers & Lawyers International Observatory*

**Ivdiy Chikovani**  
*Curatio International Foundation*

**Ketevan Krialashvili**  
*Taxpayers Union in Georgia*

**Lasha Gogiberidze**  
*BGI Legal*

**Levan Avalishvili**  
*Institute for Development of Freedom of Information*

**Levan Gotua**  
*Begiashvili & Co.*

**Lina Ghvinianidze**

**Nata Kazakhashvili**  
*Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University*

**Natalia Geladze**  
*GG Legal*

**Natia Katsitadze**  
*Article 42 of the Constitution*

**Natia Skhvitaridze**  
*National Center for Disease Control and Public Health*

**Nona Kurdovanidze**  
*Georgian Young Lawyers' Association*

**Tamta Nutsbidze**  
*Begiashvili & Co.*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Germany

**Alexander Putz**  
*Putz und Partner*

**Anna Lindenberg**

**Beckmann-Koßmann**

**Carsten Momsen**  
*Freie Universität Berlin*

**Christian Wolff**  
*Schock Rechtsanwälte*

**Christina Reifelsberger**  
*HessenChemie*

**Christoph Hexel**  
*Heuking Kühn Lüer Wojtek*

**Christoph Lindner**  
*Rechtsanwälte Dr. Lindner*

**Dirk Vielhuber**  
*BG BAU*

**Friederike Lemme**  
*Kanzlei Lemme*

**Gerhard Wegen**  
*Glæss Lutz*

**Gernot A. Warmuth**  
*Scheiber & Partner*

**Gregor Dornbusch**  
*Baker McKenzie*

**Hauke Hagena**  
*ProMINT - Tax & Legal*

**Henning Rosenau**  
*Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg*

**Hermann Bietz**  
*Bietz Arbitration*

**Hermann Pünder**  
*Bucerius Law School*

**Ingo Klaus Wamser**  
*Rechtsanwalt Wamser*

**Jakob von Kirchbach**  
*IMI Precision Engineering*

**Jessica Jacobi**  
*Kliemt.Arbeitsrecht*

<b>Jürgen Nazarek</b> Kanzlei Dr. Jürgen Nazarek	<b>Thomas Jürgens</b> Jürgens Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH	<b>Nana Tawiah Okyir</b> Ghana Institute of Management and Public Administration	<b>Konstantinos P. Valmas-Vloutis</b> Valmas-Vloutis Law Office	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Kerstin Niethammer- Jürgens</b> Jürgens Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH	<b>Thomas Melletat</b> Melletat - Rechtsanwälte	<b>Nii Nortey Hanson-Nortey</b> Aurum Institute Ghana	<b>Kostoula Mazaraki</b> Nomos Law Firm	<b>Guatemala</b>
<b>Marc Seifert</b> Hewlett Packard Enterprise	<b>Volker V. Moers</b> von Moers Lawyers	<b>Peggy Addo</b> Nobisfields	<b>Magda Kapoti-Tazedaki</b> Tazedakis Law Firm	<b>Alexis Retana</b> Organismo de Investigación Judicial de Costa Rica
<b>Martin Nebeling</b> Bird & Bird LLP	<b>Wibke Köppler</b> Kanzlei Oelmüller & Partner GbR	<b>Samuel Alesu-Dordzi</b> ENSafrica	<b>Marialena Papachristou</b> Marialena Papachristou Law Firm	<b>Álvaro V. L. Marroquín</b> Carrillo & Asociados
<b>Martin Reufels</b> Heuking Kühn Lüer Wojtek	<b>Wolf Stahl</b> ADA Cosmetics Holding GmbH	<b>Tata Kosi Foliba</b> Fugar & Company	<b>Nigel Bowen-Morris</b> Stephenson Harwood	<b>Alfonso Carrillo M.</b> Carrillo & Asociados
<b>Martin Sträßer</b> Sträßer Rehm Barfield	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Theophilus Tawiah</b> Nobisfields	<b>Nikolaos Kondylis</b> N. M. Kondylis & Partners Law Office	<b>Alfonso Ortiz Natareno</b> HP Abogados
<b>Monika Hagen</b> Rechtsanwaltskanzlei Hagen	<b>Ghana</b>	<b>Yasmin Baba</b> Sam Okudzeto & Associates	<b>Panagiotis Gioulakos</b> E-nomos Business Consultants	<b>Alvaro R. Cordon</b> Cordon, Ovalle & Associates
<b>Nicola Kreutzer</b> Kreutzer & Kreuzau Rechtsanwälte	<b>Andrew Appau Obeng</b> Stobe Law	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Stavros Karageorgiou</b> Karageorgiou & Associates Law Firm	<b>Andrés Dubón Ruiz</b> Comte & Font - Legalsa
<b>Oliver Bolthausen</b> DWF	<b>Benedicta Akita</b> Sam Okudzeto & Associates	<b>Alexios Athanassopoulos</b> AA Law Firm	<b>Stelios Andreadakis</b> Brunel University London	<b>Angélica Lucía Aguilar Gutiérrez</b> Universidad InterNaciones
<b>Oliver Schellbach</b> Schellbach Rechtsanwälte	<b>Charlotte Osei</b> Prime Attorneys	<b>Alexis Anagnostakis</b> Anagnostakis Law Offices	<b>Vasileios Tetokas</b>	<b>Astrid J. Lemus Rodríguez</b>
<b>Othmar K. Traber</b> Ahlers & Vogel Rechtsanwälte	<b>Emmanuel Maurice Ankrah</b> Kuukuaa Legal Consulting	<b>Anthony G. Mavrides</b> Ballas, Pelecanos & Associates L.P.C.	<b>Virginia Theodoropoulou</b>	<b>Daniel Arturo Marroquin Gracias</b> A.D. Sosa & Soto
<b>Rainer M. Hofmann</b> Kanzlei im Hofhaus	<b>Frank Owusu-Sekyere</b> Korle Bu Teaching Hospital	<b>Athina-Marina Christofilopoulou</b> E-nomos Business Consultants	<b>Xenophon Contiades</b> Centre for European Constitutional Law - Themistocles and Dimitris Tsatsos Foundation	<b>David Ernesto Chacón Estrada</b> Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala
<b>Roberto Kunz-Hallstein</b> Rechtsanwälte Dr. Kunz-Hallstein	<b>Franklin Glozah</b> University of Ghana	<b>Avagianou Melina</b> Klimaka	<b>Yota Kremmida</b> Hewlett Packard Enterprise	<b>Diego Alejos Rivera</b> Consortium Legal
<b>Roland Gross</b> Gross Rechtsanwälte	<b>Halimah El-Alawa Abdul-Baasit</b> Inusah, Inusah and Associates	<b>Dionyssis Balourdos</b> National Centre for Social Research	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Diego Ricardo Pérez Sandoval</b> Integrum Law Firm
<b>Sabine Barth</b> Lange Brunner Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft m.b.B.	<b>Jerry Seyram Dei</b> Sam Okudzeto & Associates	<b>Eleni Kloukinioti</b> Transparency International	<b>Grenada</b>	<b>Dina Castro</b>
<b>Sebastian Reinsch</b> Janke & Reinsch Rechtsanwälte	<b>Kwame Owusu Agyeman</b> University of Cape Coast	<b>Fotini N. Skopouli</b> Harokopio University	<b>Chevanev Charles</b> Temple Stoke Chambers	<b>Edson Lopez</b> Integrum Law Firm
<b>Silke Graeser</b>	<b>Mary Opare</b> Central University Ghana	<b>Georgia Sitou</b> Georgia Sitou Law Office	<b>James Bristol</b> Henry, Henry & Bristol	<b>Emanuel Callejas A.</b> Carrillo & Asociados
<b>Stefan Huster</b> Ruhr-University Bochum	<b>Melisa Amarteifio</b> Sam Okudzeto & Associates	<b>Grace Katsoulis</b> Ballas, Pelecanos & Associates L.P.C.	<b>Joshua John</b> Law Office of Evette John	<b>Enrique Moller</b> EY Law
<b>Stephan Sander</b> Terheddebrügge Sander	<b>Nana Esi Aferba Ahlijah</b> Ariel Avery Law	<b>Ilias Anagnostopoulos</b> Anagnostopoulos Law Office	<b>Martin Forde</b> St. George's University	<b>Gabriel Arturo Muadi Garcia</b> Muadi & Murga
<b>Thomas Feltes</b> CPT, Council of Europe; Ruhr-University Bochum			<b>Tanya K. Lambert</b> Wilkinson, Wilkinson & Wilkinson	<b>Harvey Alvarez</b> HP Abogados
			<b>Yurana Phillip</b> Afi Ventour & Co.	

<b>J. Guillermo Gándara Espino</b> <i>Asociación Iberoamericana de Juristas del Derecho del Trabajo y la Seguridad Social</i>	<b>Rodrigo Barillas</b> <i>WINGS Guatemala</i>	<b>Kekoura Kourouma</b> <i>The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria</i>	<b>Sarika Gajraj</b> <i>Dentons Delany</i>	<b>Jorge Arturo Reina Interiano</b> <i>Bufete ECIJA Honduras</i>
<b>Jorge Luis Rodas Garcia</b> <i>Nexus Legal</i>	<b>Sergio Alejandro Peña Mandujano</b> <i>Corporacion FG Globalex</i>	<b>Kpana Emmanuel Bamba</b> <i>Ligue Guinéene des Droits de L'Homme</i>	<b>Stephen Roberts</b> <i>Hughes, Fields &amp; Stoby</i>	<b>Jorge Jesús Kawas Mejía</b> <i>K&amp;M Abogados, S.A.</i>
<b>Jorge Mario Andrino Grotewold</b>	<b>Vilma Chavez De Pop</b> <i>International Baby Food Action Network</i>	<b>Lamine 1 Nabe</b> <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Jorge Lopez</b> <i>Lopez &amp; Asociados, Attorneys at Law</i>
<b>José Miguel Argueta Bone</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Macky Thiam</b>	<b>Honduras</b>	<b>Jose Miguel Alvarez</b> <i>BLP</i>
<b>Juan Andrés Marroquín C.</b> <i>Carrillo &amp; Asociados</i>	<b>Guinea</b>	<b>Mahawa Sylla</b> <i>VIE+ (REGAP+)</i>	<b>Adolfo Pineda Padilla</b> <i>BLP</i>	<b>Juan José Alcerro Milla</b> <i>Aguilar Castillo Love</i>
<b>Juan Jose Porras Castillo</b> <i>GT Legal</i>	<b>Abdoulaye Korsé Balde</b> <i>Conseil National de l'Ordre des Medecins de Guinée</i>	<b>Mamadou Sanoussy Barry</b> <i>Cabinet d'Avocats BAO et Fils</i>	<b>Aida Lazarus</b> <i>HondurasLawyers</i>	<b>Lino Carmenate Millán</b> <i>Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo en Salud, Trabajo y Ambiente</i>
<b>Juan Pablo Carrasco de Groote</b> <i>Central Law</i>	<b>Adama Kourouma</b>	<b>Mandy Kader Konde</b> <i>Fondation Santé et Développement Durable</i>	<b>Allan Fernando Alvarenga Gradis</b> <i>Fundación San Alonso Rodríguez</i>	<b>Lisandro Valle Perez</b> <i>Hospital y Clinicas DIME, S.A.</i>
<b>Juan Sebastian Soto Lacape</b> <i>A.D. Sosa &amp; Soto</i>	<b>Adrien Tossa Montcho</b> <i>Mêmes Droits pour Tous</i>	<b>Oumar Baldé</b>	<b>Claribel Medina</b> <i>Central Law</i>	<b>Lurbín España</b> <i>Asociación Hombro a Hombro</i>
<b>Kate Flatley</b> <i>Women's Justice Initiative</i>	<b>Aimé Christophe Labilé Kone</b> <i>L'Étude Légale Labilé</i>	<b>Sékou Kouyaté</b> <i>Laboratoire d'Analyse Socio Anthropologique de Guinée</i>	<b>Claudia Marcela Midence Soto</b> <i>Arias Law</i>	<b>Marlon Jose Ortiz Maritnez</b> <i>Universidad Tecnológica Centroamericana</i>
<b>Lorena Marcucci de Galotta</b> <i>Bufete GR Legal</i>	<b>Aimé Raphael Haba</b> <i>Avocats Sans Frontières Guinée</i>	<b>Théodore Michel Loua</b> <i>Organisation Guinéenne de Defense des Droit de l'Homme</i>	<b>Daniela Puerto Irias</b> <i>Consultorio Legal Puerto</i>	<b>Marvin Rigoberto Espinal Pinel</b> <i>Marvin Espinal Law Firm</i>
<b>Luis Fernando Catalan Alvarez</b> <i>Hospital Nacional de Mazate</i>	<b>Ali Badara Bangoura</b> <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	<b>Thierno Amadou Fougoumba Barry</b> <i>Institut de Recherche sur la Démocratie et l'Etat de Droit</i>	<b>David Armando Urtecho López</b> <i>Universidad de Navarra</i>	<b>Miguel Armando Zapata Izaguirre</b> <i>García &amp; Bodán</i>
<b>Luis Pedro Cazali</b>	<b>Alpha Amadou D. S. Bah</b> <i>Organisation Guinéenne de Defense des Droit de l'Homme</i>	<b>Thierno Diafar Diallo</b> <i>Koûmy Law Firm</i>	<b>Dennis Emilio Hércules Rosa</b> <i>Bufete Melara &amp; Asociados</i>	<b>Milton Carcamo</b>
<b>Marcos Palma</b> <i>Integrum Law Firm</i>	<b>Amadou Babahein Camara</b> <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	<b>Thierno Souleymane Barry</b> <i>Coalition Guinéenne pour la Cour Penale Internationale et Autres Organismes</i>	<b>Eduardo René Rivera Mendoza</b> <i>Bufete ECIJA Honduras</i>	<b>Nidia Vanessa Lopez Alonzo</b> <i>Clinica Medica Privada</i>
<b>Mario Roberto Guadrón Rouanet</b> <i>Palomo y Porras</i>	<b>Balla Camara</b> <i>Association Guinéenne pour le Développement Intégral de l'Enfant et du Jeune</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Ely Abel Pinto Jimenez</b> <i>Bufete Abogado Pinto</i>	<b>Rafael Gomez Mateo</b> <i>Grupo Legalsa</i>
<b>Marvin Javier Dávila Villegas</b> <i>Universidad Rafael Landívar de Guatemala</i>	<b>David Beavogui</b> <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Emy Carolina Castellon Juarez</b> <i>Asociación Hombro a Hombro</i>	<b>Roberto Alejandro Williams Cruz</b> <i>Bufete ECIJA Honduras</i>
<b>Mynor García</b> <i>Carrillo &amp; Asociados</i>	<b>Fatoumata Binta Diallo</b>	<b>Guyana</b>	<b>Gabriela María Williams Cruz</b> <i>Bufete ECIJA Honduras</i>	<b>Roberto M. Zacarias</b> <i>Zacarias &amp; Asociados</i>
<b>Oscar Rivas Villanueva</b> <i>GR Legal</i>	<b>Fatoumta Baldé Yansané</b> <i>Coalition des Femmes Leaders de Guinée</i>	<b>Adrian Smith</b> <i>Toussaint Law Firm</i>	<b>J. Humberto Medina Alva</b> <i>Central Law</i>	<b>Roque Pascua Bográn</b> <i>Bufete Pascua &amp; Asociados</i>
<b>Pedro Mendoza Montano</b> <i>Iurisconsulti, Abogados y Notarios</i>	<b>Foromo Frédéric Loua</b> <i>Mêmes Droits pour Tous</i>	<b>Eva Scott</b>	<b>Johana Bermudez</b> <i>Universidad Autónoma de Honduras</i>	<b>Sandra Elizabeth Gomez Ventura</b> <i>Universidad Tecnológica Centroamericana</i>
<b>Raúl Bolaños del Aguila</b> <i>Escuela de Gobierno</i>	<b>Gilbert Tohon Camara</b> <i>Barreau de Guinée</i>	<b>Hari Ramkarran</b> <i>Cameron &amp; Shepherd</i>		
	<b>Joseph Kolèmou</b>	<b>Madan Rambaran</b>		

Sergio Sánchez A.  
*Colegio de Abogados de Honduras*

Valerya Theodoracopoulos  
*Arias Law*

Vanessa Oqueli  
*García & Bodán*

Anonymous Contributors

### Hong Kong SAR, China

Amirali B. Nasir  
*NASIRS*

David C. Donald  
*The Chinese University of Hong Kong*

James A. Rice

James L. W. Wong  
*Century Chambers*

Lam San Keung  
*Messrs. F. Zimmern & Co.*

Michael Chai  
*Bernacchi Chambers*

Michael Vidler  
*Vidler & Co. Solicitors*

P. Y. Lo  
*Gilt Chambers*

Paul Shieh

Philip Dykes  
*Bernacchi Chambers*

Rick Glofcheski  
*University of Hong Kong*

Shing Hing Ip  
*Hong Kong Law Society*

Tam Yat Hung  
*University of Hong Kong*

Anonymous Contributors

### Hungary

Ákos Bajorfi  
*Noerr & Partners Law Office*

Alexandra Bognar

András Jakab  
*University of Salzburg*

Balázs Tóth  
*Hungarian Helsinki Committee*

Dániel G. Szabó and Tamás Fazekas  
*Hungarian Helsinki Committee*

Gábor Baruch  
*Baruch Law Firm*

Krisztina Karsai  
*University of Szeged*

Orsolya Rác  
*Winkler and Partner Law Firm*

Reka Mezo

Viktor Oliver Lorincz  
*Hungarian Academy of Sciences*

Zsolt Zengődi

Anonymous Contributors

### India

Anil Fernandes  
*Anil Fernandes & Associates*

Anil Paleri

Anshul Prakash and Deeksha Malik  
*Khaitan & Co.*

Anupamaa V.  
*AVRC Legal; Dr. Kainth & Associates*

Aprajita Rana  
*AZB & Partners*

Ashok Ramgir  
*Harsh Impex*

Atul Sharma  
*Link Legal India Law Services*

Avik Biswas  
*IndusLaw*

Avimukt Dar  
*IndusLaw*

Bontha V. Babu  
*Indian Council of Medical Research*

Daya Krishan Mangal  
*IHM University*

Gauri Bhongale  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

Harsh Ramgir  
*Harsh Impex*

Ishwar Chandra Dwivedi  
*Police Uttar Pradesh*

Kartik Ganapathy  
*IndusLaw*

Kartikay Sharma  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

Keshao Patil  
*Tirpude College of Social Work*

Nirmal Kanti Chakrabarti  
*West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences*

P. Raviprasad  
*Tempus Law Associates*

Padmaja Kaul  
*IndusLaw*

Prakash Prabhakarrao Doke  
*Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University*

