

|              |   |
|--------------|---|
| Title        | An Uigur Document Preserved in the Library of Istanbul University                   |
| Author(s)    | Matsui, Dai   |
| Citation     | 内陸アジア言語の研究. 2007, 22, p. 61-70  |
| Version Type | VoR   |
| URL          | <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/11094/20095">https://hdl.handle.net/11094/20095</a> |
| rights       |   |
| Note         |   |

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

# An Uigur Document Preserved in the Library of Istanbul University

Dai MATSUI

## Introduction

The Library of Istanbul University (İstanbul Üniversitesi Merkez Kütüphanesi) preserves a collection of the Old Uigur texts, which are apparently brought from East Turkestan, most probably from Turfan basin.<sup>1</sup> Compared with the huge collections of Berlin, St. Petersburg, London, Kyoto and China, the Istanbul collection is rather small. However, as Kōgi Kudara noted, it is not negligible, since it has close connection with the Berlin collection.<sup>2</sup>

Seven secular documents belong to the Uigur collection. Five of them are contracts, partly published by Reşid Rahmeti Arat and Nobuo Yamada, later revised by Hiroshi Umemura, and finally compiled in SUK as Sa11, Lo18, Mi30, Mi31, Mi32.<sup>3</sup> One of the remaining texts is an administrative order for delivery of wine, and has been published by Yamada and later revised by me.<sup>4</sup> In this paper the remaining small fragment is edited for the first time.<sup>5</sup>

---

1 Yamada 1968, pp. 15-17; Sertkaya / Kudara, Nos. 1-14, 18.I.(a), 19-21, 27-29, 35.

2 Kudara 1992, esp. pp. 143-140. Recently Ayşe Gül Sertkaya reported the situation in which the collection was brought to Istanbul. See Sertkaya, A. G. 2006.

3 Arat 1965 = Yamada 1968, Text 1 = Umemura 1977, Text 1 = SUK Sa11; Yamada 1968, Text 2 = SUK Lo18; Mi30, Mi31 and Mi32 were not published until SUK.

4 Yamada 1968, Text 3; Sertkaya / Kudara, No. 12; Matsui 1998a, Text IV = Matsui 1998b, Text 8 = Matsui 2006, Text 1.

5 I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Osman Fikri Sertkaya (the Institute of Turkic Studies, Istanbul University) and Dr. Yasemen Akçay (the Library of Istanbul University) for their kind permission for my research.

The document was formerly designated as “No. 3” by Yamada and “I. U. No. 10” in the provisional catalog prepared by Sertkaya and Kudara.<sup>6</sup> The present signature is İ. Ü. Küt. Demirbaş No. 533. The paper of the document is so-called “rice paper” with fine *vergeé* (7 / cm), 23.0 x 16.2 cm,<sup>7</sup> and colored beige clair ~ grisâtre. A black stamp (2.2 x 2.4 cm) is sealed after the last word of the text, <sup>5</sup>*ıdtım* “I sent”. What comes after it is left blank. The reverse side has no text.

## Text

[M I S S I N G]

- 1 [            ](..) yosunča kâlin m-ä oylan qy-a tapmîş-nî  
2 [                            ] *üçün sıqap*-taqı uluy ađız yir  
3 [            ]-' tarip yizün tip birtım siz-lär  
4 [            ] tonguz yıl bişinč ay ygrmi bir-kä siz  
5 [            ](..) nişan başıp ıdtım •


## Translation

<sup>1</sup>..... according to the law, also the bride and the sons ..... Tapmîş <sup>2</sup>..... for ..... the large partition of field located in Sıqap <sup>3</sup>..... shall cultivate [the field] and eat (= live on) [its harvest]. Saying thus, I gave [this document]. You <sup>4</sup>..... The year of the Boar, the fifth month, on the twenty-first day. You <sup>5</sup>..... pressing the seal [on this document], I sent [it].

---

6 Yamada 1968, p. 16; Sertkaya / Kudara, No. 10. The number is given to parts of glasses containing the manuscript fragment [see the photo].

7 My measurement is more or less the same as what is given by Sertkaya / Kudara (23.1 x 16.0 cm); Yamada’s measurement (23.3 x 5.5 cm) seems to be a single error.



İ. Ü. Küt. Demirbaş No. 533

(The Library of Istanbul University)

## Notes

1a, (..): -WN ~ -WX ~ -T.

1b, **yosunča**: = Mong. *yosu(n)* “rule, law” + Uig. *-ča* (equative).

1c, **kälin**: ED, p. 719, “the wife of one’s younger brother or son; bride”.

1d, **oylan qy-a**: Here seemingly not a personal name but a word *oylan* “sons” with the deminutive *qy-a*.

