# MATH 431 - REAL ANALYSIS SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK DUE SEPTEMBER 5

## Question 1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) Show that if a + b is rational, then a is rational or b is irrational.
- (b) Use (a) to show that if a + b is rational, then a and b are both rational or both irrational.

#### Solution 1.

(a) We will instead prove the contrapositive statement, which is "if a is irrational and b is rational, then a+b is irrational. Assume, to the contrary, that a+b is rational. Then, since b is rational, we have that -b is also rational. Since the sum or rational numbers is rational, we get that

$$a = (a+b) - b \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

This, of course contradicts that a is irrational. Since we have arrived at a contradiction, then our claim that a+b is rational is false. Thus, a+b is irrational. Having proven the contrapositive, our original statement "if a+b is rational, then a is rational or b is irrational" is true.

(b) Assuming that a+b is rational, (a) tells us that we have two cases: (1) a is rational or (2) b is irrational. For the first case, we assume that a is rational. Thus  $-a \in \mathbb{Q}$  and therefore

$$b = (a+b) - a \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Therefore, b is irrational and therefore a and b are both rational. In the second case we have that b is irrational. We wish to show that a is also irrational. Assume, to the contrary, that a is rational. Then,  $-a \in \mathbb{Q}$  as well. Thus,

$$b = (a+b) - a \in \mathbb{Q},$$

which, of course, contradicts that b is irrational. Thus, a must be irrational. So, a and b are irrational.  $\Box$ 

In class on Monday, we learned of boundedness, the supremum/infimum, and the Completeness Axiom. Given a bounded set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a number b is called a *supremum* or *least upper bound* for S if the following hold:

- (i) b is an upper bound for S, and
- (ii) if c is an upper bound for S, then b < c.

Similarly, given a bounded set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a number b is called an *infimum* or *greatest lower bound* for S if the following hold:

- (i) b is a lower bound for S, and
- (ii) if c is a lower bound for S, then c < b.

If b is a supremum for S, we write that  $b = \sup S$ . If it is an infimum, we write that  $b = \inf S$ .

We were also introduced to our tenth and final axiom, the *Completeness Axiom*. This axiom states that any non-empty set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above has a supremum; in other words, if S is a non-empty set of real numbers that is bounded above, there exists a  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b = \sup S$ .

**Question 2.** Show that if a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  has a supremum, then it is unique. Thus, we can talk about *the* supremum of a set, instead of the *a* supremum of a set.

**Solution 2.** Let S be a set and assume that b is a supremum for S To show equality, assume as well that c is also a supremum for S and show that b=c. Since c is a supremum, it is an upper bound for S. Since b is a supremum, then it is the least upper bound and thus  $b \le c$ . Similarly, since b is a supremum, it is an upper bound for S; since c is a supremum, it is a least upper bound and therefore  $c \le b$ . Thus,  $c \le b$  and  $b \le c$ , giving us that b = c. Thus, a supremum for a set is unique if it exists.

**Question 3.** Let S be a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) Let  $-S = \{-x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \in S\}$ . Show that S has a supremum b if and only if -S has an infimum -b.
- (b) Use (a) to show that if T is a non-empty set that is bounded below, then T has an infimum.

#### Solution 3.

(a) Assume that  $b = \sup S$ . Then,  $x \le b$  for all  $x \in S$ . Multiplying both sides by -1, we get that  $-b \le -x$  for all  $x \in S$ . Thus, -b is a lower bound for the set S. Now, assume that c is another lower bound for -S; we will show that  $c \le -b$ . If not, then -b < c. Multiplying by -1, this would give us that -c < b. Notice that since c is a lower bound for -S, then  $c \le y$  for all  $y \in -S$ . Since  $y \in -S$ , then y = -x where  $x \in S$ . So, we have taht  $c \le -x$  for all  $x \in S$  and therefore x < -c for all  $x \in S$ . So, -c is an upper bound for S. Thus, -c is an upper bound for S and -c < b, contradicting that b is a supremum for S.

The converse direction is an almost identical argument.

(b) Since T is bounded below, say by a, then  $a \le x$  for all  $x \in T$ . Multiplying by -1, we get that  $-x \le -a$  for all  $x \in T$ . This is equivalence to  $y \le -a$  for all  $y \in -T$ . Thus, -T is non-empty and bounded above. Thus, by the Completeness Axiom, -T has a supremum b. By (a), we have that -(-T) = T has an infimum -b, as desired.