Rajas Kasbekar  
*CRK Legal*

S. Ramakrishnan

Sachin Bhakar  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

Sanjay Janardan Patil  
*BDH Industries Limited*

Sarojanand Jha  
*Vedanta Legal*

Satishchandra Kumar  
*University of Mumbai*

Saurabh Misra  
*Saurabh Misra & Associates, International Lawyers*

Shankar Das  
*Tata Institute of Social Sciences*

Siddhartha George  
*Poovayya & Co.*

Subhrarag Mukherjee  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

Uday Singh Ahlawat  
*Ahlawat & Associates*

Vasanthi Nimushakavi  
*National Academy of Legal Studies and Research*

Vidya Bhushan Rawat

Vijay Raghavan  
*Tata Institute of Social Sciences*

Vipender Mann  
*KNM & Partners, Law Offices*

Y. S. Kusuma  
*All India Institute of Medical Sciences*

Yashomati Ghosh  
*National Law School of India University*

Anonymous Contributors

### Indonesia

Agustinus Dawarja  
*LexRegis*

Alamo D. Laiman  
*Legisperitus Lawyers*

Alexandra Gerungan, Annisa Tharian, and Made Susanti  
*Makarim & Taira S.*

Diana Kusumasari  
*Yeo & Co. Law Firm*

Eddy M. Leks  
*Leks & Co.*

Felicia Tania  
*Legisperitus Lawyers*

Ferdinand Jullaga  
*Mochtar Karuwin Komar*

Hadi Pratomo  
*Universitas Indonesia*

Immanuel A. Indrawan  
*Indrawan Darsyah Santoso*

Jamin Ginting  
*JAT & Co. Law Firm*

Jardin Bahar

Mahesa Rumondor  
*Adnan Kelana Haryanto & Hermanto*

Maurice Maulana Situmorang  
*Dentons*

Noverizky Tri Putra Pasaribu  
*AM Oktarina Counsellors at Law*

Sandi Adila  
*Mochtar Karuwin Komar*

Sianti Candra  
*Podomoro University*

Sunardjo Sumargono  
*Law Office of Semar Suryakencana Cipta Justiceindo*

Tom Nugroho  
*LexSarya*

Tristam Pascal Moeliono  
*Catholic University of Parahyangan*

Anonymous Contributors

### Iran

Ahmad Daryani  
*Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences*

Anooshiravan Karimi  
*Karimi & Associates Law Firm*

Arash Izadi  
*Dr. Izadi & Associates*

Atiyeh Rezaei and Shirin O. Entezari  
*Dr. Entezari & Associates Law Firm*

Hamid Reza Bakhshi Moakher

Kamiar Alaei  
*Institute for International Health and Education*

Mehran Tamadonfar  
*University of Nevada*

Mohammad Rahmani  
*Bayan Emrooz International Law Firm*

Sanaz Alasti

Yahya Rayegani  
*Praelegal Iran*

Anonymous Contributors



## Italy

**Alberto Fantini**  
Studio Legale Tonucci & Partners

**Alessia Ottavia Cozzi**  
Area Science Park

**Anna Simonati**  
University of Trento

**Antonella Antonucci**  
Università Aldo Moro

**Antonio Cassatella**  
University of Trento

**Antonio Viscomi**  
University of Catanzaro

**Astolfo Di Amato**  
Astolfo Di Amato e Associati

**Elisa Stefanini**  
Studio Legale Portolano Cavallo

**Emanuele Cortesi**  
CMA Studio Legale

**Emanuele Scafato**

**Gherardo Carullo**  
University of Milan

**Gianfranco Di Garbo**  
Baker McKenzie

**Giovanni Nardulli**  
Legance - Avvocati Associati

**Giuseppe Lorenzo Rosa**

**Luigi Mori**  
BLR&M Studio Legale Associato

**Marco Esposito**  
Università di Napoli Parthenope

**Mariano Cingolani**  
University of Macerata

**Patrizia Magarò**  
Università degli Studi di Genova

**Patrizio Ivo D'Andrea**  
Università di Ferrara

**Pierpaolo Martucci**  
University of Trieste

**Pietro Faraguna**  
University of Trieste

**Riccardo Del Punta**  
University of Florence

**Roberto Bin**  
Università di Ferrara

**Roberto Ceccon**  
Aclaw Ceccon & Associati

**Roberto Rosapepe**  
University of Salerno

**Rocchina Staiano**  
Università di Teramo

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Jamaica

**Allan S. Wood**  
Livingston, Alexander & Levy

**Anthony Clayton**  
University of the West Indies

**Antoinette Barton-Gooden**  
University of the West Indies

**Audrey Brown**

**Donovan Jackson**  
Nunes Scholefield DeLeon & Co.

**Emile Leiba**  
DunnCox

**Gillian Mullings**  
Naylor and Mullings

**Hilary Robertson-Hickling**  
University of the West Indies

**J. Peter Figueroa**  
University of the West Indies

**Jacqueline D. Goulbourne**  
University of the West Indies

**Jodi Ann Paulwell**  
Office of the Cabinet

**Kevin O. Powell**  
Hylton Powell, Attorneys-at-Law

**Laura D. Richards**  
University of the West Indies

**Nadine C. Atkinson-Flowers**  
Law Offices of Nadine C. Atkinson-Flowers

**Narda Graham-Laird**  
DunnCox

**Sonia D. Gatchair**  
University of the West Indies

**Vincent A. Chen**  
Chen Green & Co.

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Japan

**Akifumi Mochizuki**  
Shizuoka Prefecture Bar Association

**Emi Uchida**  
Atsumi & Sakai

**Junko Ogushi**  
Atsumi & Sakai

**Junko Suetomi**  
Baker McKenzie; Waseda University

**Kaoru Takamatsu**  
Hayabusa Asuka Law Offices

**Mitsunari Taketani**  
Tagawa Law Office

**Naritaka Tomoeda**  
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

**Nobuo Koinuma**  
Tohoku Medical and Pharmaceutical University

**Shigeji Ishiguro**  
Oguri & Ishiguro Law Office

**Tomohisa Muranushi**  
Baker McKenzie

**Toshiaki Higashi**  
University of Occupational and Environmental Health

**Yasuyuki Suzuki**  
Hayabusa Asuka Law Offices

**Yohei Suda**  
The Law Office of Yohei Suda

**Yoshiyuki Sato**  
Kobe-Ekimae Law Office

**Yosuke Shimamura**  
Shimamura Law Office

**Yuichiro Sato**  
Tokyo Gakugei University

**Yukinori Hashida**  
Kodera Matsuda Law Office

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Jordan

**Abdullah AL-Nawayseh**  
Mu'tah University

**Ali M. Aldabbas**  
University of Petra

**Bashar H. Malkawi**  
University of Jordan

**Farah Al-Majali**  
International Consolidated For Legal Consultations

**George Hazboun**  
International Consolidated For Legal Consultations

**Hisham A. Kassim**

**Kamal Jamal Awad Alawamleh**  
University of Petra

**Khaled J. Atwan**  
Atwan & Partners Attorneys and Legal Consultants

**Laith Nasrawin**  
Nasrawin Law Office

**Motasem Mushasha**  
Al al-Bayt University

**Muneera Al-Sada**  
Abdullah & Partners Law Firm

**Omar Qouteshat**

**Rasha Laswi**  
Zalloum and Laswi Law Firm

**Yousef Saleh Khader**  
Jordan University of Science and Technology

**Zaid Muhmoud Agaileh**  
Mu'tah University

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Kazakhstan

**Amangeldy Shormanbayev**  
International Legal Initiative

**Anargul Kuntuganova**  
Nazarbayev University

**Annel Shvechikhina**  
Unicase LLP

**Arlan Yerzhanov**  
PricewaterhouseCoopers Tax & Advisory LLP

**Artem Timoshenko**  
Unicase LLP

**Aybek Kambaliyev**  
Unicase LLP

**Dmitry Chumakov**  
Sayat Zholshy and Partners

**Evgeny Zhovtis**  
Kazakhstan International Bureau for Human Rights and Rule of Law

**Gulzhan Chimbayeva**  
Green Clinic

**Kopzhan Musrepov**  
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP

**Nazym Argynbay**  
SIGNUM Law Firm

**Nurzhan Manasov**

**Nurzhan Stamkulov**  
Synergy Partners Law Firm

**Roman Podoprigora**  
Caspian Public University

**Timur Yerjanov**  
Al-Farabi Kazakh National University

**Yerzhan Toktarov**  
Sayat Zholshy and Partners

**Zaira Kaysar**  
GRATA International

**Zhanat Alimanov**  
KIMEP University

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Kenya

**Aabid Ahmed**  
Bomu Hospital

<b>Abdulhafeez Noorani</b> <i>Daly &amp; Inamdar, Advocates</i>	<b>Hiram Nyaburi</b> <i>Iseme, Kamau &amp; Maema Advocates</i>	<b>Alban Muriqi</b> <i>The Kosova Rehabilitation Centre for Torture Victims</i>	<b>Sahit Bajraktari</b> <i>Transformational Leadership Program Alumni Association</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Aisha Abdallah</b> <i>Anjarwalla &amp; Khanna Advocates</i>	<b>Jeremmy Okonjo</b> <i>Transnational Legal Ltd.</i>	<b>Albana Rexha</b>	<b>Shpresa Ibrahim</b>	<b>Lebanon</b>
<b>Atiq S. Anjarwalla</b> <i>AC&amp;H Legal Consultants</i>	<b>Lattif Shaban</b> <i>Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims</i>	<b>Arnis Dumani</b>	<b>Shprese Haxhijaj</b> <i>Assembly of the Republic of Kosovo</i>	<b>Antoine G. Ghafari</b> <i>Ghafari &amp; Associates Law Firm</i>
<b>Benjamin Musau</b> <i>BM Musau &amp; Co., Advocates</i>	<b>Lyla Latif</b> <i>University of Nairobi</i>	<b>Basri Kastrati</b> <i>Victims' Advocacy and Assistance Office, Chief State Prosecution Office</i>	<b>Urim Pozhegu</b> <i>Urim Pozhegu Law Office</i>	<b>Elias Chalhoub</b> <i>The Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity</i>
<b>Beryl Orai</b> <i>Kenya National Commission on Human Rights</i>	<b>Patrick Rugo</b> <i>Muthoga Gaturu &amp; Co. Advocates</i>	<b>Besfort Rrecaj</b> <i>University of Prishtina</i>	<b>Urim Vokshi</b> <i>Vokshi &amp; Lata Law Firm</i>	<b>Elias Matar</b> <i>Lex &amp; Co. Legal Counsels</i>
<b>Cecil Yongo Abungu</b> <i>Strathmore University</i>	<b>Peter Gachuhi</b> <i>Kaplan &amp; Stratton Advocates</i>	<b>Doarsa Kica</b>	<b>Valon Hasani</b>	<b>Hala Tyan</b> <i>Awada Tyan Law Firm</i>
<b>Christine Mukami Murangi</b> <i>Iseme, Kamau &amp; Maema Advocates</i>	<b>Peter Wendoh</b>	<b>Durim Llugiqi</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Jihad Irani</b> <i>University of Balamand</i>
<b>Dennis Mung'ata</b> <i>Gichimu Mungata Advocates</i>	<b>Regina Opondo</b> <i>Constitution and Reform Education Consortium</i>	<b>Ehat Miftaraj</b> <i>Kosovo Law Institute</i>	<b>Kyrgyz Republic</b>	<b>Joelle Choueifati</b>
<b>Donald W. Kaniaru</b> <i>Kaniaru &amp; Kaniaru Advocates</i>	<b>Remigeo P. Mugambi</b> <i>Muthoga Gaturu &amp; Co. Advocates</i>	<b>Ermir Ahmetaj</b> <i>AEX Law LLC</i>	<b>Aicholpon Alieva (Jorupbekova)</b> <i>Kalikova &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Joelle Khater</b> <i>Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm</i>
<b>Edwin Ochieng' Otongo</b> <i>Mwagambo &amp; Okonjo Advocates</i>	<b>Ronald Rogo</b> <i>University of Nairobi</i>	<b>Fatmire Haliti</b> <i>The Kosova Rehabilitation Centre for Torture Victims</i>	<b>Ainura Osmonalieva</b> <i>Legal Clinic Adilet</i>	<b>Khatoun Haidar</b> <i>Synergy-Takamol</i>
<b>Elizabeth Wangari Odhiambo</b> <i>University of Nairobi</i>	<b>Sammy T. Nyambari</b> <i>Productivity Management Insistute</i>	<b>Fisnik Salihu</b> <i>RPHS Law Firm</i>	<b>Alexander Orehov</b>	<b>Mohamad Ziad Ramadan</b> <i>Elaref International Law Office</i>
<b>Elly Nyaim Opot</b> <i>University of Nairobi</i>	<b>Samson Opundo</b> <i>Opundo &amp; Associates Advocates</i>	<b>Fjorda Vllasolli Avdiu</b> <i>Kosovo Law Institute</i>	<b>Anastasia Volosatova</b> <i>Veritas Law Firm</i>	<b>Nada Hachem</b> <i>Law Office Hachem</i>
<b>Ezra Makori</b> <i>Dentons Hamilton Harrison &amp; Mathews</i>	<b>Thomas N. Maosa</b> <i>Maosa and Company Advocates</i>	<b>Florentina Grubi-Vula</b>	<b>Azamat Kerimbaev</b> <i>ABA Rule of Law Initiative</i>	<b>Nadim Abboud</b> <i>Abboud and Associates Law Firm</i>
<b>Fidelis Marabu Limo</b> <i>Limo &amp; Njoroge Advocates</i>	<b>Wilfred M. Ngugi</b> <i>Chiuri Kirui &amp; Rugo, Advocates</i>	<b>Gjylbehare Bella Murati</b> <i>University Haxhi Zeka</i>	<b>Elida K. Nogoibaeva</b> <i>American University in Central Asia</i>	<b>Nadim Abboud</b> <i>Abboud and Associates Law Firm</i>
<b>Francis Gichuhi Kamau</b>	<b>Wilson Mulei Marotse</b> <i>Lepact LLP &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Gjyljeta Mushkolaj</b> <i>University of Prishtina</i>	<b>Kerim Begaliev</b> <i>Centil Law Firm</i>	<b>Pierre Obeid</b> <i>University of Balamand</i>
<b>Fred Ondieki Mogotu</b> <i>Anjarwalla &amp; Khanna Advocates</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Halim Bajraktari</b> <i>University Ukshin Hoti Prizren</i>	<b>Magomed Saaduev</b> <i>Kalikova &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Rihab About Hosn</b>
<b>Gilda Odera</b> <i>Federation of Kenya Employers</i>	<b>Adelina R. Hoxha</b> <i>Avokatura</i>	<b>Hava Ismajli</b>	<b>Nurzhan Bostonova</b> <i>Sentil Law Firm</i>	<b>Roula Zayat</b> <i>The Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity</i>
<b>Harrison Mbori</b> <i>Strathmore University</i>	<b>Adnan Bozalija</b> <i>University of Prishtina</i>	<b>Klit Shala</b> <i>Fisnik Salihu &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Saltanat Moldoisaeva</b> <i>Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic University</i>	<b>Salah Mattar</b> <i>Mattar Law Firm</i>
<b>Hilda Njoroge</b> <i>Limo &amp; Njoroge Advocates</i>	<b>Ahmet Kasumi</b>	<b>Kushtrim Shaipi</b> <i>IQ Consulting</i>	<b>Sanzhar Alashev</b> <i>GRATA International</i>	<b>Sheryne Koteiche</b> <i>Awada Tyan Law Firm</i>
	<b>Alban Krasniqi</b>	<b>Leutrim Sylja</b>	<b>Taalalbek Tursunovich Shamurzaev</b> <i>Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic University</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
		<b>Mervete Shala</b> <i>College Dukagjini-UBT</i>	<b>Valentin Chernyshev</b> <i>Kumtor Gold Company</i>	<b>Liberia</b>
		<b>Muhamet Bytyqi</b> <i>Assembly of the Republic of Kosovo</i>	<b>Zhanyl Abdrakhmanova</b> <i>Centil Law Firm</i>	<b>Alexandra Kormah Zoe</b> <i>Law Offices of Zoe and Partners</i>
		<b>Qerim Qerimi</b> <i>University of Prishtina</i>		<b>Betty Lamin-Blamo</b> <i>Lex Group Liberia, LLC</i>

<b>Fatu Maima Kamara Foster</b>	<b>Andrianaivo Zo Rakotoarisoa</b> <i>Tribunal de Travail d'Antananarivo</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>R. Usha Devi</b> <i>R. Usha Devi &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Famousa Keita</b> <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Famousa Keita</i>
<b>Hannan J. Bestman</b> <i>SpaLife By Jenneh</i>	<b>Andry Herisoa Andrianasolo</b> <i>Institut International des Sciences Sociales</i>	<b>Malawi</b>	<b>S. B. Cheah</b> <i>S. B. Cheah &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Fousseyni Dembele</b> <i>Centre Nationale d'Appui à la Lutte contre la Maladie</i>
<b>Ivan F. Camanor</b>	<b>Andry Randriaminosoa</b> <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	<b>Adamson Muula</b> <i>University of Malawi</i>	<b>Saw Tiong Guan</b> <i>University of Malaya</i>	<b>Harouna Diallo</b> <i>Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako</i>
<b>J. Awia Vankan</b> <i>Heritage Partners &amp; Associates, Inc.</i>	<b>Aviva Ramanitra</b> <i>Lexel Juridique et Fiscal</i>	<b>Anthony J. Malunga</b> <i>For Generations Institute</i>	<b>Sharon Kaur</b> <i>University of Malaya</i>	<b>Issiaka Sanogo</b> <i>Barreau du Mali</i>
<b>Jallah A. Barbu</b> <i>Institute for Constitutional Research, Policy &amp; Strategic Development</i>	<b>Bakoly Rakotomalala</b>	<b>Bruno P. Matumbi</b> <i>Excellence Law Partners</i>	<b>Sivalal Sadasivan</b> <i>Monash University Malaysia</i>	<b>Kadidiatou Bouare</b> <i>Université de Droit Bamako-Mali</i>
<b>James C. R. Flomo</b> <i>Public Defenders' Program of Liberia</i>	<b>Chantal Razafinarivo</b> <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	<b>Chikosa Mozesi Silungwe</b> <i>Mizumali Foundation</i>	<b>Sujata Balan</b> <i>University of Malaya</i>	<b>Karamoko Nimaga</b>
<b>John Kamma</b> <i>Citizens Bureau for Development and Productivity</i>	<b>Fahafahantsoa Rapelanoro Rabenja</b> <i>Université d'Antananarivo</i>	<b>Fresier Chidyanga-Maseko</b> <i>University of Malawi</i>	<b>Wah-Yun Low</b> <i>University of Malaya</i>	<b>Khadidjatou Toure</b> <i>Santé et Développement</i>
<b>Kanio Bai Gbala</b> <i>University of Liberia</i>	<b>Jean Pierre Rakotovo</b> <i>Jhpiego</i>	<b>George Naphambo</b> <i>Naphambo and Company</i>	<b>Yusramizza Md Isa</b> <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	<b>Lassana Diakite</b>
<b>Lamii Kpargoi</b> <i>The Justice Initiative</i>	<b>Lala Ratsiharovala</b> <i>Ministère de la Justice</i>	<b>Justin G. K. Dzonzi</b> <i>Kainja &amp; Dzonzi Attorneys at Law</i>	<b>Zainal Amin Ayub</b> <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	<b>Mamadou Ismaila Konate</b> <i>Jurifis Consult</i>
<b>Lucia D. S. Gbala</b> <i>Heritage Partners &amp; Associates, Inc.</i>	<b>Léonard Velozandry</b> <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	<b>Khumbo Bonzoe Soko</b> <i>Soko &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Mamadou Moustapha Sow</b> <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Sow &amp; Associes</i>
<b>Martha N. Zarway</b> <i>Kingdom Care Medical Foundation</i>	<b>Nelly Rakotobe Ralambondrainy</b> <i>Ministère de la Justice</i>	<b>Marshal Chileng</b> <i>T.F. and Partners</i>	<b>Mali</b>	<b>Moussa Oumar Sow</b> <i>Wac Partners</i>
<b>Mohamed K. Mansalay</b> <i>Plan International</i>	<b>Njivasoa Nathalie Rambelason</b> <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	<b>Patrick Mphatso Chinguwo</b>	<b>Abdou Doumbia</b> <i>Ordre des Pharmaciens</i>	<b>Omorou Toure</b> <i>Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako</i>
<b>Moses B. F. Massaquoi</b> <i>Clinton Health Access Initiative</i>	<b>Olivia Rajerison</b> <i>Cabinet Rajerison</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Abdoul Kader Siby</b> <i>Université de Droit Bamako-Mali</i>	<b>Ousmane Sylla</b> <i>Ministère de la Sante et des Affaires Sociales</i>
<b>Nelson S. Weh, Jr.</b> <i>Citizens Bureau for Development and Productivity</i>	<b>Rakotonomenjanahary</b> <b>Cabinet d'Avocats</b>	<b>Malaysia</b>	<b>Abdoul Kassoum Maiga</b> <i>Children's International Summer Villages</i>	<b>Samba Baba N'diaye</b> <i>Barreau du Mali</i>
<b>Peter Hne Wilson</b> <i>U.S. African Development Foundation</i>	<b>Rija Ramarijaona</b> <i>Prime Lex</i>	<b>Ahmad Shamsul Abd Aziz</b> <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	<b>Abdoulaye Guimba Ouane</b> <i>Wac Partners</i>	<b>Seydou Doumbia</b> <i>Avocats Sans Frontieres-Mali; Barreau du Mali</i>
<b>Stephen J. C. S. Kai</b> <i>Institute for Constitutional Research, Policy &amp; Strategic Development; Public Interest Law Office</i>	<b>Riki Rakotobe</b> <i>Cabinet d'Avocat Associé Alex Rafamatanantsoa et Associé</i>	<b>Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed</b> <i>International Islamic University Malaysia</i>	<b>Abdoulaye Thera</b> <i>Centre Social</i>	<b>Zakaria Keita</b>
<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Rojo Randrianarivony Ralitera</b> <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	<b>Chew Phye Keat</b> <i>Raja, Darryl &amp; Loh</i>	<b>Aboubacar Souleymane Diarra</b> <i>Barreau du Mali</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Madagascar</b>	<b>Solo Ratrimoarivony</b> <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	<b>Donovan Cheah</b> <i>Donovan &amp; Ho</i>	<b>Alhassane Soukouna</b> <i>Vaughan Avocats</i>	<b>Mauritania</b>
<b>Alain Ramanarivo</b> <i>Barreau de Madagascar</i>	<b>Tino Harvel Razafinimanana</b>	<b>Harlida Abdul Wahab</b> <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	<b>Alioune Badara Diallo</b>	<b>Brahim Diarra</b>
<b>Alphonse Anatole</b>	<b>Toki Ramilison</b>	<b>Khadijah Mohamed</b> <i>Universiti Utara Malaysia</i>	<b>Aly Soumountera</b> <i>Wale Action Sante Population</i>	<b>Cheikh Abdellahi Ahmed Babou</b>
	<b>Tsarazara Andrianasoavina</b>	<b>Mahadirin Hj Ahmad</b> <i>Universiti Malaysia Sabah</i>	<b>Amadou Ongoiba</b>	<b>Deya Ahmed Bezeid</b>
		<b>Mohd Munzil Muhamad</b> <i>Multimedia University</i>	<b>Daouda Ba</b> <i>Vaughan Avocats</i>	
		<b>Norhisham Abd Bahrin</b> <i>Azmi &amp; Associates</i>		