1e, **tapmīš**: Here I regard it as a name of a person; It is also possible to take it for a perfective / gerund from v. *tap-* “to serve” dependent on “the bride and sons”.

2a, **üčün**: “for, since, because of, in order to”. The reading is certain.

2b, **siqap**: As it stands, initial letters are damaged: [..]X’P. However I would restore this place name, which is frequently attested in the Uigur secular texts. See SUK WP04, <sup>18</sup>*siqap ögän-täki yaşı-tün* “von den Ebenen am Fluß Siqap”; Mi25, <sup>4-5</sup>*siqap-ta üşdüñ aldün yir-tä yarımni* “In Siqap von dem oberen und dem unteren Landstück eine Halfe”; T I  $\alpha$  (= ETHV 124/040), <sup>4-5</sup>*siqap-taqi [al]tün-qı yir-tä* “of the land at downward (downstream?) in Siqap”; RH08, <sup>4-5</sup>*siqap-taqi yarım ayız yir* “half mouth of field in Siqap”; RH11, <sup>4-5</sup>*siqap-taqi ilči bilä ülüš-lüg manga tögär yarım ayız yirin* “half mouth of field, located in Siqap, belonging to me under joint ownership with İlči”; U 5963 (in the Berlin collection), line 6.<sup>8</sup>

If the place name Siqap is originated from Chinese, we may tentatively propose 西閘 *xi-ge* “western water gate” for its etymon,<sup>9</sup> since it is used as a name of an irrigation channel (Uig. *ögän*). Cf. SUK WP04 above.

---

8 In the texts cited above Uig. *ängiz* “Stoppelfeld” by SUK is corrected into *ayız* “mouth; a measure for space”. See Matsui 2005a, pp. 36-47. T I  $\alpha$  = ETHV 124/040 is to be jointed to the beginning of SUK Ex02. See Sertkaya, O. F. 2002, p. 283, though his *ängiz* is again to be read *ayız*, and *altün say > altün-qı* “beneath, below, downward”.

9 For Chin. 西 > Uig. SY = *si / sī*, see Shōgaito 2003, p. 128. For the ancient pronunciation of 閘 \**kap*, see Pulleyblank, p. 106. The Uigur transcription of 閘 *ge* has not yet been attested, while the homophone 甲 *ge* is transcribed as X’P = *qap ~ qab* in Uigur. See Shōgaito 1987, pp. 85, 140.

**2c, uluƣ ađız yir:** For *ađız* ~ *atız* “field partitioned by irrigation; Feldstück zwischen Bewässerungsgräben”, see Zieme 1974, p. 303; Zieme 1980, p. 199; UW, pp. 260-261; SUK Sa03, Sa07, Sa13, RH02, Mi32. Here “the large partition of field located in Siƣap” might be related to *siƣap ögän-täki yaşı* “Ebenen am Fluß Siƣap” of SUK WP04 as mentioned above.

**3a, [ ]-’:** In comparison with line 1, we may restore [*oylan qy*]-*a*, or other personal names as [*Buq*]-*a*, [*Qay*]-*a*, [*Qar*]-*a*, etc. Anyway, the word in question is a subject of the following verbs: *tarip yizün*. See the next note.

**3b, tarip yizün:** “[one] shall cultivate [the field] and eat (i.e. live on) [its harvest]”. See SUK RH13<sup>9-11</sup> and the editors’ note.

**4:** Note that *ygrmi bir-kä* instead of *bir otuz-qa* is used for “on the twenty-first (day)”.

**5, nišan basip:** Uig. *tamyä* means “a stamp, seal”, while *nišan* stands for “a sign, mark, signature, written seal” (< Pers. *nišan*). This is shown also by Uigur attestations *nišan čizip* “drawing *nišan*” and *tamyä basip* “pressing (i.e. putting) *tamyä*”.<sup>10</sup> However, the scribe of our fragment here clearly uses *nišan* as a word for “stamp, seal”, as is clear from the following word *basip* < v. *bas-* “press, stamp”. This usage can be an influence by Mongolian: the Mongolian decrees discovered from Turfan are