**Question 4.** Prove the following *Comparison Theorem*: Let  $S,T \subset \mathbb{R}$  be non-empty sets such that  $s \leq t$  for every  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ . If T has a supremum, then so does S and,

$$\sup S \leq \sup T$$
.

**Solution 4.** Let  $\tau = \sup T$ . Since  $\tau$  is a supremum for T, then  $t \leq \tau$  for all  $t \in T$ . Let  $s \in S$  and choose any  $t \in T$ . Then, since  $s \leq t$  and  $t \leq \tau$ , then  $s \leq t$ . Thus,  $\tau$  is an upper bound for S. By the Completeness Axiom, S has a supremum, say  $\sigma = \sup S$ . We will show that  $\sigma \leq \tau$ . Notice that, by the above,  $\tau$  is an upper bound for S. Since  $\sigma$  is the least upper bound for S, then  $\sigma \leq \tau$ . Therefore,

$$\sup S \leq \sup T$$
.

Question 5. Consider the set

$$S = \left\{ \left. \frac{1}{n} \right| n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}.$$

(a) Show that  $\max S = 1$ .

- (b) Show that if d is a lower bound for S, then  $d \le 0$ . [Hint: A proof by contradiction might be helpful, as well as the Archimedean Property.]
- (c) Use (b) to show that  $0 = \inf S$ .

## Solution 5.

- (a) Let  $x = \frac{1}{n} \in S$ , where  $n \ge 1$ . Since  $1 \le n$ , we have that  $x = \frac{1}{n} \le 1$ . Thus, fore very  $x \in S$ ,  $x \le 1$  and 1 is an upper bound. Notice as well that  $1 = \frac{1}{1} \in S$ . Thus,  $1 = \max S$ .
- (b) Let d be a lower bound for S. Thus, for every  $s \in S$ ,  $d \le s$ . Assume, to the contrary, that d > 0. Using the Archimedean property, we know that there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that 1 < dn. Since n > 0, this gives us that  $\frac{1}{n} < d$ . But,  $\frac{1}{n} \in S$ , and this contradicts the fact that d is a lower bound for S. Thus, we must conclude that  $d \le 0$ .
- (c) Clearly 0 is a lower bound for S since  $0 \le \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . If d is any other lower bound, then by (b),  $d \le 0$ . Thus, 0 is greatest lower bound and so  $0 = \inf S$ .

## Question 6. Consider the set

$$T = \left\{ (-1)^n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \middle| n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}.$$

- (a) Show that 1 is an upper bound for T.
- (b) Similar to 5b, show that if d is an upper bound for T, then  $d \ge 1$ .
- (c) Use (a) and (b) to show that  $\sup T = 1$ .

### Solution 6.

- (a) We will show that for any  $x \in T$ ,  $x \le 1$ . Since  $x \in T$ , then  $x = (-1)^n (1 1/n)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Since  $\frac{1}{n} > 0$ , then  $1 \frac{1}{n} < 1$ . We argue our desired inequality in two cases. If n is even, then  $x = (-1)^n (1 1/n) = 1 1/n < 1$ . If n is odd, then  $x = (-1)^n (1 1/n) = 1 1/n < 0 < 1$ . In either case,  $x \le 1$  (in fact,  $x \le 1$ ) and  $x \le 1$  is an upper bound for  $x \le 1$ .
- (b) Let d be an upper bound for T. Thus,  $(-1)^n \left(1 \frac{1}{n}\right) \le d$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Assume, to the contrary that d < 1. Thus, 1 d > 0. By the Archimedean Property, there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that 1 < (1 d)n. Since n > 0, we can re-write this as  $\frac{1}{n} < 1 d$ , which is equivalent to

$$d < 1 - \frac{1}{n}.$$

If n is even, then  $(-1)^n = 1$  and we have that

$$d < (-1)^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \in T,$$

contradicting the fact that d is an upper bound. If n is odd, then consider instead n+1, which is even. Then,  $(-1)^{n+1} = 1$  and

$$d < 1 - \frac{1}{n} < (-1)^{n+1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \in T.$$

This again contradicts that d is an upper bound for T. Either way, we reach a contradiction and therefore conclude that  $d \ge 1$ .

(c) By (a), 1 is an upper bound for T. By (b), if d is any other upper bound, then  $1 \le d$ . Thus,  $\sup T = 1$ .