**El Moustapha Attighe**  
ONUSIDA

**Hademine Saleck Ely**  
*The Imams and Ulema Coalition for the Rights of Women and Children in Mauritania*

**Jemal Mohamed El Hady**  
*CCM Mauritanie; Fonds Mondial*

**Mohamed Ahmed Oubid**

**Mohamed Dah Abdelkader**  
*Centre Mauritanien de Recherche et d'Etudes Juridiques, Economiques et Sociales*

**Mohamed Ould Moctar**

**Mohamed Sid'Ahmed Meinouh**  
*Barreau de Mauritanie*

**Mohamed Sidi Abderrahmane Brahim**

**Mouloud Mohamed**  
*Reseau des PVVIH*

**Ould Abed Aily**  
*Association Développement Communautaire et Santé*

**Saidou Ly**  
*Cabinet Maitre LY Saidou*

**Zeinebou Taleb Moussa**  
*Association Mauritanienne pour la Santé de la Mère et de l'enfant*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Mauritius

**Abdullah Yusuf Ali Bauluck**  
*Bibi Chambers*

**Bertrand Cheung**

**Brigitte Michel**  
*Aides Infos Liberte Espoir et Solidarite*

**Cindy Trevedy**  
*Fond Mondial Maurice*

**Daya Auckloo**

**Deepti Bismohun**  
*ENSAfrica*

**H. S. Bunjun**  
*Dabee & Bunjun Chambers*

**Iqbal Rajahbalee**  
*BLC Robert & Associates*

**Javed Niamut**  
*BLC Robert & Associates*

**Krishan M. Beeharry**

**Mohamad Fawzi Mahomoodally**  
*University of Mauritius*

**Mohammad Nawaz Dookhee**

**Ruwaydah Jaunbacus**  
*Uteem Chambers*

**Sanjay Bhuckory**  
*Bhuckory Chambers*

**Yousuf Ali Azaree**  
*MC Law Offices*

**Yves Hein**  
*Hein Chambers*

**Zareena Tawheen Choomka**  
*Mauritius Bar Council*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Mexico

**Alejandra Moreno Altamirano**  
*Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México*

**Alfonso Rodriguez-Arana**  
*Legalmex, S.C.*

**Anais Cortes Escamilla**  
*Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública de México*

**Angel Delfino Gómez Lizárraga**  
*Secretaría de Salud, Ciudad de México*

**Angelica Angeles-Llerenas**  
*Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública de México*

**Carlos de Buen Unna**  
*Bufete de Buen, S.C.*

**Carolina Ramos Ballesteros**  
*Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.*

**Centro de Derechos Humanos Miguel Agustín Pro Juárez**

**Christian Alan Bello Melchor**  
*Notarías Publicas 92 & 145*

**Christian Serna**  
*Serna Asociados Abogados*

**Cristina Sánchez Urtiz**  
*Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.*

**Daniel Cruz González**  
*Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México*

**Diana Ivette Fuentes Ulloa**  
*GR&ND Asociados*

**Enrique Camarena Domínguez**  
*Maqueo Barnetche, Aguilar & Camarena*

**Franz E. Oberarzbacher**  
*Centro de Innovación para el Acceso a la Justicia; Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México*

**Gerardo Moheno Gallardo**  
*Moreno Rodriguez y Asoc.*

**Gilberto Miguel Valle Zulbarán**  
*Basham Ringe y Correa, S.C.*

**Guillermo A. Gatt Corona**  
*Gatt Corona y Abogados Asociados, S.C.; Universidad Jesuita de Guadalajara; Universidad Panamericana*

**Guillermo Piecarchic**  
*PMC Group, S.C.*

**Héctor Ávila Rosas**  
*Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México*

**Héctor González Schmal**  
*Torres Morante Abogados*

**Hugo Hernández-Ojeda Alviréz**  
*Hogan Lovells*

**Jose Alberto Campos Vargas**  
*Sanchez Devanny, Eseverri, S.C.*

**José Antonio Montoya Martínez**  
*Centro Nacional de Equidad de Género y Salud Reproductiva*

**Juan Carlos Tornel**  
*Baker McKenzie*

**Juan Manuel Juarez Meza**  
*Contramar Abogados*

**Karen Lizbeth Franco Díaz**  
*Centro Nacional de Equidad de Género y Salud Reproductiva*

**Luciano Mendoza Cruz**  
*Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México*

**Luis Raymundo Lozano Juárez**  
*Instituto Nacional de Geriatria*

**Marco Antonio González Reynoso**  
*GR&ND Asociados*

**Mario Alberto Rocha**  
*PricewaterhouseCoopers*

**Mayra Quintero**  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

**Miguel Aarón Moreno Jiménez**  
*Centro Nacional de Equidad de Género y Salud Reproductiva*

**Miguel Ángel Torres Hernández**  
*Maqueo Barnetche, Aguilar & Camarena*

**Mónica Jazmín Martínez Pérez**  
*Fundación Civitas, A.C.*

**Monica Schiaffino**  
*Littler Mexico, S.C.*

**Oliva López Arellano**  
*Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco*

**Pablo Nosti Herrera**  
*Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.*

**Sergio López Moreno**  
*Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco*

**Silvano Cantu**  
*Laboratorio de Innovación para la Paz*

**Sofía Alejandra Aguirre Peña**  
*Moreno Rodriguez y Asoc.*

**Victor Manuel Ortega Gonzalez**  
*Machuzatic International Trade S.A. de C.V.*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Moldova

**Adrian Belii**  
*Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy*

**Alexei Croitor**

**Ana Ciobanu**  
*University Clinic for Primary Health Care*

**Baciu Inga**  
*Universitatea de Stat Alecu Russo Bălți*

**Carmanschi Vitalie**

**Corina Oprea**  
*Efrim, Roșca și Asociații Law Firm*

**Cristina Copaceanu**  
*Universitatea de Studii Politice și Economice Europene "Constantin Stere"*

**Cuznetov Alexandru**  
*State University of Moldova*

**Ecaterina Nac and Roger Gladei**  
*Gladei & Partners*

**Galina Obreja**  
*Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy*

**Ghenadie Țurcanu**  
*Center for Health Policies and Studies*

**Ion Guzun**  
*The Legal Resources Centre from Moldova*

**Iulia Furtuna**  
*Turcan Cazac Law Firm*

**Lilia Carasciuc**  
*Transparency International*

**Liliana Domete**

<b>Mihail Durnescu</b>	<b>Enkhtur Demberelsuren</b> <i>MahoneyLiotta LLP</i>	<b>Lhassan M'Barki</b> <i>Center South for Studies and Sustainable Development</i>	<b>Gilda A. Jossias</b> <i>Associação Moçambicana de Desenvolvimento Concertado</i>	<b>U Mya Thein</b> <i>U Mya Thein &amp; Legal Group</i>
<b>Natalia Molosag</b> <i>Union of Lawyers of the Republic of Moldova, Chisinau Bar</i>	<b>Gerelmaa Sandui</b> <i>Umug Kholch Partners LLP</i>	<b>Mimoun Charqi</b> <i>Charqi Lex Consulting</i>	<b>Gimina Mahumana Langa</b> <i>SAL &amp; Caldeira Advogados, Lda.</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Nelea Prodan</b> <i>National Centre of Pre-Hospital Emergency Medical Assistance</i>	<b>Indermohan S. Narula</b>	<b>Mohamed Baske Manar</b> <i>Université Cadi Ayyad de Marrakech</i>	<b>Jennifer Gilda Arnaldo</b> <i>Fernanda Lopes Advogados &amp; Associados</i>	<b>Namibia</b>
<b>Seghei Cozma</b> <i>Serghei Cozma Law Firm</i>	<b>Khishigsaikhan Batchuluun</b> <i>Open Society Forum</i>	<b>Mohamed Nakhli</b> <i>Université Cadi Ayyad de Marrakech</i>	<b>Joaquim Lourenco Uate</b> <i>Associacao Ntumbuluku</i>	<b>Clement Daniels</b>
<b>Sergiu Ursu</b> <i>Moldova State University</i>	<b>Khunan Jargalsaikhan</b> <i>Mongolian Bar Association</i>	<b>Mohamed Salmi</b> <i>Justice et Spiritualité</i>	<b>Luís Sáragga Leal</b> <i>PLMJ Advogados, SP, RL</i>	<b>Eliaser Nekwaya</b>
<b>Sonsa Boris</b>	<b>Luke Lkhaasuren</b> <i>Agaa &amp; Partners LLP</i>	<b>Mustapha Assouane</b> <i>Université Ibn Zohr</i>	<b>Miguel Spínola</b> <i>PLMJ Advogados, SP, RL</i>	<b>Florís Coetzee</b> <i>Fisher, Quarumby &amp; Pfeifer</i>
<b>Spinei Larisa</b> <i>Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy</i>	<b>Munkhdorj Badral</b> <i>Mongol-Advocates LLP</i>	<b>Naouazili My Driss</b>	<b>Neylla Gulamhussen</b>	<b>Nambili T. K. Shipena</b> <i>Namibia Special Risks Insurance Association Limited</i>
<b>Svetlana Doltu</b> <i>The Council for Preventing and Eliminating Discrimination and Ensuring Equality; The Council for the Prevention of Torture</i>	<b>Nomingerel Khuuy</b>	<b>Nassri Ilham</b> <i>Ministère de la Santé</i>	<b>Pedro Macaringue</b> <i>Pedro Macaringue &amp; Advogados Associados</i>	<b>Nawala Kamati</b> <i>Engling, Stritter &amp; Partners</i>
<b>Vasile Gherasim</b> <i>Popa &amp; Associates</i>	<b>Solongoo Bayarsaikhan</b> <i>Avinex Partners LLP</i>	<b>Nesrine Roudane</b> <i>Roudane &amp; Partners Law Firm</i>	<b>Rafael Neves Banguine</b> <i>SAL &amp; Caldeira Advogados, Lda.</i>	<b>Norman Tjombe</b> <i>Tjombe-Elago Incorporated</i>
<b>Viorel Plopa</b> <i>A.O. Centrul Pentru Securitate Juridică a Informației</i>	<b>Zanaa Jurmed</b>	<b>Omar Mahmoud Bendjelloun</b>	<b>Rafique de Albuquerque</b> <i>Fernanda Lopes Advogados &amp; Associados</i>	<b>Petrine Mwachina Hango</b> <i>Dr. Weder, Kauta &amp; Hoveka Inc.</i>
<b>Viorel V. Berliba</b> <i>Berliba, Ungurean și Partenerii</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Rachid Attahir</b> <i>Université Hassan 1er</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Toni Hancox</b> <i>Legal Assistance Centre</i>
<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Morocco</b>	<b>Tarik Mossadek</b> <i>Université Hassan 1er</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Yvonne Dausab</b> <i>Law Reform and Development Commission</i>
<b>Baasanjargal Khurelbaatar</b> <i>ELC Advocates LLP</i>	<b>Abdelaziz Bakkali</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Myanmar</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Badamragchaa Purevdorj</b> <i>Open Society Forum</i>	<b>Abdelghani Khannous</b> <i>Center South for Studies and Sustainable Development</i>	<b>Alexandre Chivale</b> <i>Alexandre Chivale &amp; Associados</i>	<b>Alfred Boima Hill</b> <i>International Development Law Organization</i>	<b>Nepal</b>
<b>Bayar Budragchaa</b> <i>ELC Advocates LLP</i>	<b>Abdellah Bakkali</b> <i>Bakkali Law Firm</i>	<b>Almamater Tamele</b> <i>BTA Advogados, LDA.</i>	<b>Caitlin Reiger</b> <i>MyJustice</i>	<b>Aakriti Khanal</b> <i>Sinha Verma Law Concern</i>
<b>David C. Buxbaum</b> <i>Anderson &amp; Anderson LLP</i>	<b>Ali Badi</b> <i>Association Nationale pour la Défense des Droits de l'Homme au Maroc</i>	<b>Belisário Tamele</b> <i>BTA Advogados, LDA.</i>	<b>Kari A. Rotkin</b> <i>Justice Base</i>	<b>Ananta Raj Luitel</b> <i>Constitutional Lawyers' Forum; Supreme Court of Nepal</i>
<b>Dorjdamba Zumberelkham</b>	<b>Ali Lachgar Essahili</b> <i>Ali Lachgar Essahili Law Firm</i>	<b>César Carlos Alberto Francisco Vamos Ver</b> <i>SAL &amp; Caldeira Advogados, Lda.</i>	<b>Lucy Wayne &amp; Associates</b>	<b>Apurba Khatiwada</b> <i>Prudent Legal Services</i>
<b>Dugerjav D.</b> <i>MDS &amp; KhanLex LLP</i>	<b>Azzedine Kettani</b> <i>Hassan II University in Casablanca; Kettani Law Firm</i>	<b>Diana Paredes e Ramalho</b> <i>SAL &amp; Caldeira Advogados, Lda.</i>	<b>Min Thein</b> <i>Rajha and Tann NK Legal</i>	<b>Bijaya Kumar Basnet</b> <i>Heritage Law Firm</i>
	<b>Elbachir Aaddi</b> <i>Université Ibn Zohr</i>	<b>Dimétrio Raul Manjate</b>	<b>Myat Ko</b> <i>Justice Base</i>	<b>Bishnu Luitel</b> <i>BG Law Foundation</i>
	<b>Hicham El Boukfaoui</b>	<b>Faizal Jusob</b> <i>Couto, Graça &amp; Associados</i>	<b>Nickey Diamond</b> <i>Fortify Rights</i>	<b>Bishwa Nath Khanal</b> <i>Prithivi Legal Service</i>
	<b>Khachai Abdelmajid</b>		<b>Scott Ciment</b> <i>United Nations Development Programme</i>	<b>Budhi Karki</b>
	<b>Khayi Yousra</b>			<b>Daksha Bahadur Chhetri</b> <i>Neupane Law Associates</i>

**Jyoti Poudel**  
*Jyoti Poudel and Associates*

**Kalyan Pokhrel**  
*F-Dimensional Legal Services and Research Centre Pvt. Ltd.*

**Maskey Mathura Kumar**  
*SBT Law Associates*

**Meen Poudyal Chhetri**  
*Nepal Center for Disaster Management*

**Mukunda Prasad Paudel**  
*National Law Chamber*

**Nil Mani Upadhyay**  
*National Medical College*

**Prabin Subedi**  
*Paramount Legal Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.*

**Prashanna Shrestha**  
*Pradhan & Associates*

**Rabin Subedi**  
*National Law College*

**Rudra Sharma**  
*Transnational Law House*

**Rukamane Mahajan**  
*Tribhuvan University*

**Sambidha Sharma**  
*Pradhan & Associates*

**Sangha Ratna Bajracharya**  
*Tribhuvan University*

**Satish Adhikari**  
*Perennial Legal Services*

**Shital Subedi**

**Shiva Prasad Rijal**  
*Pioneer Law Associates*

**Shringa Rishi Kafle**  
*Merit Legal Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.*

**Shyam Kumar Khatri**  
*Kathmandu Legals*

**Subarna K. Khatri**  
*Nepal Nutrition Intervention Project*

**Sudeep Gautam**

**Sushila Subedi**  
*PSM Global Consultants P. Ltd.*

**Tejman Shrestha**  
*Tribhuvan University*

**Tek Tamata**  
*United Nations Development Programme*

**Upendra Raj Dulal**  
*Sinha Verma Law Concern*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## **Netherlands**

**Arnold C. Hoegen Dijkhof**  
*Hoegen Dijkhof Dutch Business Lawyers*

**Arnold Versteeg**  
*Macro & Versteeg Advocaten*

**Barbalique Peters**

**Eugenie Nunes**  
*Dentons*

**Gabriel Meijers**  
*Meijers Canatan Advocaten*

**Hansko Broeksteeg**  
*Radboud Universiteit*

**Henk Snijders**  
*University of Leiden*

**Jaap-Willem Roozmond**  
*Roozmond [+] De Haan Advocaten*

**Jacqueline van den Bosch**  
*IVY Advocaten*

**Jolanda Meeuwissen**  
*Netherlands Institute of Mental Health and Addiction (Trimbos Institute)*

**Joost Italianer**  
*NautaDutilh*

**Joseph J. van Dort**  
*Van Dort Advocatuur*

**Léon Graal**  
*Sarfaty Advocaten*

**N. P. Scholte**  
*Advocatenkantoor Scholte*

**Reinier W. L. Russell**  
*Russell Advocaten*

**Simon van der Sluijs**  
*Van Diepen Van der Kroef Advocaten*

**Steven Jellinghaus**  
*De Voort Advocaten| Mediators; Tilburg University*

**Thomas Timmermans**  
*Norton Rose Fulbright LLP*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## **New Zealand**