---

10 For the attestations of *nišan*, see SUK RH13, <sup>14-16</sup>*bu yirm-ni yişün tip nišan čizip biđig birdim*: “one shall eat (i.e. live on) my land. Thus saying, and drawing [my] signature, I gave the document”; Mi25 (with modifications), <sup>22-24</sup>*bu yir-lär-ni siqıdu mädz bilä täng yişün tip öz nišan (.) čizip biđip[sic!] birür-män* “Siqıdu and Mädz shall eat these lands equally. Thus saying, drawing my own signature, I give the document (*biđip* > *biđig*)”; Mi31, <sup>14-15</sup>*nišan čizip [bu bitig?] bitidim* “Drawing signature, I wrote [this document]”; Or 12452 (B) 9 (= *Innermost Asia* III, pl. CXXVI), <sup>16-17</sup>*nišan čizip bitig idtim* “Drawing [my] signature, I send [this] letter”; U 5935 (in the Berlin collection), <sup>8-9</sup>*[nišan] čizip biđig birtim*. For *tamyä basip*, see U 5305 = USp 21, <sup>9-11</sup>*budaşiri-qa borluq-čı birtimiz tip tamy-a-larimüz-ni başip birtimiz* “To Budaşiri we gave [Altıa-Qaya as] a wineyard worker. Thus saying, pressing our seals, we gave [this document]” (cf. IUCD, No. 102); ETHV 116/031, <sup>7-8</sup>*tamy-a başip biđig birdimiz* “pressing the seal, we gave [this] document”. Again I would express my thanks to Prof. Osman Fikri Sertkaya for offering me the photograph of the last document, whose original had belonged to the Berlin collection but was lost during the World War II.

stamped with black or red seals and referred to by the scribes themselves as *nišan-tu* (~ *nišatu* ~ *ništu*) *bičig* “mit Siegel versehen Schreiben”. See BTT XVI, Nrn. 68-76, 78, 79, 84, 86.

## Preliminary Analysis

We can easily date this document to the Mongol times (13th – 14th cc.), basing on the following criteria: written in a rapid cursive script; the word *nišan* “signature” [see Note 5 above]; a loanword from Mongolian <sup>1</sup>*yosun* “law, rule”.<sup>11</sup> Furthermore, noteworthy is the square black seal. Its shape is like “III” of Roman numerals and the inscription seemingly consists of three vertical lines. The inscription on the center line may be regarded as an illformed *g-e-i = gei* < Chin. 吉 \**gei* in ’Phags-pa script.<sup>12</sup> If my assumption is correct, the document certainly belongs to the Mongol times later than 1269 AD, when ’Phags-pa script was invented.

The extant text is too little for one to allow the fully understanding on the contexts of the document. Yet the phrase <sup>2-3</sup>*[sʲ](q)ap-taqi uluγ ađiz [y]ir ..... tariḫ yizün* “the large partition of field located in Siqap ..... shall cultivate [the field in Siqap] and eat (= live on) [its harvest]” suggests that the document should authorize the ownership or usufruct of the cultivated field in Siqap. Similar contexts also appear in other contracts (SUK Sa11<sup>9</sup>, RH13<sup>9-11,14-15</sup>, Mi25<sup>2-4, 22-24</sup>, Mi28<sup>9-10</sup>). Nevertheless, all these contracts known thus far have the date at the beginning, in contrast with the present text which has the date at the end.<sup>13</sup> From the viewpoint of its format, our document is not likely to be a contract.

---

11 On the criteria for dating (called “markers” by Moriyasu) of Uigur documents, see Moriyasu 2004, pp. 228-229.

12 The inscription of the seal of our fragment may be compared with that of “Qutluγ-seal D” on the Uigur administrative orders, which Kōichi Yoshiike regards as the deformation of ’Phags-pa *gei* < Chin. 吉 \**gei*. See Yoshiike 2000, pp. 110-112.

13 Yamada 1967, pp. 88-89.

The closing phrase *idiim* “I sent” indicates that our document was a letter or correspondence, though the date is seldom given in Uigur letters, in particular at the end.<sup>14</sup> On the other hand, one may compare the way our document gives its date at its end with a declaration form for census register,<sup>15</sup> four pledge documents submitted by the officials to the Uigur king İduq-qut,<sup>16</sup> and an official decree of tax-exemption issued under the Chaghataid ruler Duwa (r. 1282-1307).<sup>17</sup> They exemplify the Uigur official documents from the Mongol times and likely have been influenced by the contemporary Mongolian chancellery practice.<sup>18</sup> The Mongolian usage of the word *nišan* for “seal” found in our document also lends support to my presumption.

Although we need further comparable source materials for the final decision, I am currently inclined to regard our text as an official or administrative document, or, perchance, a decree of granting privileges (*soyurğal*) on the cultivated field in Siqap.<sup>19</sup>

---

14 So far as I know, only the Uigur letters are dated: K 7715 = Tezcan / Zieme 1971, Text C; 4bKr 153v (in the St. Petersburg collection, unpublished); U 181 = Tezcan / Zieme 1971, Text B. The last enters the date at the end. It is written in semi-square script and is to be dated certainly to the West Uigur period (10th – 12th cc.). Cf. Moriyasu 1982, pp. 4-5, for the expression X ay X-qatägi / -kätägi inč äsän bar turur “(at least) up to the Xth of Xth month, I have been well and fine” as seen in the letters or correspondences.