**Alan Knowsley**  
*Rainey Collins Lawyers*

**Andrew Schulte**  
*Cavell Leitch*

**Austin Forbes**  
*Clarendon Chambers*

**Bennet Castelino**  
*Castlefinn Law*

**Brenda Midson**  
*University of Waikato*

**Campbell Roberts**  
*The Salvation Army*

**Charl Hirschfeld**  
*Ranfurlly Chambers*

**Colin Henry**

**D. J. Lyon**  
*Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers*

**Elcel Nerida**  
*Amicus Law*

**Frances Joychild**

**Francisc Catalin Deliu Gay Morgan**  
*University of Waikato*

**Gordon Anderson**  
*Victoria University of Wellington*

**Grace Haden**  
*Transparency New Zealand Ltd.*

**Ian Miller**

**Kate Diesfeld**  
*Auckland University of Technology*

**Malcolm Rabson**

**Marie Bismark**  
*University of Melbourne*

**Mark Winger**  
*Holmden Horrocks*

**Matt Berkahn**  
*Massey University*

**Nick Crang**  
*Duncan Cotterill*

**Nigel Hampton**

**Paul Michalik**

**Paul Roth**  
*University of Otago*

**Peter G. Watts**  
*Bankside Chambers*

**Petra Butler**  
*Victoria University of Wellington*

**Samantha Turner**  
*Simpson Grierson*

**Scott Wilson**  
*Duncan Cotterill*

**Simon George Gyenge**  
*Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers*

**Sonja M. Cooper**  
*Cooper Legal*

**Stephen Eliot Smith**  
*University of Otago*

**Stephen Franks**  
*Franks Ogilvie*

**Steven Zindel**  
*Zindels*

**Sylvia Bell**  
*Centre for Human Rights Law, Policy and Practice*

**Trevor Daya-Winterbottom**  
*University of Waikato*

**W. John Hopkins**  
*University of Canterbury*

**Warren Brookbanks**  
*Auckland University of Technology*

**William Akel**  
*Sangro Chambers*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## **Nicaragua**

**Abraham A. Salinas**  
*University of South Florida; Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Nicaragua*

**Angelica Maria Toruño García**  
*Universidad Evangelica Nicaraguense Martin Luther King Jr.*

**Avil Ramírez Mayorga**  
*Central Law*

**Carlos Alberto Herrera Calero**

**Fernandolino Narvaez**  
*Narvaez Mojica & Associates*

**Gerardo Martin Hernandez**  
*Consortium Abogados*

**Gerardo Melecio Escorcía Diaz**  
*Bufete Garcia & Bodan*

**Gustavo Antonio Lopez Arguello**  
*Lopez Arguello & Associates*

**Hugo José Arauz Sampson**

**Ivania Lucía Paguaga Cuadra**  
*Arias Law*

**Jairo Vanegas López**  
*Universidad de Santiago de Chile*

**Leonardo Maldonado González**  
*Arias Law*

**Marcia Ibarra Herrera**  
*Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Nicaragua, Managua*

**Roderick Salinas Arguello**  
*Salinas y Asociados*

**Roy Marcel Rivera Pastora**  
*Francisco Ortega & Asociados*

**Soraya Montoya Herrera**  
*Central Law*

<b>Uriel E. Balladares Abaunza</b> <i>Arias Law</i>	<b>Hamadou Zada Harouna</b> <i>Barreau du Niger</i>	<b>Adamu M. Usman</b> <i>F. O. Akinrele &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Ibrahim Imam</b>	<b>Shedrack Ekpa</b> <i>Kogi State University</i>
<b>V. Mendez</b> <i>Nicaragua Public Health Association</i>	<b>Hamani Oumarou</b> <i>Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey</i>	<b>Adewale Akande</b> <i>Auxilium Attorneys</i>	<b>Israel O. Akanmidu</b> <i>Israel Akanmidu &amp; Associates LP</i>	<b>Soji Awogbade</b> <i>ÆLEX</i>
<b>Yaser Gabriel Bonilla</b> <i>Central Law; Molina &amp; Asoc.</i>	<b>Idrissa Tchernaka</b> <i>LBTI &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Aina Precious Aderemi</b> <i>Babalakin and Co.</i>	<b>John Dare Oloyede</b> <i>J.D. Oloyede's Law Chambers</i>	<b>Sonnie Ekwowusi</b> <i>Sonnie Ekwowusi &amp; Co.</i>
<b>Anonymous Contributor</b>	<b>Mahamadou Rabiou Souley Dagouma</b> <i>SCPA JUSTICIA</i>	<b>Ayo Olanrewaju</b> <i>Ayo Olanrewaju &amp; Co.; Nigerian Law Publications</i>	<b>Jonathan Chi Daboer</b> <i>University of Jos</i>	<b>Terrumun Z. Swende</b> <i>Benue State University</i>
<b>Niger</b>	<b>Mahamane Laouali Manzo</b> <i>Ministère de la Santé Publique</i>	<b>Babajide O. Ogundipe</b> <i>Sofunde Osakwe Ogundipe &amp; Belgore</i>	<b>Joseph E. O. Abugu</b> <i>Abugu &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Uju Obuka</b> <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>
<b>Abdoul-Aziz Mamadou Maiga</b> <i>Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo</i>	<b>Moumouni Fatoumata</b> <i>Syndicat Autonome des Magistrats du Niger</i>	<b>Bolanle Jibogun</b> <i>Legal Aid Council of Nigeria</i>	<b>Kemi Oluwagbemiro</b> <i>F. O. Akinrele &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Wahab Egbewole</b> <i>University of Ilorin</i>
<b>Aboubacar Souley</b> <i>CRAMS_EXA</i>	<b>Nassirou Lawali</b>	<b>Chiamaka I. Orabueze</b> <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	<b>Laura Omolola Ikwuagwu</b> <i>George Ikoli &amp; Okagbue</i>	<b>Yomi Alliyu San</b> <i>Chief Yomi Alliyu &amp; Co.</i>
<b>Adamou Rabani</b> <i>Observatoire Sahelo Saharien de Stratégie et Géopolitique</i>	<b>Nouhou Mahamadou Arzika</b> <i>Mouvement pour la Promotion de la Citoyenneté Responsable</i>	<b>Chinyere Nwokoro-Ndimele</b> <i>Legal Luminaries Solicitors</i>	<b>Meg Mezie-Okoye</b> <i>Madonna University, Elele Campus</i>	<b>Yomi Dare</b> <i>Checkers Consultancy Services</i>
<b>Aichatou Garba Mahamane</b> <i>Barreau du Niger</i>	<b>Oumarou Mahaman Rabiou</b>	<b>Chioma Kanu Agomo</b> <i>University of Lagos</i>	<b>Michael C. Asuzu</b> <i>University of Ibadan</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Ali Idrissa</b> <i>Le Réseau des Organisations pour la Transparence et l'Analyse Budgétaire</i>	<b>Rakia Boubakar</b> <i>Centre de Recherche Médicale et Sanitaire; Ministère de la Santé Publique</i>	<b>Chisom Ndubuisi</b> <i>Udo Udoma &amp; Belo-Osagie</i>	<b>Obiajulu Nnamuchi</b> <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	<b>North Macedonia</b>
<b>Amadou Djibo Gazibo</b>	<b>Sarah Burgess</b> <i>Camber Collective</i>	<b>Christine Ike</b> <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	<b>Oghogho Makinde</b> <i>Aluko &amp; Oyebo</i>	<b>Aleksandar Ickovski</b>
<b>Amadou Imerane Maiga</b> <i>L'Ecole de la Protection Civile de Niamey; L'Université de Niamey; Université Jean Moulin Lyon 3</i>	<b>Thomas Kelley</b> <i>University of North Carolina</i>	<b>Damian Njoku-Umeh</b> <i>Umuenyi Law Chambers</i>	<b>Olaniyi Felix Olayinka</b> <i>Niyi Olayinka &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Aleksandra Gruevska Drakulevski</b> <i>Ss. Cyril and Methodius University</i>
<b>Bachir Talfi Idrissa</b> <i>Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey</i>	<b>Wassiri Ibrahim Sidi Chaffa</b>	<b>Edwin Obimma Ezike</b> <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	<b>Olusoji Elias</b>	<b>Aneta Jovanoska Trajanovska, Biljana Mladenovska, Irena Mitkovska, and Zlatko Antevski</b> <i>Lawyers Antevski</i>
<b>Bara Ibrah</b> <i>Inspection de la Gouvernance Administrative</i>	<b>Yacouba Mahaman Nabara</b> <i>Barreau du Niger</i>	<b>Efena Efetie</b> <i>National Hospital, Abuja</i>	<b>Oluwadamilare Yomi-Alliyu</b> <i>Chief Yomi Alliyu &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Biljana Panova</b> <i>Law Office Panova</i>
<b>Barry Bibata Gnadou</b> <i>LBTI &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Emmanuel Onyedi Wingate</b> <i>West African Ventures Limited</i>	<b>Oluwafunke Adeoye</b> <i>Hope Behind Bars Africa</i>	<b>Darko Spasevski</b> <i>Ss. Cyril and Methodius University</i>
<b>Boubacar Oumarou</b> <i>SCPA BNI</i>	<b>Nigeria</b>	<b>Enoch Mozong Azariah</b> <i>Legal Aid Council of Nigeria</i>	<b>Omobola Bakare</b> <i>F. O. Akinrele &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Deljo Kadiev</b> <i>Kadiev Law Office</i>
<b>Daouda Samna</b> <i>Conférence des Barreaux Ohada</i>	<b>Abdulfattah Adewale Bakre</b> <i>Legal Aid Council of Nigeria</i>	<b>Festus O. Ukwueze</b> <i>University of Nigeria, Nsukka</i>	<b>Onjefu Adoga</b> <i>Brooke Chambers Law Firm</i>	<b>Doncho Donev</b> <i>Ss. Cyril and Methodius University</i>
<b>Effred Mouloul</b> <i>Cabinet d'Avocat Boudal</i>	<b>Abdulhamid Abdullahi Bagara</b> <i>Community Health and Research Initiative</i>	<b>Gbenga Odusola</b> <i>Acme Law Partners</i>	<b>Ozofu 'Latunde Ogiemudia</b> <i>Udo Udoma &amp; Belo-Osagie</i>	<b>Dori Kimova</b> <i>Kimova Law Office</i>
		<b>Gbenga Oyebo</b> <i>Aluko &amp; Oyebo</i>	<b>Peter K. Fogam</b> <i>University of Lagos</i>	<b>Dragan Lazarov</b> <i>Law Office Lazarov</i>
		<b>Godwin Etim</b> <i>ÆLEX</i>	<b>Pontian N. Okoli</b> <i>University of Stirling</i>	<b>Emil Miftari</b>
			<b>Samuel O. Adesola</b> <i>Nnenna Ejekam Associates</i>	<b>Filip Nacevski</b> <i>Law Firm Donevski</i>

<b>Leonid Trpenoski</b> <i>Trpenoski Law Firm</i>	<b>Niels R. Kiær</b> <i>Rime Advokatfirma DA</i>	<b>Mohammad Hassan Arif</b> <i>Liaquat Merchant Associates</i>	<b>Carlos Ernesto Gonzalez Ramirez</b> <i>Morgan &amp; Morgan</i>	<b>Alicia Jimenez Llerena</b> <i>Gonzalez Hunt Abogados Laboralistas - Littler</i>
<b>Ljupka Noveska Andonova</b>	<b>Olav Molven</b> <i>VID Specialized University</i>	<b>Muhammad Nouman Shams</b> <i>Qazi Law Associates</i>	<b>Carlos Sucre Levy</b> <i>Sucre, Arias &amp; Reyes</i>	<b>Antonio David Bardales Pereira</b>
<b>Maja Risteska</b> <i>National Insurance Group AD Insurance Policy Skopje</i>	<b>Ole Kristian Fauchald</b> <i>University of Oslo</i>	<b>Muzaffar Islam</b> <i>Legis Inn, Attorneys &amp; Corporate Consultants</i>	<b>Cristina De Roux and Eduardo Ferrer</b> <i>Morgan &amp; Morgan</i>	<b>Armando Ramiro Natividad Maguiña</b> <i>Estudio Gherzi Abogados</i>
<b>Martin Monevski</b> <i>Monevski Law Firm</i>	<b>Ørnulf Øyen</b> <i>University of Bergen</i>	<b>Naila Baig-Ansari</b> <i>Global Health Directorate - Indus Health Network</i>	<b>Daniel R. Pichel</b> <i>Universidad de Panamá</i>	<b>Arturo Gárate Salazar</b> <i>Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal</i>
<b>Neda Milevska Kostova</b> <i>Studiorum</i>	<b>Paul Saele</b> <i>Dental Competance Center</i>	<b>Neha Mankani</b> <i>Global Health Directorate - Indus Health Network</i>	<b>Dora Estripeaut</b> <i>Hospital del Niño Doctor José Renán Esquivel</i>	<b>Carlos Palacios</b> <i>Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa Perú</i>
<b>Nikolcho Lazarov</b> <i>Law Office Lazarov</i>	<b>Stella Tuft</b> <i>Microsoft</i>	<b>Qazi Shams ul Haq</b> <i>Qazi Law Associates</i>	<b>Ernesto Shirley</b> <i>Shirley &amp; Asociados</i>	<b>César Puntriano</b> <i>Universidad ESAN</i>
<b>Olivera Docevska</b> <i>Justicia Association</i>	<b>Tor Vale</b>	<b>Saira Khowaja</b> <i>Interactive Research and Development</i>	<b>Jorge Isaac Ortega</b>	<b>Christian Valencia Sarmiento</b> <i>Estudio Gherzi Abogados</i>
<b>Sonja Stojcevska</b> <i>Cakmakova Advocates</i>	<b>Ulf Stridbeck</b> <i>University of Oslo</i>	<b>Shahid Anwar Bajwa</b> <i>Shahid Anwar Bajwa &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Jorge Molina Mendoza</b> <i>Fabrega Molino</i>	<b>Danilo Sanchez Coronel</b> <i>Universidad Norbert Wiener</i>
<b>Svetlana Necheva</b> <i>Law Office Pepeljugoski Skopje</i>	<b>Werner Christie</b> <i>World Health Connections Ltd.</i>	<b>Shams ul Haque Joiya</b> <i>Right Law Company</i>	<b>Juan Pablo Fábrega Polleri</b> <i>Fabrega Molino</i>	<b>Dennis Oswaldo Vilchez Ramírez</b> <i>Estudio Gherzi Abogados</i>
<b>Svetlana Veljanovska</b> <i>St. Clement of Ohrid University of Bitola</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Tariq Rahim</b> <i>Tariq Rahim Law Associates</i>	<b>Julia Sáenz</b> <i>Sistema Nacional de Investigadores; Secretaría Nacional de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación</i>	<b>Eduardo Herrera Velarde</b>
<b>Vojdan Monevski</b> <i>Monevski Law Firm</i>	<b>Aiman Tariq</b> <i>Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Center</i>	<b>Umer Farooq</b> <i>Ayub Medical College</i>	<b>María Eugenia Brenes</b> <i>Morgan &amp; Morgan</i>	<b>German Jimenez Borra</b> <i>Estudio Muñiz</i>
<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Benazir Jatoi</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Mario Adolfo Rognoni</b> <i>Arosemena Noriega &amp; Contreras</i>	<b>Gonzalo Garcia Calderon</b>
<b>Norway</b>	<b>Faiza Muzaffar</b> <i>Legis Inn, Attorneys &amp; Corporate Consultants</i>	<b>Panama</b>	<b>Mayte Sánchez G.</b> <i>Morgan &amp; Morgan</i>	<b>Javier Castro Salinas</b> <i>Baker McKenzie; Estudio Echecopar</i>
<b>Bent Endresen</b> <i>EBT AS</i>	<b>Firasat Rizwana Siddiqui</b>	<b>Adán Arnulfo Arjona L.</b> <i>Galindo, Arias &amp; Lopez</i>	<b>Milagros Caballero V.</b> <i>Morgan &amp; Morgan</i>	<b>Javier Lizarraga</b> <i>Universidad Nacional San Agustín</i>
<b>Carl Arthur Christiansen</b> <i>Advokatfirmaet Ræder AS</i>	<b>Hasan Hameed Bhatti</b> <i>Lahore Waste Management Company</i>	<b>Alcides Gabriel Castillo Rivera</b> <i>Acabogadopty</i>	<b>Natalia Vega</b> <i>University of South Florida</i>	<b>José Luis Velarde Lazarte</b> <i>Facto Litigios &amp; Compliance</i>
<b>Frank S. Thrana</b> <i>Public Health Med-Base</i>	<b>Khalid A. Rehman</b> <i>SurrIDGE and Beecheno Advocates and Corporate Consultant</i>	<b>Alfonso Raúl Pineda Camaño</b>	<b>Rafael Rodrigo Rodriguez Barrios</b> <i>Lex Labour Panama</i>	<b>Julio Arbizu González</b> <i>Estudio Arbizu &amp; Gamarra Abogados</i>
<b>Geir Steinberg</b> <i>Advokatfirmaet Haavind AS</i>	<b>M. Akram Sheikh</b> <i>Akram Sheikh Law Associates</i>	<b>Armando Barba</b> <i>Ministerio de Salud</i>	<b>Sebastián Rodríguez Robles</b> <i>Rodríguez - Robles &amp; Asociados</i>	<b>Luciano López Flores</b> <i>Estudio Luciano López Flores &amp; Abogados</i>
<b>Harald B. Ciarlo</b>	<b>Maliha Zia</b> <i>Legal Aid Society</i>	<b>Campo Elías Muñoz Arango</b> <i>Universidad de Panamá</i>	<b>Yariela Gonzalez Ortega</b> <i>Universidad de Panamá</i>	<b>Manuel Bermúdez Tapia</b> <i>Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos</i>
<b>Henrik Bjørnebye</b> <i>University of Oslo</i>	<b>Mehk Ali</b> <i>Global Health Directorate - Indus Health Network</i>	<b>Carlos A. Arrue Montenegro</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	
<b>Inge Lorange Backer</b> <i>University of Oslo</i>	<b>Mohammad Akmal Wasim</b> <i>Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education; Supreme Court of Pakistan</i>	<b>Carlos Barsallo</b> <i>Transparency International</i>	<b>Peru</b>	
<b>Karl Harald Søvig</b> <i>University of Bergen</i>			<b>Alberto Varillas</b> <i>García Sayán Abogados</i>	
<b>Magne Strandberg</b> <i>University of Bergen</i>				
<b>Morten Grandal</b> <i>The Consumer Authority</i>				



<b>Manuel Villa-García Noriega</b> <i>Estudio Olaechea</i>	<b>Jesunito G. Morallos</b> <i>Follosco Morallos &amp; Herce</i>	<b>Joanna Kosińska-Wiercińska</b> <i>Kancelaria Adwokacka Adwokat</i>	<b>Felipe Baião do Nascimento</b> <i>Maricato, Lima &amp; Associados - Sociedade de Advogados</i>	<b>Pedro Miguel Branco</b> <i>Escritório de Advocacia Pedro Miguel Branco</i>
<b>Marcos Ricardo Revatta Salas</b> <i>Universidad Nacional San Luis Gonzaga de Ica</i>	<b>Jonathan Sale</b> <i>ILPC</i>	<b>Justyna Bartnik</b> <i>Adam Morawski &amp; Partners Law Firm</i>	<b>Fernando Alves Correia</b> <i>Universidade de Coimbra</i>	<b>Pedro Rodrigues da Mata</b> <i>PRM &amp; Associados</i>
<b>María del Pilar Pozo García</b> <i>Hospital Central Fuerza Aerea del Peru</i>	<b>Karen S. Gomez Dumpit</b> <i>Commission on Human Rights of the Philippines</i>	<b>Krzysztof Kaleta</b> <i>University of Warsaw</i>	<b>Francisco Marques Bom Marques Bom &amp; Associados</b>	<b>Rui Costa Pereira</b> <i>PLMJ Advogados, SP, RL</i>
<b>Mario Castillo Freyre</b> <i>Estudio Mario Castillo Freyre</i>	<b>Leland R. Villadolid Jr.</b> <i>Angara Abello Concepcion Regala &amp; Cruz Law Offices</i>	<b>Krzysztof Kowalczyk</b> <i>BSJP Law Firm</i>	<b>Francisco Marques Vieira</b> <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>	<b>Rui Tavares Correia</b> <i>Abreu &amp; Marques</i>
<b>Oscar Cubas Barrueto</b> <i>Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos</i>	<b>Ma. Louisa M. Viloría-Yap</b>	<b>Olga Sitarz</b> <i>University of Silesia</i>	<b>Francisco Morais Coelho</b> <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>	<b>Sandrine Bisson Marvão</b>
<b>Rafael Sapler Zaidman</b> <i>Estudio Ghersi Abogados</i>	<b>Miguel B. Licalde</b> <i>ALGA Law</i>	<b>Paweł Waszkiewicz</b> <i>University of Warsaw</i>	<b>Gonçalo Gil Barreiros</b> <i>PRA - Raposo, Sá Miranda &amp; Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>	<b>Sofia Martins dos Santos</b> <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>
<b>Raul Alberto Tolmos Saponara</b>	<b>Nancy Joan Javier</b> <i>Javier Law</i>	<b>Piotr Kuzniak</b> <i>Kancelaria Radcy Prawnego Piotr Kuzniak</i>	<b>Gonçalo Tavares Gomes</b> <i>Ferreira Leite, Rua, Pontes &amp; Associados</i>	<b>Sofia Monge</b> <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>
<b>Rossana Maccera</b>	<b>Ramil E. Bugayong</b> <i>PJS Law</i>	<b>Wojciech Babicki</b> <i>Miller Canfield, W. Babicki, A. Chelchowski and Partners</i>	<b>Inês Reis</b> <i>PBBR</i>	<b>Spencer Dohner</b> <i>Spencer Dohner, Catia Martins &amp; Vanda Matos Lawyers</i>
<b>Silvia Rebaza Santa Cruz</b> <i>Estudio Gonzales Hunt</i>	<b>Reginald A. Tongol</b> <i>Tongol Joven and Associates Law &amp; Communications</i>	<b>Wojciech Klicki</b> <i>Fundacja Panoptykon</i>	<b>Joana Barrilaro Ruas</b> <i>Ferreira da Conceição, Menezes &amp; Associados</i>	<b>Teresa Violante</b> <i>Erlangen-Nürnberg Universität</i>
<b>Sofia S. Sánchez Boluarte</b> <i>Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Neurológicas</i>	<b>Rhea Berba-Quimson</b> <i>Hewlett Packard Enterprise</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Joao Tavares Ribeiro</b> <i>JTR Advogado</i>	<b>Vânia Costa Ramos</b> <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>
<b>Susana Astorga Macedo</b> <i>Estudio Villegas</i>	<b>Ronahlee A. Asuncion</b> <i>University of the Philippines Diliman</i>	<b>Ana Pires</b> <i>Universidade Atlântica</i>	<b>Luís Brito Correia</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Roy Enrico C. Santos</b> <i>PJS Law</i>	<b>Ana Rita Gil</b> <i>Universidade Nova de Lisboa</i>	<b>Luis Miguel Amaral</b> <i>Luis Miguel Amaral - Advogados / Lawyers</i>	<b>Republic of Korea</b>
<b>Philippines</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>António Casa Nova</b> <i>Escola Superior de Saúde de Portalegre</i>	<b>Maria do Rosário Anjos</b> <i>Anjos, Martins &amp; Associados</i>	<b>Chang Woo Lee</b> <i>Donghwa Labor Consulting Corporation</i>
<b>Carmelita Gopez Nuqui</b> <i>Development Action for Women Network</i>	<b>Adam Morawski</b> <i>Adam Morawski &amp; Partners Law Firm</i>	<b>António Vaz de Castro</b> <i>Universidade de Coimbra</i>	<b>Maria Inês Gameiro</b> <i>NOVA University of Lisbon</i>	<b>Domyung Paek</b> <i>Seoul National University</i>
<b>Cesar Villanueva</b> <i>Villanueva Gabionza Dy Law Firm</i>	<b>Agnieszka Helsztyńska</b> <i>Kancelaria Adwokacka Adwokat</i>	<b>Carlos Lopes Ribeiro</b> <i>Camargo &amp; Radziminski Advogados Associados</i>	<b>Maria João Mimoso</b> <i>Nenhuma</i>	<b>Haksoo Ko</b> <i>Seoul National University</i>
<b>Emerico O. De Guzman</b> <i>ACCRALAW</i>	<b>Andrzej Brodziak</b> <i>University of Applied Sciences</i>	<b>Carlos Pinto de Abreu</b> <i>Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL</i>	<b>Miguel Andrade</b>	<b>Hwang Lee</b> <i>Korea University</i>
<b>Enriqueito J. Mendoza</b> <i>Romulo Mabanta Buenaventura Sayoc &amp; de los Angeles</i>	<b>Bartosz Kucharski</b> <i>Bartosz Kucharski Advocate Chamber</i>	<b>Carolina Boullosa Gonzalez</b> <i>Bind Sociedade de Advogados</i>	<b>P. Saragoça da Matta</b> <i>Sociedade de Advogados Saragoça da Matta e Silveiro de Barros</i>	<b>Jaehyuk Ahn</b> <i>Kim &amp; Chang</i>
<b>Francis Tom Temprosa</b> <i>Ateneo de Manila University</i>	<b>Jaroslav Gwizdak</b> <i>Institute for Law and Society</i>	<b>Eduardo Buisson Loureiro</b>	<b>Paulo de Sá e Cunha</b> <i>Cuatrecasas</i>	<b>Jaeseop Song</b> <i>Shin &amp; Kim</i>
<b>Jelson Garcia</b> <i>Commons Analytics and Strategies</i>				<b>Junsok Yang</b> <i>The Catholic University of Korea</i>

**Moon-hyuck Ho**  
*Seoul National University*

**Sangbong Lee**  
*DR & AJU International Law LLC*

**Sung Kyu (Scott) Lee**  
*Kim & Chang*

**Young Hun Yoon**  
*Hewlett Packard Korea Ltd.*

**Young Shim**  
*Yonsei University*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Romania

**Alexandru Athanasiu**  
*University of Bucharest*

**Alina Daniela Nestor**  
*Bar of Suceava*

**Andrei Danciu**  
*SCA Cataniciu & Asociatii*

**Andrei Mircea Zamfirescu**  
*Gilescu, Valeanu, Nathanson & Partners*

**Aura Campranu**  
*PETOŠEVIĆ*

**Bogdan C. Stoica**  
*Popovici Nitu Stoica & Asociatii*

**Bulai Nicolae-Bogdan**  
*University of Bucharest*

**Cezara Batranu Ciuca**  
*Cab. av. Cezara Batranu Ciuca*

**Ciuca Aurora**  
*Ștefan cel Mare University of Suceava*

**Cosmin Flavius Costăș**  
*Costăș, Negru & Asociații - Lawyers' Civil Partnership*

**Cristian Vladescu**  
*National School of Public Health, Management and Professional Development*

**Dan Oancea**  
*University of Bucharest*

**Diana Botau**  
*Babes-Bolyai University*

**Dragoș Daghie**  
*Daghie & Asociații Law Firm; Universitatea Dunărea de Jos Galați*

**Dunea Mihai**  
*Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași*

**Eugen Octavian Chivu**  
*SCP Doru Traila si Asociatii*

**Florentin Timoianu**  
*Rubin Meyer Doru & Trandafir LPC*

**Florina Firaru**  
*PETOŠEVIĆ*

**George Nedelcu**

**Gheorghe Piperea**  
*Bucharest University; Piperea si Asociatii Law Firm*

**Iulian Alexander Stoia**  
*Bucharest Bar*

**Larion Alina-Paula**  
*Ștefan cel Mare University of Suceava*

**Lazăr Ioan**  
*1 Decembrie 1918 University; Baroul Alba*

**Marius Balan**  
*Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași*

**Mihai Carabas**  
*Carabas, Lungu - Societate Civila de Avocati*

**Mihail Romeo Nicolescu**  
*Romeo Nicolescu Law Office*

**Mihnea Stoica**  
*SCA Mihnea Stoica & Asociatii*

**Miloiu Ciprian**  
*AuxMundus Law*

**Nicolae-Horia Tit**  
*Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași*

**Ovidiu Podaru**  
*Babes-Bolyai University*

**Ploesteanu Nicolae Dragos**  
*Universitatea de Medicină, Farmacie, Științe și Tehnologie din Tîrgu Mureș*

**Radu Rizoiu**  
*Bucharest University*

**Raul Miron**  
*Universitatea de Medicină, Farmacie, Științe și Tehnologie din Tîrgu Mureș*

**Septimiu Panainte**  
*Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași*

**Sergiu Bogdan**  
*Sergiu Bogdan & Asociatii*

**Sergiu Golub**  
*UBB Cluj-Napoca*

**Silvia Gabriela Scintee**  
*National School of Public Health, Management and Professional Development*

**Valerius M. Ciuca**  
*Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași*

**Veronica Dobozi**  
*SCA Stoica & Asociatii*

**Veronica Voinescu**  
*Voinescu & Partner*

**Vlad Neacsu**  
*Popovici Nitu Stoica & Asociatii*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Russian Federation

**Andrey Demin**  
*Russian Public Health Association*

**Dmitry Garifulin**  
*Corporations and Shareholders Project*

**Eduard Margulyan**

**Elena Sergeevna Petri**  
*Arabis Group*

**Elena Sinelnikova**  
*Saint-Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University*

**Gleb Glinka**  
*Glinka, Rubnstein & Partners*

**Mari Davitovna Davtyan**  
*Consortium of Women's NGOs*

**Maria Erokhova**  
*Moscow Higher School of Social & Economic Sciences*

**Maria Voskobitova**  
*ABA Rule of Law Initiative*

**Nikolai Kostenko**  
*Moscow Helsinki Group*

**Pavel Alexandrovich Kabanov**  
*Kazan Innovative University*

**Sergei L. Lazarev**  
*Russin & Vecchi*

**Sergei Murzakokv**  
*HSE - Nizhny Novgorod*

**Sergey Avrusin**  
*Saint-Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University*

**Sergey Bogatyrev**  
*Beiten Burkhardt*

**Sergey Stepanov**  
*The Institute of Private Law*

**Svetlana Igorevna Dobrovolskaya**  
*Moscow Regional Bar Association*

**Viktor Pomelov**  
*Russin & Vecchi*

**Vilena Voronich**  
*Russin & Vecchi*

**Vyacheslav Chasnyk**  
*Saint-Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Rwanda

**Albert Nkundabatware M.**  
*Ebenezer Law Firm Ltd.; Rwanda Bar Association*

**Arsène Rutiyomba**

**Christine Habyarimana**  
*HC General Legal Services Ltd*

**Counsel Herbert Zziwa**  
*Lavoix Advocates*

**Edgar Ankunda**  
*Lavoix Advocates*

**Habimana Pie**  
*Amilex Chambers*

**Jean Claude Twayigize**  
*Rwanda Bar Association*

**Jean Pierre Nkurunziza**  
*B&A Advocates*

**Kayijuka Ngabo**  
*Nexus Attorneys*

**Mugemangango Paul**  
*PMM Advocate and Consultant Limited*

**Mukoka Ronald Wallter**  
*MK Associated Advocates*

**Uwimabera Bata**  
*Rwanda Bar Association*

**Vedaste Mugemanyi**  
*Oasis Law Chambers*

**Yamfashije Jeannine**  
*UCLouvain Saint-Louis*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Senegal

**Adrien Dioh**  
*Université Gaston Berger*

**Amadou Drame**  
*Société de Développement et des Fibres Textiles*

**Amadou Yakhya Fall**  
*Lexisen Avocats*

**Ameth Ba**  
*SCP Ba & Tandian*

**Balla Sy**  
*Sonatel*

**Boubacar Diakite**  
*Geni & Kebe*

**Cheikh Ahmadou Ndiaye**  
*Barreau du Sénégal*

**Cheikh Fall Cabinet d'Avocats**

**Clément Diarga Basse**

**El Hadji Oumar Sane**  
*District Sanitaire de Bignona*

**Gabriel Massène Senghor**  
*District Sanitaire d'Oussouye*

<b>Laura Petiot</b> <i>L.P-Consulting</i>	<b>Jane Paunkovic</b> <i>Megatrend University</i>	<b>Nemata Majeks-Walker</b> <i>The 50/50 Group of Sierra Leone</i>	<b>Iris Pensa</b> <i>Jadek &amp; Pensa Law Office</i>	<b>Hugh Corder</b> <i>University of Cape Town</i>
<b>Mansour Gningue</b> <i>Geni &amp; Kebe</i>	<b>Jovana Tomić</b> <i>Caković Tomić Law Office</i>	<b>Patrick Ngenda Johnbull</b> <i>Centre for Access to Justice Peace and Human Rights</i>	<b>Jorg Sladič</b> <i>Nova Univerza</i>	<b>Jacques Matthee</b> <i>University of the Free State</i>
<b>Meissa Toure</b> <i>Euromed Universite</i>	<b>Miljkan Karličić</b> <i>Advokatska Kancelarija Karličić</i>	<b>Regena Juliana Kain</b> <i>BRAC International</i>	<b>Ljuba Zupančič Čokert</b> <i>Miro Senica and Attorneys, Ltd.</i>	<b>Jacques van Wyk</b> <i>Werksmans Attorneys</i>
<b>Mohamadou Boye</b> <i>Université Gaston Berger</i>	<b>Nebojsa Stankovic</b> <i>Stankovic &amp; Partners Law Office</i>	<b>Ronald Gidwani</b> <i>Halloway &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Luka Ticar</b> <i>University of Ljubljana</i>	<b>Joey Berning</b> <i>University of South Africa</i>
<b>Ndeye Khoudia Tounkara</b> <i>SCPA Mayacine Tounkara et Associes</i>	<b>Ognjen Djuric</b>	<b>Vandi Tamba Sombie</b> <i>Bo Children's Hospital</i>	<b>Matija Repolusk</b>	<b>Johann Kriegler</b> <i>Freedom Under Law</i>
<b>Ndiaye Semou</b> <i>Univrsité Cheikh Anta DIOP de Dakar</i>	<b>Petar Bulat</b> <i>University of Belgrade</i>	<b>Yembeh Marah</b> <i>Catholic Relief Services</i>	<b>Nina Persak</b> <i>University of Ljubljana</i>	<b>Lesiba Lolly Motsepe</b> <i>University of South Africa</i>
<b>Ndiouma Ndour</b> <i>Université Assane Seck de Ziguinchor</i>	<b>Petar Stojanovic</b> <i>Joksovic, Stojanovic &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Peter Fašun</b> <i>Fašun, Melihen, Milač, Strojnan</i>	<b>Lukas Muntingh</b> <i>University of the Western Cape</i>
<b>Paul Babacar Faye</b> <i>SCP Sow-Seck-Diagne &amp; Associes</i>	<b>Simonida Sladojevic-Stanimirovic</b>	<b>Singapore</b>	<b>Peter Stanovnik</b> <i>Institute for Economic Research</i>	<b>Martin Brassey</b>
<b>Rahimine Azimari Toure</b> <i>Geni &amp; Kebe</i>	<b>Vladimir Hrle</b> <i>Hrle Attorneys</i>	<b>Benjamin Joshua Ong</b> <i>Singapore Management University</i>	<b>Primož Rožman</b> <i>Zavod RS za Transfuzijsko Medicino</i>	<b>Martin van Staden</b> <i>Free Market Foundation</i>
<b>Samba Cor Sarr</b> <i>Ministère de la Sante et de l'Action Social</i>	<b>Zoran Radovic</b> <i>Radovic &amp; Ratkovic Attorneys</i>	<b>Chia Boon Teck</b> <i>Chia Wong LLP</i>	<b>Sara Ahlin Doljak</b>	<b>Mbuzeni Johnson Mathenjwa</b> <i>University of South Africa</i>
<b>Serigne Niang</b>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Chia Ti Lik</b> <i>Chia Ngee Thuang &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Tajka Golob Obrenović</b> <i>Gross &amp; Golob</i>	<b>Mildred Bekink</b> <i>University of South Africa</i>
<b>Seyni Gueye</b> <i>Cabinet d'Avocats Houda</i>	<b>Sierra Leone</b>	<b>Dan W. Puchniak</b> <i>National University of Singapore</i>	<b>Tine Mišić</b> <i>ODI Law Firm</i>	<b>Nisha Jacob</b> <i>University of Cape Town</i>
<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Ady Macauley</b> <i>B &amp; J Partners</i>	<b>Eric Tin Keng Seng</b> <i>Donaldson &amp; Burkinshaw LLP</i>	<b>Tjasa Drgan</b> <i>Law Office Drnovsek</i>	<b>Ntombifkile Mtshali</b> <i>University of KwaZulu-Natal</i>
<b>Serbia</b>	<b>Alphonsus B. M. Gbanie</b> <i>Human Rights Defenders Network</i>	<b>Scott G. Wheeler</b>	<b>Uroš Čop</b> <i>Miro Senica and Attorneys, Ltd.</i>	<b>P. J. Schwikkard</b> <i>University of Cape Town</i>
<b>Ana Popovic</b> <i>Zivkovic Samardzic Law Office</i>	<b>Augustine Sorie-Sengbe Marrah</b> <i>KMK Solicitors</i>	<b>Simon Chesterman</b> <i>National University of Singapore</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Peter Jordi</b> <i>Wits Law Clinic</i>
<b>Danijela Korać-Mandić</b> <i>Novi Sad Humanitarian Centre</i>	<b>Editayo Pabs-Garnon</b> <i>Lambert &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Suet-Fern Lee</b> <i>Morgan Lewis &amp; Bockius</i>	<b>South Africa</b>	<b>Pieter du Toit</b> <i>North-West University</i>
<b>Dragan Psodorov</b> <i>Joksovic, Stojanovic &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Fatmata Sorie</b> <i>Legal Access through Women Yearning for Equality Rights and Social Justice</i>	<b>Thio Li-ann</b> <i>National University of Singapore</i>	<b>Altair Richards</b> <i>ENSafrica</i>	<b>Richard Haslop</b> <i>Woodhead Bigby Inc.</i>
<b>Dušan M. Stojković</b> <i>Law Office Stojković</i>	<b>Isaac David Babatunde John</b> <i>Legal Access through Women Yearning for Equality Rights and Social Justice; Sorie and Bangura</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>B. N. Harris</b> <i>University of Pretoria</i>	<b>Riette du Plessis</b> <i>University of the Witwatersrand</i>
<b>Filip Milošević</b> <i>Law Office Milošević</i>	<b>Ishmael Philip Mammie</b> <i>Fornah-Sesay, Cummings, Showers &amp; Co.</i>	<b>Slovenia</b>	<b>C. I. Tshoose</b> <i>University of South Africa</i>	<b>S. S. Terblanche</b> <i>University of South Africa</i>
<b>Goran Vucic</b> <i>Joksovic, Stojanovic &amp; Partners</i>	<b>Grega Strban</b> <i>University of Ljubljana</i>	<b>Anton Gradišek</b> <i>Dagra D.O.O.</i>	<b>Dejo Olowu</b> <i>Walter Sisulu University</i>	<b>Shrikant Peters</b> <i>University of the Western Cape</i>
<b>Ivan Kovacevic</b> <i>Lalin Law Office</i>		<b>Borut Bernik Bogataj</b>	<b>Derek Hellenberg</b> <i>University of Cape Town</i>	<b>Stephan du Plessis</b> <i>Webbers Attorneys</i>
			<b>F. Venter</b> <i>North-West University</i>	<b>Victoria Bronstein</b> <i>University of the Witwatersrand</i>