15 U 5298 = Zieme 1982.

16 TM 244 = USp 40 = ETHV, p. 42, III = Sertkaya 1992, Text III; TM 239 = USp 41 = Sertkaya 1992, Text II; ETHV 215/67 = Sertkaya 1992, Text IV; U 5947 = Sertkaya 1992, Text V.

17 T III 253a Murtuq = ETHV77/01, lost in the World War II and not yet published. See Matsui 2005b, fn. 13.

18 For the format of the Mongolian administrative documents, see Ligeti 1972a; Ligeti 1972b; BTT XVI, pp. 165-167; Matsukawa 1995, pp. 36-44.

19 In the decree of *soyurğal* issued by Timurid Sultan Umar-Şayh (1469 AD) is attested a phrase similar to our text: <sup>11</sup>*özi alıp yisün* “[they] shall eat (i.e. live on) [the harvest of the land] by themselves”. See Мелиоранский 1904, as well as the corrections placed by Ono 2006, *passim*.



## Abbreviations & Bibliography

- AoF: *Altorientalische Forschungen*. Berlin.
- Arat, R. R. 1965: Among the Uighur Documents [II]. *Ural-Altäische Jahrbücher* 36, pp. 263-272, +2 pls.
- BTT XVI: D. Cerensodnom / M. Taube, *Die Mongolica der Berliner Turfansammlung*. Berlin, 1993.
- ED: G. Clauson, *An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth-Century Turkish*. Oxford, 1972.
- ETHV: R. R. Arat, Eski Türk hukuk vesikaları. *Türk Kültürü Araştırmaları* 1, 1964, pp. 1-53.
- Innermost Asia*, 4 vols. Ed. by A. Stein, London.
- IUCD: L. V. Clark, *Introduction to the Uyghur Civil Documents of East Turkestan (13th-14th cc.)*. Dissertation of Indiana Univ. (Bloomington) Ph.D., 1975.
- Kudara, K. 百濟 康義 1992: イスタンブール大學所蔵の東トルキスタン出土文獻 [Manuscripts from East Turkestan Preserved at Istanbul University]. *東方學 Tôhōgaku* 84, pp. 148-137.
- Ligeti, L. 1972a: *Monuments préclassiques I. XIII-XIV siècles*. Budapest.
- Ligeti, L. 1972b: *Monuments en écriture 'phags-pa*. Budapest.
- Mastui, D. 松井 太 1998a: モンゴル時代ウイグルスタン税役制度とその淵源 [Taxation Systems in Uiguristan under the Mongols and Their Origin]. *東洋學報 Tôyō Gakuhō* 79-4, pp. 026-050.
- Mastui, D. 松井 太 1998b: ウイグル文クトルグ印文書 [Uigur Administrative Orders Bearing "Qutluy-seals"]. *SIAL* 13, pp. 1-62, +15 pls.
- Matsui, D. 松井 太 2005a: ウイグル文契約文書研究補説四題 [Four Remarks on the Uigur Contract Documents]. *SIAL* 20, pp. 27-64.
- Matsui, D. 2005b: Taxation Systems as Seen in the Uigur and Mongol Documents from Turfan: An Overview. *Transactions of the International Conference of Eastern Studies* 50, pp. 67-82.
- Matsui, D. 松井 太 2006: 回鶻語 kâzig 與高昌回鶻王國稅役制度的淵源 [Uigur kâzig and the Origin of Taxation Systems in the Uigur Kingdom of Qocho]. In: Xinjiang Tulufan diqu wenwuqu 新疆吐魯番地區文物局 (ed.), 吐魯番學研究 *Tulufanxue Yanjiu*, Shanghai, pp. 215-220.
- Matsukawa, T. 松川 節 1995: 大元ウルス命令文の書式 [On the Daiyuan-Ulus Style in the Mongolian Edicts of the 13th and 14th Centuries]. 待兼山論叢 *Machikaneyama Ronsō* 29, pp. 25-52.
- Мелюранский, Р. 1904: Документъ уйгурскаго письма султана Омаръ-Шейха. *Записки Восточнаго Отдѣлення Императорскаго Русскаго Археологическаго Общества* 16-1, pp. 1-12.
- Moriyasu, T. 1982: An Uigur Buddhist's Letter of the Yüan Dynasty from Tun-huang. *Memoirs of the Research Department of the Toyo Bunko* 40, pp. 1-18.
- Moriyasu, T. 2004: From Silk, Cotton and Copper Coin to Silver. In: D. Durkin-Meisterernst et