Wayne Mentz  
*North-West University*

Werner van Straaten  
*University of Pretoria*

Yousuf A. Vawda  
*University of KwaZulu-Natal*

Anonymous  
Contributors

## Spain

Alba García Torres  
*Universidad Complutense de Madrid*

Alberto Blasco  
Hernando  
*J&A Garrigues SLP*

Alfonso Pedrajas  
*Abdón Pedrajas Abogados*

Almudena Álvarez  
*Álvarez Lentner*

Amparo Garrigues  
Gimenez  
*Universidad Jaume I de Castellón*

Andrea Macía Morillo  
*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid*

Antonio Pedrajas Quiles  
*Abdón Pedrajas Abogados*

Araceli Pelaez  
Rodriguez  
*De Castro Gabinete Juridico*

Benito Alaez Corral  
*Universidad de Oviedo*

Bernardo del Rosal  
*Del Rosal & Adame Abogados; Universidad de Alicante*

Carla Sanahuja and  
Daniel Marín  
*Gomez-Acebo & Pombo*

Carlos Campillo-Artero  
*Servicio de Salud Islas Baleares; Universitat Ponpeu Fabra*

Carlos Ramon  
Fernández Lies  
*Universidad Carlos III de Madrid*

Christian Herrera  
*Herrera Advocats*

Eduardo Santamaría  
Moral  
*J&A Garrigues SLP*

Elena Espinosa

Elena Sánchez Jordán  
*Universidad de La Laguna*

Emilio Díaz Ruiz

Enric Fossas Espadaler  
*Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona*

Federico Andrés  
Rodriguez Morata  
*Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha*

Federico Durán López  
*Universidad de Córdoba*

Federico Navarro Nieto  
*Universidad de Córdoba*

Fernando Alberich  
Arjona  
*Rambla Abogados & Asesores*

Francisco Ramos  
Romeu  
*Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona*

Ildefonso Hernández  
Aguado  
*Universidad Miguel Hernández*

Iñigo Sagardoy de  
Simón  
*Sagardoy Abogados*

Jacobo Dopico  
Gómez-Aller  
*Universidad Carlos III de Madrid*

Jaime Flores Perez-  
Durias  
*J&A Garrigues SLP*

Jaime Hernández  
*Cuatrecasas*

Javier Melero  
*Melero & Gené Advocats*

Jesús Padilla Gálvez  
*Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha*

José Carlos Pinilla  
Domínguez  
*Garrigues Abogados*

José Fernández-Rañada  
*Garrigues Abogados*

José Luis de Peray

José Luis Goñi Sein  
*Universidad Pública de Navarra*

José Manuel Mateo  
Sierra  
*J&A Garrigues SLP*

José María Labeaga  
Azcona  
*Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia*

José R. Repullo  
*Escuela Nacional de Sanidad*

José Vte. Martí Boscà  
*Sociedad Española de Sanidad Ambiental*

Juan Alberto Díaz  
López  
*J. A. Díaz Litigación Penal*

Juan Antonio Lascuraín  
*Baker McKenzie; Universidad Autónoma de Madrid*

Juan Francisco Aguiar  
Rodriguez  
*Servicio Canario de Salud, Gobierno de Canarias*

Juan Luis Beltrán  
Aguirre  
*Universidad Pública de Navarra*

Juan Luis Moreno  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

Juan M. Terradillos  
*Universidad de Cádiz*

Juan Manuel López Ulla  
*Universidad de Cádiz*

Juan Oliva Moreno  
*Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha*

Juan Pedro Cortés  
Labadía  
*Baker McKenzie*

Juan Roca Guillamón  
*Universidad de Murcia*

Laura Pozuelo Pérez  
*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid*

Luis Gaité  
*Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla*

Manuel Ángel De las  
Heras García  
*Universidad de Alicante*

Manuel Cachón  
Cadenas  
*Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona*

Margarita Isabel Ramos  
Quintana  
*Universidad de La Laguna*

Margit Gaffal  
*Complutense University Madrid*

María Acale Sánchez  
*Universidad de Cádiz*

María Barberá Riera  
*Sociedad Española de Sanidad Ambiental*

María del Rosario  
Gómez López  
*Universidad de Cádiz*

María Isabel Álvarez  
Vega  
*Universidad de Oviedo*

María José Benítez  
Jiménez  
*Universidad de Málaga*

Maria Ludivina  
Valvidares Suarez  
*Universidad de Oviedo*

Maria Luisa de la Flor  
Fernández  
*Universidad de Cádiz*

María Pilar Marco  
Francia  
*Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha*

Marina Lorente  
*J&A Garrigues SLP*

Mario Maraver Gómez  
*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid*

Martín Godino  
*Sagardoy Abogados*

Miguel Ángel Presno  
Linera  
*Univesidad de Oviedo*

Nicolás Bárcena Suárez  
*Universidad de Oviedo*

Orlanda Díaz-García  
*Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha*

Oscar Morales García  
*Uriá Menéndez*

Pablo López Ferrer  
*Uriá Menéndez*

Patricia Barber  
*Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria*

Rafael Ortiz Cervello  
*Garrigues Abogados*

Raquel Flórez Escobar  
*Freshfields*

Rebeca Benarroch  
Benarroch  
*Ciudad de Ceuta*

Remedios Aranda  
Rodríguez  
*Universidad Carlos III de Madrid*

Remedios Menéndez  
Calvo  
*Universidad de Alcalá*

Ricard Meneu  
*Fundación Instituto de Investigación en Servicios de Salud*

Roberto Mazorriaga Las  
Hayas  
*Rambla Abogados & Asesores*

Rocio Bonet  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

Román Gil  
Alburquerque  
*Sagardoy Abogados*

Rosa M. Satorras  
Fioretti  
*Universidad de Barcelona*

Rosa María Urbanos  
Garrido  
*Universidad Complutense de Madrid*

Rosario Vicente  
Martínez  
*Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha*

Santiago Fernandez  
Redondo  
*Hospital Universitario La Princesa*

Sebastián Cantallops  
Mir  
*Rambla Abogados & Asesores*

Vicente Pastor y Aldeguer <i>Universidad Autónoma de Madrid</i>	Prathiba Mahanamahewa <i>University of Colombo; University of Technology Jamaica</i>	<b>St. Lucia</b>	Minouche Bromet <i>Academic Hospital Paramaribo</i>	Mauro Zamboni <i>Stockholm University</i>
Víctor Torre de Silva <i>IE University</i>	Priyantha Gamage	Alberta Richelieu <i>Richelieu &amp; Co.</i>	Nashreen R. J. Ilaahibaks <i>Essed &amp; Sohansingh Lawyers</i>	Olov Marsater <i>University of Uppsala</i>
Xavier Castells <i>Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona</i>	R. M. N. U. Rajapaksha <i>Ministry of Health</i>	Alberton Richelieu <i>Richelieu &amp; Co.</i>	Prema Sohansingh <i>Law Firm Essed &amp; Sohansingh</i>	Reinhold Fahlbeck <i>Lund University</i>
Anonymous Contributors	S. R. L. Rosa <i>The Open University of Sri Lanka</i>	Henri-Jacques Mangal <i>1st National Bank St. Lucia Limited</i>	Ramesh Arun V-A Malahe <i>Malahe Advocaten</i>	Staffan Michelson <i>Hellström Advokatbyrå</i>
<b>Sri Lanka</b>	Savantha De Saram <i>D. L. &amp; F. De Saram</i>	Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil <i>Jennifer Remy &amp; Associates</i>	Ruby C. A. Bleau <i>Lim A Po Lawfirm</i>	Sverker Jönsson <i>Lund University</i>
Ajithaa Edirimane	Sumedha Mahawanniarachchi	Mary Juliana Charles <i>Mary Juliana Charles Chambers</i>	Serena N. Essed <i>Sewcharan Law Firm</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
Anusha Wickramasinghe	Upali Jayatilaka	Tamara Foster <i>Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Tanzania</b>
Camena Guneratne <i>The Open University of Sri Lanka</i>	Vinodh Wickremasooriya	Anonymous Contributors	<b>Sweden</b>	Anne H. Outwater <i>Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences</i>
Chandima J. Ambepitiya	Anonymous Contributors	<b>St. Vincent and the Grenadines</b>	Anne Ramberg <i>Swedish Bar Association</i>	Asina Omari <i>University of Dar es Salaam</i>
Chrishantha Abeysena <i>University of Kelaniya</i>	<b>St. Kitts and Nevis</b>	Margaret Hughes Ferrari <i>Hughes &amp; Company</i>	Bengt Lundell <i>Lund University</i>	Barnabas Hatson Mwashambwa <i>Tanzania Petroleum Development Corporation</i>
D. M. Nisanka Madhubhashini Jayarathna <i>The Open University of Sri Lanka</i>	Dia C. Forrester <i>Daniel Brantley</i>	Mikhail Charles <i>Prudhoe Caribbean</i>	Birgitta Nysröm <i>Lund University</i>	Deus M. Kibamba <i>Tanzania Citizens' Information Bureau</i>
Dhanushka Dissanayake	Henry Los Browne <i>Browne &amp; Associates</i>	Moureeze L. Franklyn <i>Baptiste &amp; Co. Law Firm</i>	Bjorn Ohde <i>Advokataktiebolaget Roslagen</i>	Eliud Kitime <i>Open University of Tanzania</i>
Dushyantha Perera <i>Sudath Perera Associates</i>	Krysta S. Liburd-Clarke <i>Liburd Law</i>	Rene M. Baptiste <i>Baptiste &amp; Co. Law Firm</i>	Boel Flodgren <i>Lund University</i>	Emmanuel Charles Moshi <i>MMAKI Advocates; University of Dodoma</i>
Gamini Balasooriya <i>Sudath Perera Associates</i>	Kurlyn D. V. Merchant <i>Merchant Legal Chambers</i>	Stephen Williams <i>Williams &amp; Williams Chambers</i>	Catherine Lions <i>Umea University</i>	Eric Frank Ringo <i>FIN &amp; LAW</i>
Gamini Perera <i>International Law Chambers</i>	Leonora L. Walwyn <i>Walwyn Law</i>	Anonymous Contributors	Christer Thordson <i>Legal Edge AB</i>	Ernestilla John Bahati <i>Breakthrough Attorneys</i>
Heshika Rupasinghe and Ramani Muttettuwegama <i>Tiruchelvam Associates</i>	Maurisha Robinson <i>Morton Robinson, L.P.</i>	<b>Suriname</b>	Gunilla Lindmark <i>Uppsala University</i>	Eustard P. A. Ngatale
Kanchana Ratwatte	Michella Adrien <i>Michella Adrien Law Offices</i>	Anne-Marel Linger <i>4 Justice Advocaten</i>	Jack Ågren <i>Stockholm University</i>	Fundikila Wazambi <i>Legal and Human Rights Centre</i>
Kaumadi Galagedara	Midge A. Morton Williams <i>Morton Robinson, L.P.</i>	Antoon Karg <i>North West Legal</i>	Jessika van der Sluijs <i>Stockholm University</i>	George Thomas Masoud <i>GMT Attorneys and Legal Consultants</i>
Kumudu Wijewardene <i>University of Sri Jaywardenepura</i>	Midge A. Morton Williams <i>Morton Robinson, L.P.</i>	Eloa Fanita van der Hilst <i>4 Justice Advocaten</i>	Johan Sangborn <i>Swedish Bar Association</i>	Gerald Nangi <i>Clyde &amp; Co.</i>
Lasantha Hettiarachchi <i>Law Chambers of Lasantha Hettiarachchi</i>	Rayana Dowden <i>Webster LP</i>	Francyn Djajadi <i>Legal Aid Advocaten</i>	Karl-Arne Olsson <i>WSA Law</i>	Hakiel Ombeni Mgonja <i>Donaldson and Wood</i>
Nalin Kumudu Ashubodha <i>Kolonnawa Nursing Home</i>	Shemica K. Maloney <i>Maloney &amp; Co.</i>	Humphrey R. Schurman <i>Schurman Advocaten</i>	Karol Nowak <i>Lund University</i>	Jones Sendodo <i>Tanzania Human Rights Defenders Coalition</i>
	Sonya Parry <i>Gonsalves Parry</i>	Maureen Tjon-Jaw-Chong	Laura Carlson <i>Stockholm University</i>	

**Kheri R. Mbiro**  
*Breakthrough Attorneys*

**Lucky Michael Mgimba**  
*Godwin Attorneys*

**Mathias Omar**  
*Human Rights Centre and  
Advocacy for East Africa*

**Octavian W. Temu**  
*Octavian & Company  
Advocates*

**Stephen Ally  
Mwakibolwa**  
*Alley & Associates*

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

### **Thailand**

**Anant Akanisthaphichat**  
*Thai Law Firm*

**Chacrit Sitdhiwej**  
*Thammasat University*

**Chalermwut Sriporm**  
*Thammasat University*

**Chulapong Yukate**

**Chusert  
Supasitthumrong**  
*Tilleke & Gibbins  
International*

**Ittichai Prasongprasit**  
*R&T Asia Limited*

**Munin Pongsapan**  
*Thammasat University*

**Pisut Rakwong**  
*Pisut & Partners*

**Roll Chunhakasikarn**  
*Tilleke & Gibbins  
International*

**Sudarat Sereewat**  
*FACE Foundation*

**Sumet Sirikunchoat**  
*Thammasat University*

**Wanchai Yiamsamatha**  
*LS Horizon*

**Wandee  
Sirichokchatchawan**  
*Chulalongkorn University*

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

### **Togo**

**Adjowavi Rose Adjenou  
Atanley**  
*Clinique Autel d'Elie*

**Agbéwonou Koudasse**  
*Cabinet d'Avocat de  
Maître Soedjede Galolo*

**Akou Pignandi**  
*Fonds Mondial de Lutte  
Contre le Sida et la  
Tuberculose*

**Amèvi Kpogno**  
*Cabinet d'Avocats Darius  
Atsoo*

**Augustin Dokla**  
*RAS + Togo*

**Claude Kokou Amegan**  
*Association Togolaise des  
Droits de l'Homme*

**Damien Amoussou**  
*Centre pour la Promotion  
des Droits de l'Homme  
et du Développement en  
Afrique*

**Dékawunga Djoliba  
Kutolbena**  
*Barreau du Togo; Cabinet  
Me Kutolbena*

**Ferdinand Ekouévi  
Amazouhou**  
*SCPA Femiza Associes*

**Jean Yaovi Degli**  
*Bâtir le Togo*

**Jil-Benoit Kossi  
Afangbedji**  
*Barreau du Togo*

**Kafui A. Amekoudi**  
*Martial Akakpo & Associes*

**Kodjo Kekeli Togbi**

**Kolou Simliwa Dassa**  
*Université de Lomé*

**Kossi Adjedomole**  
*Martial Akakpo & Associes*

**Kossi Assiom Bokodjin**  
*Barreau du Togo*

**Koundé (Innocent)  
Kpeto**  
*Ordre National des  
Pharmaciens*

**M. Koffi Houndebasso**  
*Société Togolaise de  
l'Evaluation -Evalueurs  
émergents*

**Martial Koffi Akakpo**  
*Martial Akakpo & Associes*

**Raymond Adadjisso**  
*Men's Togo*

**Simtokina N'gani**  
*Ministère de la Santé et de  
l'Hygiène Publique*

**Sossinou Awoussi**  
*Ministère de la Santé et de  
l'Hygiène Publique*

**Thérèse Akouvi O.  
Donu**  
*Barreau du Togo*

**Yaovi Montcho**  
*Martial Akakpo & Associes*

**Yawo Apéléte Agbobli**  
*CHU Campus de Lomé*

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

### **Trinidad and Tobago**

**Ariel Moonsie**  
*Girwar & Deonarine*

**Betty-Ann Pilgrim**  
*Ministry of Health*

**Christopher Sieuchand**  
*M.G. Daly & Partners*

**Dawn Gillian Seecharan**  
*Seecharan Scott  
Chambers*

**Gerard Hutchinson**  
*University of the West  
Indies*

**Gina Maharaj**

**Hasine Shaikh**  
*Regius Chambers*

**Jerome Maxime**

**Kaveeta Persad**  
*Fitzwilliam, Stone,  
Furness-Smith & Morgan*

**Keri A. Kitson**

**Michelle T. Ramnarine**  
*Oscar Noel Ocho  
University of the West  
Indies*

**Petra A. Mahabalsingh**  
*Lex Caribbean*

**Sonnel David-Longe**  
*M.G. Daly & Partners*

**Tamara Jackson**

**Vernon Rooplal Prashad**  
*Prashad & Associates*

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

### **Tunisia**

**Amel Aouij**  
*Université de Tunis El  
Manar*

**Amine Hamdi**  
*Hamdi Law Office*

**Anissa Tabai**

**Aymen Zaghdoudi**  
*Carthage University*

**Brahim Latrech**  
*Dr. Brahim Latrech Law  
Office*

**Elies Letaifa**  
*Juris International*

**Elyes Chafter**  
*Chafter Raouadi Cabinet  
d'Avocats*

**Emna Yahyaoui**

**Fares Elheni, Najet  
Skander, and Raouf  
Elheni**  
*Eversheds Sutherland  
Elheni*

**Hassine Fekih Ahmed**

**Hechmi Louzir**  
*Institut Pasteur de Tunis*

**Hedia Kedadi**  
*Cabinet Kedadi  
International*

**Imed Oussaifi**  
*La Cour d'Appel*

**Inès Zribi**  
*L'Association Tunisienne  
de Droit de Santé*

**Insaf Ben Aoun**

**Karim Ben Hamida**  
*KBH Law Firm*

**Lassâad Dhaouadi**  
*l'Institut Tunisien des  
Conseils Fiscaux*

**Mahmoud Dawoud  
Yacoub**  
*Ordre National des  
Avocats du Tunisie*

**Nadhir Ben Yedder**

**Rachida Jelassi**  
*Université de Tunis El  
Manar*

**Ridha Mezghani**  
*R. Mezghani Law Office*

**Wajdi Abdelhedhi**  
*Wab Expert*

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

### **Turkey**

**Aysegul Kula**

**Cem Yeniaras**  
*Yeniaras Attorneys at Law*

**Demirhan Burak Çelik**  
*Galatasaray University  
Office*

**Ece Göztepe**  
*Bilkent University*

**Esenyel Barak Bal**  
*Cailliau & Colakel Law  
Firm*

**Fatih Selim Yurdakul**  
*Yurdakul Law Office*

**Işık Önay**  
*Koç Üniversitesi*

**Mahmut Kaçan**  
*MK Law Office*

**Murat Volkan Dülger**  
*Dülger Law Office*

**Nuray Gökçek Karaca**  
*Anadolu University*

**Orhan Yavuz Mavioglu**  
*ADMD Law Office*

**Osman Hayran**  
*Medipol University*

**Ozer Tuncay**  
*Tuncay Law Office*

**R. Murat Önok**  
*Turkish Press Council*

**Savas Bozbel**  
*Bozbel Law & Consulting*

**Serdar Hizir**  
*Atilim University*

**Şule Özsoy Boyunsuz**  
*Galatasaray University*

**Ufuk Aydin**  
*Anadolu University*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Uganda

**Adrian Jjuuko**  
*Human Rights Awareness and Promotion Forum*

**Albert Turyahabwe**  
*National Water and Sewerage Corporation*

**Alunga Patrick Alvarez**  
*BKA Advocates*

**Atim Evelyn**  
*Buwembo & Co. Advocates*

**Birungyi Cephas Kagyenda**  
*Birungyi Barata & Associates*

**Brigitte Kusiima Byarugaba Sendi**  
*Shonubi, Musoke and Co. Advocates*

**Bwiite Lydia**  
*Platform for Labour Action*

**C. K. Kalumiya**  
*Kampala Associated Advocates*

**Claire Amanywa Rukundo-Kakeeto**  
*CR. Amanywa Advocates & Solicitors*

**Doreen Nawaali**  
*MMAKS Advocates*

**Emmanuel Luyirika**  
*African Palliative Care Association*

**Ferdinand Tumuhaise**  
*Son of Loyola Legal Consultants*

**Francis Opedun**  
*Mutalya & Co. Advocates*

**Fredrick K. Sentomero**  
*OSH Advocates*

**Grace Mukwaya**  
*Platform for Labour Action*

**Idoot Augustine Obilil**  
*Kampala Associated Advocates*

**Justine Balya**  
*Human Rights Awareness and Promotion Forum*

**Laura Nyirinkindi**  
*Pro Initiatives Agency*

**Lilian Keene-Mugerwa**  
*Kleeva Associated Advocates*

**Mary Nalwoga**  
*Uganda Law Society*

**Miria Matembe**

**Raymond Mwebesa**  
*Kampala Associated Advocates*

**Robert Mugisa**  
*The Human Rights Centre Uganda*

**Ronald Tukachungurwa**  
*Kodili & Co. Advocates*

**Sam Mayanja**  
*Kampala Associated Advocates*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## Ukraine

**Anna Mikhailiuk**  
*Mikhailiuk, Sorokolat & Partners*

**Anna Sakalosh**

**Evgen Ryabokon**  
*Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv*

**Hryhoriy Trotskiy**  
*Danylo Halytskyi Lviv National Medical University*

**Igor Fedorenko**  
*AVER LEX Attorneys at Law*

**Iryna Shevchuk**  
*Engarde Attorneys at Law*

**Ivan Horodyskiy**  
*Ukrainian Catholic University*

**Katerina Vlasyuk**  
*AVG Law Firm*

**Konstantin Naduty**  
*Ukrainian Medical Expert Association*

**Kostiantyn Doroshenko**  
*Leshchenko, Doroshenko & Partners*

**Larysa Matiukha**  
*National Medical Academy of Postgraduate Educational*

**Lesia Sakalosh**  
*Danylo Halytskyi Lviv National Medical University*

**Lily V. Kriachkova**  
*Dnipropetrovsk Medical Academy*

**Lyubomyr Drozdovskyy**  
*Khasin & Drozdovskyy Barristers Association*

**Oleksandr Skliarenko**  
*Skliarenko, Sydorenko and Partners*

**Oleksii Makarenkov**  
*Zaporizhzhya National University*

**Olesia Otradnova**  
*Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv*

**Olga Prosyanyuk**

**Pavlo Lukomskiy**  
*Salkom Law Firm*

**Roman Maydanyk**  
*Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv*

**Roman Sabodash**  
*Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv*

**Sergiy Gryshko**  
*Redcliffe Partners*

**Shkvorets Yaroslav**  
*RULG - Ukrainian Legal Group*

**Taras Tsymbrivskyy**  
*Human Rights in Action, USAID; Ukrainian Helsinki Human Rights Union*

**Viktoriia Ivchuk**  
*KNP Clinic*

**Vladislava Pukhalenko**  
*Leshchenko, Doroshenko & Partners*

**Vyacheslav Sokolov**  
*Paradox Law Firm*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## United Arab Emirates

**Ali Al Balooshi**  
*Al Balooshi & Al Marashda Advocates & Legal Consultants*

**El Mukashfi Majzooob**  
*Al Balooshi & Al Marashda Advocates & Legal Consultants*

**Haleama Al Sabbah**  
*Zayed University*

**Mirza R. Baig**  
*Dubai Pharmacy College for Girls*

**Nasiruddeen Muhammad**  
*University of Dubai*

**Rami Omar Abdellatif**  
*Hadeef & Partners*

**Tessa Dignam**  
*IKM Advocates, DLA Piper Africa*

**Zayed Saeed Alshamsi**  
*Zayed Alshamsi Advocates & Legal Consultants*

**Zeyad Jaffal**  
*Al Ain University*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## United Kingdom

**Cathy Scott-Clark**

**Christopher May**  
*Lancaster University*

**David Josse**  
*Five St. Andrew's Hill Chambers*

**Gavin Irwin**  
*Chambers of Jonathan Laidlaw QC*

**Jill Stavert**  
*Edinburgh Napier University*

**Jonathan S. Nguyen-Van-Tam**  
*University of Nottingham*

**Jonathan Stuart Mitchell**  
*Chambers of Paul Mandelle*

**Kyle Phillips**  
*Fieldfisher*

**Maryellen Reynolds**  
*Attorneys Judicial Military Mediators Consultants International Group*

**Nigel Duncan**

**Octávio Luiz Motta Ferraz**  
*King's College London*

**Peter McTigue**  
*Nottingham Trent University*

**Rebecca Niblock**  
*Kingsley Napley LLP*

**Richard Ashcroft**  
*Queen Mary University of London*

**Richard W. Whitecross**  
*Edinburgh Napier University*

**Steven Lorber**  
*Lewis Silkin LLP*

**Thomas Garner**  
*Gherson*

**Tonia Novitz**  
*University of Bristol*

**Tony Ward**  
*Northumbria University*

**Anonymous Contributors**

## United States

**Abigail Margaret Reay Yull**  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

**Alan W. Houseman**  
*National Equal Justice Library*

**Allen S. Weiner**  
*Stanford University*

**Amy Widman**  
*Rutgers University*

**Artie Renee Pobjecky**  
*Pobjecky and Pobjecky, LLP*

**Bryan A. Liang**  
*S-3 Research*

**Charles Garcia**  
*Hewlett Packard Enterprise*

**Christopher R. Drahozal**  
*University of Kansas*

- David K. Y. Tang**  
K&L Gates, LLP
- H. David Kelly, Jr.**  
Beins Axelrod PC
- James H. Pietsch**  
University of Hawaii
- Jeffrey Aresty**  
InternetBar.org Institute
- John Pollock**  
National Coalition for a  
Civil Right to Counsel
- John R. LaBar**  
Henry, McCord, Bean,  
Miller, Gabriel & LaBar,  
P.L.L.C.
- Jonathan Hiatt**  
Solidarity Center
- Karen A. Lash**  
American University
- Kepler B. Funk**  
Funk, Szachacz &  
Diamond, LLC
- Laurel G. Bellows**  
Bellows Law Group PC
- Leonard A. Sandler**  
University of Iowa
- Maha Jweied**
- Matthew Keck**  
Hewlett Packard  
Enterprise
- Michele Forzley**  
Forzley & Associates
- Paul Bender**  
Arizona State University
- Renaldy J. Gutierrez**  
Gutierrez & Associates
- Renée M. Landers**  
Suffolk University
- Ricks Frazier**
- Robert J. Collins**  
University of Pennsylvania
- Roy M. Poses**  
Brown University
- Russell C. Maulitz**  
Tapestry Health  
Information Technologies,  
LLC
- Sara Elizabeth Dill**  
Anethum Global
- Stephen A. Saltzburg**  
The George Washington  
University
- Stephen C. Veltri**  
Ohio Northern University
- Steven Ramirez**  
Loyola University Chicago
- Tarek Azhari**  
Hewlett Packard  
Enterprise
- Tim K. Mackey**  
University of California  
San Diego
- Timothy E. Dolan**  
Policy Foresight
- Vernellia Randall**  
The University of Dayton
- Anonymous  
Contributors**
- Uruguay**
- Alfredo Taullard**  
Hughes & Hughes
- Andrés Fuentes**  
Arcia Storace Fuentes  
Medina Abogados
- Camilo Martínez Blanco**  
Universidad de  
Montevideo
- Carlos Pittamiglio**  
Estudio Jurídico  
Bartesaghi
- Eduardo Ferrari**  
Posadas, Posadas &  
Vecino
- Escandor El Ters**  
Instituto Nacional del  
Cáncer
- Isabel Abarno**  
Delpiazzo Abogados
- Joaquin Reyes Puig**  
Reyes Rius
- Juan Diego Menghi  
Arburúas**  
Pérez del Castillo &  
Asociados
- Juan Federico Fischer**  
Andersen Tax & Legal
- Julio Lens**  
LENS
- Lucía Acosta**  
Ferrere Abogados
- Magela Ramón**  
Hughes & Hughes
- Manuel Reyes Puig**  
Intrust Business Solutions
- María Durán**  
Hughes & Hughes
- Mariana Fernandez  
Fasciolo**  
Universidad de la  
República
- Martín Miguel Fridman  
Monasterolo**  
Ferrere Abogados
- Martín Risso Ferrand**  
Universidad Católica del  
Uruguay
- Natalia Veloso**  
Universidad de  
Montevideo
- Nicolás Pallas**  
Posadas, Posadas &  
Vecino
- Pedro J. Montano  
Gómez**  
Scelza & Montano Estudio  
Jurídico
- Ricardo Mezzera**  
Mezzera Abogados
- Santiago Pereira  
Campos**  
Rueda Abadi Pereira;  
Universidad de  
Montevideo
- Santiago Theoduloz**  
Guyer & Regules
- Tomás Guerrero**  
Posadas, Posadas &  
Vecino
- Anonymous  
Contributors**
- Uzbekistan**
- Abdujabbor**  
The Council of the Social  
Democratic Party of  
Uzbekistan
- Aziz Sattarov**  
Reliable Lawyer Law Firm
- Botir Yigitaliev**  
CODEX Law Firm
- Ilkhom Azizov**  
Azizov and Partners
- Jakhongir Z. Djuraev**
- Madina Tursunova**  
Legalmax Law Firm
- Minora Ferdovsievna  
Saydahmedova**  
Legisland Law Office
- Muratayev Serikbey  
Alpamisovich**  
Tashkent State University  
of Law
- Yana Niyazmetova**  
Azizov and Partners
- Ziyoda Ataxodjaeva**  
Turon Mega Stroy LLC
- Anonymous  
Contributors**
- Venezuela, RB**
- Alberto Blanco-Uribe  
Quintero**  
Universidad Central de  
Venezuela
- Alberto Jurado**  
ALC Penal
- Alejandro Riskey Parra**  
Universidad Central de  
Venezuela
- Alessandra Corona**  
Araquereyna; Harvard  
University
- Alexander Marcano  
Montero**  
Lawyers Group, Despacho  
de Abogados
- Alí Daniels**  
Acceso a la Justicia
- Alvaro Badell Madrid**  
Badell & Grau
- Andrea Cardone**  
Álvarez, Sánchez &  
Asociados Abogados
- Andrés Carrasquero  
Stolk**  
ESC+G Abogados
- Andres L. Halvorssen**  
RdHOO Abogados
- Antonio Silva  
Aranguren**  
Centro para la Integración  
y el Derecho Público
- Arturo De Sola Lander**  
De Sola Pate & Brown
- Beatriz Borges Urrutia**
- Bernardo Pulido  
Márquez**  
Consultores Jurídicos
- Catherina Gallardo**  
Gallardo Vaudo y  
Asociados
- Claudia Madrid  
Martínez**  
Universidad Central de  
Venezuela
- Diego Thomás  
Castagnino**  
Universidad Central de  
Venezuela
- Eduardo J. Sánchez R.**  
Álvarez, Sánchez &  
Asociados Abogados
- Edward Pérez**  
Instituto Interamericano  
de Responsabilidad Social  
y Derechos Humanos
- Ernesto Gonzalez Rubio**  
Casas Rincon, Gonzalez  
Rubio & Asoc.
- Eugenio  
Hernández-Bretón**  
Academia de Ciencias  
Políticas y Sociales
- Faustino Flamarique**  
LEGA Abogados
- Félix Ignacio Sánchez  
Hernández**  
Álvarez, Sánchez &  
Asociados Abogados
- Fernando Javier Baralt  
Briceño**  
Universidad Rafael  
Urduyana
- Fernando M. Fernandez**
- Francisco Allende**  
Cámara Venezolana del  
Medicamento
- Franco Puppio Pérez**  
Universidad Católica  
Andrés Bello
- Frederick Cabrera  
Conde**  
Universidad Católica  
Andrés Bello; Universidad  
Central de Venezuela
- Fredy Ernesto Martinez  
Díaz**  
Martinez Diaz & Asocia-  
dos Firma de Abogados



<b>Gilberto A. Guerrero-Rocca</b> <i>Florida International University</i>	<b>Laura Louza</b> <i>Acceso a la Justicia</i>	<b>Valentina Arianna Russo Borzellino</b> <i>Cigala, Puppio y Asociados</i>	<b>Pham Van Phat</b> <i>An Phat Pham Law Firm</i>	<b>Yusuf Ahmed</b> <i>University Teaching Hospitals</i>
<b>Gilles Valensi Sosa</b>	<b>Lolymar Hernández Camargo</b> <i>Universidad Católica Andrés Bello</i>	<b>Victor R. Hernández-Mendible</b> <i>HMO Consultores Internacionales</i>	<b>Phong</b> <i>CNC VN</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>
<b>Gonzalo Himiob Santomé</b> <i>Foro Penal</i>	<b>Manuel A. Gomez</b> <i>Florida International University</i>	<b>Victor Rujano Bautista</b> <i>Asociación Civil Culturas Indígenas y Medio Ambiente Sustentable</i>	<b>Tran Thanh Tung</b> <i>Global Vietnam Lawyers Law Firm</i>	<b>Zimbabwe</b>
<b>Guillermo López</b> <i>Bolet &amp; Terrero</i>	<b>María Gabriela Vicent Allende</b> <i>Dentons</i>	<b>Yeoshua Bograd Lamberti</b>	<b>Vu Dzung</b> <i>YKVN Lawyers</i>	<b>Abraham Mateta</b> <i>MC Mukome Legal Practitioners</i>
<b>Hector Cardoze Rangel</b> <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	<b>Mauricio Ramirez Gordon</b> <i>Fundación Verdad Venezuela</i>	<b>Zuleima Espinel</b> <i>JBA Legal</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Andrew Makoni</b> <i>Mbidzo, Muchadehama &amp; Makoni Legal Practitioners</i>
<b>Ignacio J. Andrade Cifuentes</b> <i>Ponte Andrade &amp; Casanova</i>	<b>Natasha Saturno Siñovsky</b> <i>Acción Solidaria</i>	<b>Anonymous Contributors</b>	<b>Zambia</b>	<b>Archlove Takunda Tanyanyiwa</b> <i>Ramangwana Grassroots Development Initiative</i>
<b>Jacqueline Richter</b> <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	<b>Nayibe Chacón Gómez</b> <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	<b>Danny Đức Duy</b> <i>Santa Lawyers Company</i>	<b>Annie Kangwa Chewe</b> <i>University of Zambia</i>	<b>Bright Mahuni</b> <i>Scanlen &amp; Holderness</i>
<b>Jaime Martínez Estévez</b> <i>Rodner, Martínez &amp; Asociados</i>	<b>Nelson Chitty La Roche</b> <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	<b>David Lam</b> <i>The Lam Law Company Limited</i>	<b>Ernest Muketoi Beele</b> <i>University of Zambia</i>	<b>Casper Pound</b> <i>Family AIDS Support Organisation</i>
<b>Javier Alfredo Villamizar Gordon</b> <i>Palacios, Torres &amp; Korody</i>	<b>Oscat Hernández Alvarez</b>	<b>Do Thi Hang</b> <i>ANT Lawyers Law Firm</i>	<b>Fares Phiri</b> <i>Nodi Trust School</i>	<b>Charisma Ncube</b> <i>Scanlen &amp; Holderness</i>
<b>Jorge Rosell Senhenn</b> <i>Bloque Constitucional</i>	<b>Rafael Ignacio Olivar</b> <i>Escritorio Jurídico Aguilar y Asociados</i>	<b>Haley Chau Kim Hanh</b> <i>Le &amp; Tran</i>	<b>Isaac Simbeye</b> <i>Muyatwa Legal Practitioners</i>	<b>Chiratidzo Ellen Ndhlovu</b> <i>University of Zimbabwe</i>
<b>José Rafael Vargas Rincón</b> <i>José Rafael Vargas, S.C.</i>	<b>Rafael Molina</b> <i>Molina &amp; Asociados</i>	<b>Kent Wong</b> <i>VCI Legal</i>	<b>Jacqueline Cornhill Jhala</b> <i>Corpus Legal Practitioners</i>	<b>Cinginkosi Dube</b> <i>Scanlen &amp; Holderness</i>
<b>Juan Alberto Berríos Ortigoza</b> <i>Universidad del Zulia</i>	<b>Raul Sanchez Urribarri</b> <i>La Trobe University</i>	<b>Le An Hai</b>	<b>John Chibalabala</b> <i>John Chibalabala Legal Practitioners</i>	<b>David Tinashe Hofisi</b> <i>University of Wisconsin-Madison</i>
<b>Juan Carlos Garantón-Blanco</b> <i>Universidad Católica Andrés Bello</i>	<b>Reinaldo Jesús Guilarte Lamuño</b> <i>Ayala Dillon Fernández Linares Chavero Consultores Jurídicos</i>	<b>Le Tien Dat</b> <i>Apolat Legal Law Firm</i>	<b>Mehluli Malisa Batakathi</b> <i>Muyatwa Legal Practitioners</i>	<b>Edwin Isaac Manikai</b> <i>Dube, Manikai &amp; Hwacha</i>
<b>Juan Carlos Oliveira Bonomi</b> <i>RdHOO Abogados</i>	<b>Ricardo J. Cruz Rincón</b> <i>Escritorio Chumaceiro González Rubio</i>	<b>Le Van Thanh</b> <i>SureLaw Law Firm</i>	<b>Namwene Kamoto Phiri</b> <i>Corpus Legal Practitioners</i>	<b>Elizabeth M. Chadambuka</b> <i>Africa University</i>
<b>Juan Carlos Sainz Borgo</b> <i>University for Peace</i>	<b>Roberto Hung Cavaliere</b> <i>Cultura Jurídica Org</i>	<b>Ngo Huu Nhi</b> <i>Thien An Law Office</i>	<b>O'Brien Kaaba</b> <i>University of Zambia</i>	<b>Farai Chizengeni</b>
<b>Juan Domingo Alfonso Paradisi</b> <i>Torres Plaz y Araujo</i>	<b>Rubén Guía Chirino</b> <i>Cultura Jurídica Org</i>	<b>Nguyen Huu Phuoc</b> <i>Phuoc and Associates</i>	<b>Oscar Kaubi</b> <i>Mopani Copper Mines PLC</i>	<b>Fraser Edkins</b> <i>Coghlan Welsh and Guest</i>
<b>Julio César Fernández Toro</b> <i>Universidad Central de Venezuela</i>	<b>Simón Jurado-Blanco Sandoval</b> <i>Jurado-Blanco &amp; Aguirre Abogados</i>	<b>Nguyen Nam Hung</b> <i>VPS Securities Company</i>	<b>Pamela Sibanda Mumbi</b> <i>SCM Legal Practitioners</i>	<b>Godfrey Sibanda</b> <i>Mbidzo, Muchadehama &amp; Makoni Legal Practitioners</i>
<b>Karla Andreína Sáez Rodríguez</b> <i>ESC+G Abogados</i>		<b>Nguyen Nhan Quang</b>	<b>Pamela Towela Sambo</b>	<b>Godman Chingoma</b> <i>Dube, Manikai &amp; Hwacha</i>
		<b>Nguyen Nhan Tuan</b>	<b>Sebastian Chinkoyo</b> <i>Ndola Teaching Hospital</i>	<b>Hezel Sibanda</b> <i>Maweresibanda Commercial Lawyers</i>
		<b>Nguyen Truong Tho</b> <i>Dai Nghia Law Office</i>	<b>Tiziana Marietta</b> <i>Sharpe &amp; Howard Legal Practitioners</i>	<b>Jeremiah Mutongi Bamu</b> <i>Mbidzo, Muchadehama &amp; Makoni Legal Practitioners</i>
		<b>Phạm Thị Thoa</b> <i>Apolat Legal Law Firm</i>	<b>Vincent Kaunda Mwewa</b> <i>Messrs V K Mwewa and Company</i>	
		<b>Phạm Tri Dung</b> <i>Hanoi University of Public Health</i>		

**Kudzai Caroline Tandl**  
*Mangwiro Tandl Law*

**Maxwell C. C. Musingafi**  
*Zimbabwe Open  
University*

**Memory Kudzayi  
Melody**  
*Scanlen & Holderness*

**Mordecai Pilate  
Mahlangu**  
*Gill Godlonton & Gerrans*

**Moses Nkomo**  
*DNM Attorneys*

**Moyo Evans T.**  
*Scanlen & Holderness*

**Mutsa Remba**  
*Dube, Manikai & Hwacha*

**Nobert Musa Phiri**  
*Muvingi & Mugadza Legal  
Practitioners*

**Nyasha Brighton  
Munyuru**  
*Muvingi & Mugadza Legal  
Practitioners*

**Obey Shava**  
*Mbidzo, Muchadehama &  
Makoni Legal Practitioners*

**Paidamoyo Bryne  
Saurombe**  
*Adventist Lawyers  
Association; Scanlen and  
Holderness; Zimbabwe  
Lawyers for Human Rights*

**Philemon Mutukwa**  
*N. Mashizha and  
Associates Legal  
Practitioners*

**Reveal E.  
Nyamayemombe**  
*Muvingi & Mugadza Legal  
Practitioners*

**Simon Sadomba**  
*Gill Godlonton & Gerrans*

**Simplicio Bhebhe**  
*Kantor & Immerman Legal  
Practitioners*

**Tafadzwa Ralph  
Mugabe**  
*Tafadzwa Ralph Mugabe  
Legal Counsel*

**Tapiwanashe Mukandi**  
*Scanlen & Holderness*

**Tariro Dzinomwa**  
*National University of  
Science and Technology*

**Tawanda Tandl**  
*Kantor & Immerman Legal  
Practitioners*

**Terence Hussein**  
*Hussein Ranchhod and  
Co.*

**Tshuma Mercia Monica**  
*Zambezi Law Trust*

**Anonymous  
Contributors**

# Acknowledgements

The World Justice Project's Honorary Chairs, Directors, Officers, Staff, Financial Supporters, and Sponsoring Organizations are listed in the last section of this report. Polling companies, research organizations, and contributing experts are listed in the Methodology section of this report.