- al. (eds.), *Turfan Revisited*, Berlin, pp. 228-239.
- Ono, H. 小野 浩 2006: テムル朝シャールフのウイグル文字テュルク語文書再讀 [Revision of the Uigur-Turkic Decree Issued by Timurid Šāhruḥ]. In: T. Horikawa (ed.), *Historical Studies on Formation and Change of Muslim Communities in Central Asia* (Report of Research Project, No. 14201037, JSPS), Kyoto, pp. 28-47.
- Pulleyblank, E. G. 1991: *Lexicon of Reconstructed Pronunciation in Early Middle Chinese, Late Middle Chinese and Early Mandarin*. Vancouver, 1991.
- Sertkaya, A. G. 2006: How the Texts Found in Turfan Were Transferred to the Library of Istanbul University? In: Xinjiang Tulufan diqu wenwuqu 新疆吐魯番地區文物局 (ed.), 吐魯番學研究 *Tulufanxue Yanjiu*, Shanghai, pp. 215-220.
- Sertkaya, O. F. 1992: Eski Uyğur Türklerinden hukuk belgeleri örnekleri. In: *Türklerde İnsanî Değerler ve İnsan Hakları 1, Başlangıcından Osmanlı Dönemine Kadar*, İstanbul, pp. 131-148.
- Sertkaya, O. F. 2002: Zu einigen neuen uigurischen Landverkaufsverträgen. In: M. Ölmez / S.-Chr. Raschmann (eds.), *Splinter aus der Gegend von Turfan. Festschrift für Peter Zieme anlässlich seines 60. Geburtstags*, Istanbul / Berlin, pp. 279-289.
- Sertkaya, O. F. / K. Kudara 1987: *A Provisional Catalogue of Central Asian Fragments Preserved at the Library of Istanbul University*. İstanbul (unpublished).
- Shōgaito, M. 庄垣内 正弘 1987: ウイグル文獻に導入された漢語に関する研究 [Chinese Loan Words in Uighur]. *SIAL* 2 (1986), pp. 17-156.
- Shōgaito, M. 庄垣内 正弘 2003: ロシア所蔵ウイグル語文獻の研究 *Uighur Manuscripts in St. Petersburg*. Kyoto.
- SIAL: 内陸アジア言語の研究 *Studies on the Inner Asian Languages*. Kobe / Osaka.
- SUK: N. Yamada, *Sammlung uigurischer Kontrakte*, 3 vols. Ed. by J. Oda et al. Osaka, 1993.
- Tezcan, S. / P. Zieme 1971: Uigurische Brieffragmente. In: L. Ligeti (ed.), *Studia Turcica*, Budapest, pp. 451-460, +6 pls.
- Umemura, H. 梅村 坦 1977: 違約罰納官文言のあるウイグル文書 [Uighur Documents with Forfeiture Clauses]. *東洋學報 Tōyō Gakuhō* 58-3/4, pp. 01-040.
- UW: K. Röhrborn, *Uigurisches Wörterbuch*, 1-6+. Wiesbaden, 1977-1999+.
- Yamada, N. 1967: Uigur Documents of Sale and Loan Contracts Brought by Ōtani Expeditions. Appendix: The Forms of the Uigur Documents of Sale Contracts. *Memoirs of the Research Department of the Toyo Bunko* 23 (1964), pp. 71-118, +4 pls.
- Yamada, N. 山田 信夫 1968: イスタンブル大學所蔵東トルキスタン出土文書類 [On the Manuscripts from East Turkestan Preserved in the Library of Istanbul University]. 西南アジア研究 *Bulletin of the Society for Western and Southern Asiatic Studies, Kyoto University* 20, pp. 11-29.
- Yoshiike, K. 吉池 孝一 2000a: ウイグル文書のパスバ文字漢語印 [ʼPhags-pa Chinese Seals on Uighur Documents]. 日本モンゴル學會紀要 *Bulletin of the Japan Association for Mongolian Studies* 30, pp. 109-118.

- Zieme, P. 1974: Ein uigurischer Landverkaufsvertrag aus Murtuq. AoF 1, pp. 295-308.
- Zieme, P. 1980: Uigurische Pachtdokumente. AoF 7, pp. 197-245, +Taf. III-XII.
- Zieme, P. 1982: Ein uigurisches Familienregister aus Turfan. AoF 9, pp. 263-267, +2 pls.

P.S. This article is a part of the result of my research supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of Japan Ministry of Education and Japan Society for Promotion of Science.