## Academic Advisors

Mark David Agrast, American Society of International Law; Jose M. Alonso, World Wide Web Foundation; Rolf Alter, OECD; Eduardo Barajas, Universidad del Rosario; Maurits Barendrecht, Tilburg University; Tonu Basu, Open Government Partnership; Lowell Bergman, University of California, Berkeley; Tim Besley, London School of Economics; Christina Biebesheimer, The World Bank; Juan Carlos Botero, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana; Paul Brest, Stanford University; Jose Caballero, IMD Business School; David Caron, Kings College, London; Thomas Carothers, Carnegie Endowment; Marcela Castro, Universidad de los Andes; Peter Chapman, Open Society Justice Initiative (OSJI); Eduardo Cifuentes, Universidad de los Andes; Sherman Cohn, Georgetown University; Christine M. Cole, Crime & Justice Institute; Mariano-Florentino Cuellar, Stanford University; Helen Darbishire, Access Info Europe; Nicolas Dassen, Inter-American Development Bank; Larry Diamond, Stanford University; Claudia J. Dumas, Transparency International USA; Sandra Elena, Ministerio de Justicia y Derechos Humanos; Brad Epperly, University of South Carolina; Julio Faundez, Warwick University; Hazel Feigenblatt, Global Integrity; Todd Foglesong, Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto; Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago; Joseph Foti, Open Government Partnership; James Goldston, Open Society Justice Initiative (OSJI); Jorge Gonzalez, Universidad Javeriana; Alejandro Gonzalez-Arriola, Open Government Partnership; Jon Gould, American University; Martin Gramatikov, Hiil; Brendan Halloran, Transparency and Accountability Initiative; Linn Hammergren; Tim Hanstad, Landesa; Wassim Harb, Arab Center for the Development of Rule of Law and Integrity; Nathaniel Heller, Open Government Partnership; Vanessa Herringshaw, Transparency and Accountability Initiative; Susan Hirsch, George Mason University; Ronald Janse, University of Amsterdam Law School; Erik G. Jensen, Stanford University; Haroon Khadim, PAE; Rachel Kleinfeld, Carnegie Endowment; Jack Knight, Duke University; Harold H. Koh, Yale University; Margaret Levi, Stanford University; Iris Litt, Stanford University; Clare Lockhart, The Institute for State Effectiveness; Zsuzsanna Lonti, OECD; Diego Lopez, Universidad de los Andes; William T. Loris, Loyola University; Lauren E. Loveland, National Democratic Institute (NDI); Paul Maassen, Open Government Partnership; Beatriz Magaloni, Stanford University; Jenny S. Martinez, Stanford University; Toby McIntosh, FreedomInfo.org;

Toby Mendel, Centre for Law and Democracy; Nicholas Menzies, The World Bank; Ghada Moussa, Cairo University; Sam Muller, Hiil; Robert L. Nelson, American Bar Foundation and Northwestern University; Alfonsina Peñaloza, Hewlett Foundation; Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina; Randal Peerenboom, La Trobe University and Oxford University; Angela Pinzon, Universidad del Rosario; Pascoe Pleasence, University College London; Shannon Portillo, George Mason University; Michael H. Posner, New York University; Roy L. Prosterman, University of Washington; Anita Ramasastry, University of Washington; Mor Rubinstein, Open Knowledge Foundation; Angela Ruiz, Universidad del Rosario; Audrey Sacks, The World Bank; Lutfurahman Saeed, Kabul University; Michaela Saisana, EU-JRC; Andrea Saltelli, EU-JRC; Moises Sanchez, Alianza Regional por la Libertad de Expresión; Andrei Shleifer, Harvard University; Jorge Luis Silva, The World Bank; Gordon Smith, University of South Carolina; Christopher Stone, Open Society Foundations; John Temple, University of California, Berkeley; Rene Uruena, Universidad de los Andes; Stefan Voigt, University of Hamburg; Barry Weingast, Stanford University; Michael Woolcock, The World Bank.

Roland Abeng; Lukman Abdul-Rahim; Mariam Ahmed; Lina Alameddine; Sarah Alexander; Jessica Álvarez; Rose Karikari Anang; Evelyn Ankumah; Jassim Alshamsi; Jessica Álvarez; Ekaterina Baksanova; Hamud M. Balfas; Laila El Baradei; Rachael Beitler; Laurel Bellows; Clever Bere; Rindala Beydoun; Karan K. Bhatia; Cherie Blair; Rob Boone; Raúl Izurieta Mora Bowen; Ariel Braunstein; Kathleen A. Bresnahan; Michael Brown; William R. Brownfield; David Brusino; Carolina Cabrera; Javier Castro De León; John Catalfamo; Fahima Charaffeddine; David Chetty; Sophie Clark; Jose Cochinyan, III; Sonkita Conteh; Barbara Cooperman; Hans Corell; Adriana Cosgriff; Alexander E. Davis; Beth Davis; James P. DeHart; Brackett B. Denniston, III; Russell C. Deyo; Surya Dhungel; Adama Dieng; Andrew Domingoes; Sandra Elena; Roger El Khoury; Sanal Enkhaabaar; Adele Ewan; Juan Farré; Fatima Fettar; Eric Florenz; Abderrahim Foukara; Kristina Fridman; Morly Frishman; Viorel Furdui; Minoru Furuyama; William H. Gates, Sr.; Anna Gardner; Sujith George; Adam Gerstenmier; Jacqueline Gichinga; Suzanne E. Gilbert; Felipe Gómez; Nengak Daniel Gonydi; Lindsey Graham; Deweh Gray; Michael S. Greco; Elise Groulx; Heena Gupta; Arkady Gutnikov; Karen Hall; Kunio Hamada; Sana Hawamdeh; Alvaro Herrero; Sheila Hollis; Michael Holston; R. William Ide, III; Murtaza Jaffer; Sunil Kumar Joshi; Marie-Therese Julita; Megan Kabre; Rashvin

Kaur; Anne Kelley; Howard Kenison; Junaid Khalid; Elsa Khwaja; Stuti Kokkalera; Simeon Koroma; Steven H. Kraft; Larry D. Kramer; Jack Krumholtz; Lianne Labossiere; Joanna Lim; Deborah Lindholm; Annie Livingston; Jeanne L. Long; Carlos López; Clarissa Lopez-Diarte; Maha Mahmoud; Biawakant Mainali; Andrew Makoni; Dijana Malbaša; Ermek Mamaev; Frank Mantero; Madison Marks; Roger Martella; Vivek Maru; John Mason; Elisa Massimino; Hiroshi Matsuo; Michael Maya; Sindi Medar-Gould; Ludmila Mendonça; Ellen Mignoni; Aisha Minhas; María Cristina Montaña; Claros Morean; Junichi Morioka; Marion Muller; Norhayati Mustapha; Ilija Nedelkoski; Niku Neshati; Javier Nicolás; Daniel Nitu; Elida Nogoibaeva; Victoria Norelid; Justin Nyekan; Sean O'Brien; Peggy Ochanderena; Bolaji Olaniran; Joy Olson; Mohamed Olwan; Gustavo Alanis Ortega; Bolaji Owasanoye; Pablo Parás; Angeles Melano Paz; Ronen Plechnin; Kamal Pokhrel; John Pollock; Mercy Alejandra Portillo; Cynthia Powell; Humberto Prado Sifontes; Nathalie Rakotomalía; Javier Ramirez; Eduardo Ramos-Gómez; Daniela Rampani; Richard Randerson; Claudia Rast; Yahya Rayegani; Adrian F. Revilla; Salvador Reyes; Lopes Ribeiro; Nigel H. Roberts; Amir Ron; Liz Ross; Irma Russell; Marc Sepama; Adam Severance; Bruce Sewell; Uli Parmlian Sihombing; Hajrija Sijerčić-Čolić; William Sinnott; Lumba Siyanga; Brad Smith; Julie Smith; Lourdes Stein; Thomas M. Susman; Elizabeth Thomas-Hope; Laurence Tribe; Martha Uc; Patricia van Nispen; Robert Varenik; Maria Vinot; Raymond Webster; Dorothee Wildt; Jason Wilks; Malin Winbom; Nazgul Yergalievá; Xueling You; Stephen Zack; Jorge Zapp-Glauser; Roula Zayat.

## Institutional Contributors and Advisors

Altus Global Alliance; APCO Worldwide; Fleishman-Hillard; The Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University; The Center on Democracy, Development, and the Rule of Law, Stanford University; The German Bar Association in Brussels; Governance Data Alliance; Google Inc.; The Hague Institute for Innovation of Law (Hiil); Investigative Reporting Program, UC Berkeley Graduate School of Journalism; The Legal Department of Hewlett Packard Enterprise; The Legal Department of Microsoft Corporation; The Whitney and Betty MacMillan Center for International and Area Studies, Yale University; Rule of Law Collaborative, University of South Carolina; The University of Chicago Law School; Vera Institute of Justice.

# About the World Justice Project

The World Justice Project (WJP) is an independent, multidisciplinary organization working to create knowledge, build awareness, and stimulate action to advance the rule of law worldwide. Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace—underpinning development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights.

## Our Approach

Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. However, everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Based on this, the WJP builds and supports a global, multi-disciplinary movement for the rule of law by:

### 1. *Collecting, organizing, and analyzing*

original, independent rule of law data, including the *WJP Rule of Law Index*;

### 2. *Supporting research, scholarship, and teaching*

about the importance of rule of law, its relationship to development, and effective strategies to strengthen it; and

### 3. *Connecting and building*

an engaged global network of policy-makers and advocates to advance the rule of law through strategic partnerships, convenings, coordinated advocacy, and support for locally-led initiatives, including through the Resource Hub, a directory of leading organizations advancing the rule of law worldwide; the World Justice Challenge, a competition to identify, recognize, and promote good practices and successful solutions for strengthening the rule of law worldwide; and the World Justice Forum.

## Honorary Chairs

The World Justice Project has the support of outstanding leaders representing a range of disciplines around the world. The Honorary Chairs of the World Justice Project are:

Madeleine Albright; Giuliano Amato; Robert Badinter; James A. Baker III; Cherie Blair; Stephen G. Breyer; Sharan Burrow; David Byrne; Jimmy Carter; Maria Livanos Cattai; Emil Constantinescu; Hans Corell; Hilario G. Davide, Jr.; Hernando de Soto; Adama Dieng; William H. Gates, Sr.; Ruth Bader Ginsburg; Richard J. Goldstone; Kunio Hamada; Lee H. Hamilton; Mohamed Ibrahim; Tassaduq Hussain Jillani; Anthony M. Kennedy; Beverley McLachlin; George J. Mitchell; Sandra Day O'Connor; Ana Palacio; Colin L. Powell; Roy L. Prosterman; Richard W. Riley; Mary Robinson; Richard Trumka; Desmond Tutu; Antonio Vitorino; Harold Woolf; and Andrew Young.

## Board of Directors

Shaikha Abdulla Al-Misnad; Kamel Ayadi; William C. Hubbard; Hassan Bubacar Jallow; Suet-Fern Lee; Mondli Makhanya; M. Margaret McKeown; John Nery; William H. Neukom; Ellen Gracie Northfleet; James R. Silkenat; and Petar Stoyanov.

## Director Emeritus

President Dr. Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai

## Officers and Staff

William C. Hubbard, Board Chair; William H. Neukom, Founder and CEO; Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer; Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary.

Staff and Consultants: Elizabeth Andersen, Executive Director; Paul Fisher, Chief Development Officer; Matthew Harman, Chief Communications Officer; Ted Piccone, Chief Engagement Officer; Alejandro Ponce, Chief Research Officer; Richard Schorr, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer; Tim Kessler, Country Director; Laura Aquino; Ester Arroyo; Courtney Babcock; Lindsey Bock; Isabel Campana; Erin Campbell; Ana Cardenas; Estefany Caudillo; Lilian Chapa Koloffon; Glenda Charles; Maria Chavarria; Miguel Contreras; Paulina Del Paso; Killian Dorier; Alicia Evangelides; Vianney Fernández; Marco Ivan Figueroa; Emma Frerichs; Joshua Fuller; Amir Galvan; Felipe Gomez; Erendira Gonzalez; Lucia Gonzalez; Kirssy González; Amy Gryskiewicz; Juan Carlos Guarneros; Issa Guerra; Fernando Gutierrez O.; Joseph Haley; Irene Heras; Roberto Hernández; Jaya Khetarpal; Priyanka Khosla; Sarah Chamness Long; Alejandro Lopez; Rafael Lozano; Debby Manley; Gabriela Marquez; Joel Martinez; Oswaldo Mejia; Ignacio Miranda; Jorge A. Morales; Jason Murray; Layda Negrete; Fernando Omedé; Marien Rivera; Mario Rodríguez; Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca; Juan Salgado; Alicia Segovia; Rebecca Silvas; Leslie Solís Saravia; Marcelo Torres; Paulina Vega; Gerard Vinluan; and Emily Youatt.

## World Justice Project Funders

The World Justice Project thanks the following major 2019-2020 donors whose support makes our work possible:

American Bar Association – Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources

American Council of Learned Societies

Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

British Council

Charles Stewart Mott Foundation

Cordaid

Barbara and R. Bradford Evans

German Corporation for International Cooperation

Hague Institute for Innovation of Law

Hague Municipality

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Inter-American Development Bank

Jones Day

Knowledge Management Fund

LexisNexis

Microsoft Corporation

Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Netherlands

Mo Ibrahim Foundation

Neukom Family Foundation

Open Society Justice Initiative

Sally and William H. Neukom

United States Agency for International Development

United States Department of State

Nancy Ward and Toby Bright

Kent Walker and Diana Walsh

Western Hemisphere Drug Policy Commission

## WJP League of Law Firms 2019-2020

Cooley

Davis Wright Tremaine

Fenwick & West

K&L Gates

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough

Perkins Coie

White & Case


Wilson Sonsini Goodrich &

Rosati Foundation

Zuber Lawler & Del Duca

A list of funders can be found at:

[worldjusticeproject.org](https://worldjusticeproject.org).



**Effective rule of law  
reduces corruption,  
combats poverty  
and disease, and  
protects people  
from injustices large  
and small.**

# Rule of Law

"Laws of justice which Hammurabi, the wise king, established... That the strong might not injure the weak, in order to protect the widows and orphans..., in order to declare justice in the land, to settle all disputes, and heal all injuries."

–**Codex Hammurabi**

"Treat the people equally in your court and give them equal attention, so that the noble shall not aspire to your partiality, nor the humble despair of your justice."

–**Judicial Guidelines from 'Umar Bin Al-Khattab, The Second Khalifa of Islam'**

"All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights... Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status."

–**Universal Declaration of Human Rights**

"We are all servants of the laws in order that we may be free."

–**Cicero (106 BCE - 43 BCE)**

"No freeman is to be taken or imprisoned or disseised of his free tenement or of his liberties or free customs, or outlawed or exiled or in any way ruined, nor will we go against such a man or send against him save by lawful judgement of his peers or by the law of the land. To no-one will we sell or deny or delay right or justice."

–**Magna Carta**

"I could adjudicate lawsuits as well as anyone. But I would prefer to make lawsuits unnecessary."

–**Analects of Confucius**

"It is more proper that law should govern than any one of the citizens."

–**Aristotle, Politics (350 BCE)**

"If someone disobeys the law, even if he is (otherwise) worthy, he must be punished. If someone meets the standard, even if he is (otherwise) unworthy, he must be found innocent. Thus the Way of the public good will be opened up, and that of private interest will be blocked."

–**The Huainanzi 139 BCE (Han Dynasty, China)**

"The Law of Nations, however, is common to the entire human race, for all nations have established for themselves certain regulations exacted by custom and human necessity."

–**Corpus Juris Civilis**

"Where-ever law ends, tyranny begins."

–**John Locke, Two Treatises of Government (1689)**


"Good civil laws are the greatest good that men can give and receive. They are the source of morals, the palladium of property, and the guarantee of all public and private peace. If they are not the foundation of government, they are its supports; they moderate power and help ensure respect for it, as though power were justice itself."

–**Jean-Étienne-Marie Portalis, Discours Préliminaire du Premier Projet de Code Civil**





# More from the World Justice Project




World Justice Project  
**Rule of Law Index**  
2019



WJP Rule of Law Index 2019



WJP Rule of Law Index 2019 Insights  
Highlights and data trends from the WJP  
Rule of Law Index 2019



WJP Mexico States Rule of Law Index 2019-2020  
Perceptions and experiences in 32 states



Realizing Justice For All  
World Justice Forum Report 2019



Global Insights on Access to Justice 2019  
Findings from the World Justice Project  
General Population Poll in 101 Countries



Measuring the Justice Gap 2019  
A People-Centered Assessment of Unmet  
Justice Needs Around the World

For more information or to read these reports, visit  
[worldjusticeproject.org/our-work](https://worldjusticeproject.org/our-work)







**World Justice  
Project**



**WASHINGTON, DC**

**SEATTLE**

**SINGAPORE**

**MEXICO CITY**



[worldjusticeproject.org](http://worldjusticeproject.org)



[facebook.com/thewjp](https://facebook.com/thewjp)



[twitter.com/theWJP](https://twitter.com/theWJP)